

I found the Free Press website via a Dixie Chicks press release calling for the protest of Sinclair for their intent to produce a show based on the documentary "Stolen Honor."

Seems to me that these same Dixie Chicks were crying pretty hard about CENSORSHIP when faced with the same sort of organized consumer backlash caused by unfavorable comments made by Natalie Maines.

Somehow I doubt that the Dixie Chicks are capable of recognizing the blatant double standard that they are employing here, so with regard to the Sinclair broadcast plans, this American says by all means, forge ahead, Sinclair, in spite of efforts to restrain you.

Free Press (the website via which my statement is made) claims that Sinclair is attempting to further a "pro-Republican, pro-war agenda" by airing a program based on this documentary and my question is - on what can they possibly base that claim?

It is a documentary made by Vietnam Veterans about how one man's treasonous words - words that are part of the congressional record, and actions - actions that are

well-documented,  
had a profound  
effect on what they  
experienced as  
prisoners of war.

The fact that the  
man in question just  
so happens to be the  
Democrat candidate  
for president should  
be a moot point.

Anyone who doesn't  
agree with that  
posture should just  
ask Dan Rather if  
the fact that George  
W Bush is the  
incumbent Republican  
candidate had  
anything at all to  
do with his  
slanderous report on  
GWB's National Guard  
service and the  
fraudulent memos to  
which he clung with  
all his might.

If the answer to  
that question is No,  
then there should be  
no claim of bias on  
the part of Sinclair  
Broadcasting. If  
the answer is Yes,  
then we should admit  
to ourselves that  
the known liberal  
bias in the media  
does exist and is  
largely  
ignored...until a  
right-leaning  
broadcast is  
attempted. Then we  
watch the double  
standards fly fast  
and furious.

I applaud the FCC's  
stance on this issue  
and very much  
appreciate their  
refusal to bend the  
law to accommodate  
those on the left.

And I thank Free  
Press for allowing  
me the platform with  
which to say so.  
Being an optimist  
and a believer in  
the idea that even

those who disagree  
are respected for  
their ideas in a  
free society, I  
trust that dispute  
my disagreement with  
Free Press, my  
statement WILL reach  
the FCC.

If it does not, Free  
Press is a farce and  
has absolutely  
nothing of any merit  
to stand on.