

hello,

I request that you consider Sinclair's disregard for the full breadth of the public audience and shirked its responsibility as a level-field medium refusing to report all available facts instead choosing to be partizan.

It is irresponsible and manipulative for a public television broadcaster to impose one incomplete viewpoint on the American public in the nation's presidential election.

I would request you consider and emphasize the importance of balance and review the appropriateness of Sinclair's Oct/04 actions and hold them accountable.

thank-you for your time.  
good day  
Jay Stoffers

p.s.

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.