Stephanie Kost

04-207

From: K Cinco [kcinco@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2004 6:28 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: A la carte Cable Regulation

RECEIVED
OCT 2 2 2004
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Sir,

I am writing you to voice my opposition to the proposed "a la carte" Cable regulation. This is a needless regulation and would limit viewer choices in the programming they are receiving from Cable providers. I have written my congresswoman in this matter. Thank you for your time.

Kevin Cinco
31360 Paris Ct.
Winchester, CA 92596
951-443-6254

Stephanie Kost

From: Kevin Easom [kevineasom@nc.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2004 4:44 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

RECEIVED
OCT 2 2 2004
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

ackGrnd.jpg (2 KB)

My wife and I oppose the A La Carte Cable Regulation. This proposal would be devastating to the ability of religious broadcasters to reach many with the Gospel of Jesus Christ.

Please do your part to stop this proposal and know that many Christians share our view.

Thank you,

Kevin and Susan Easom

Stephanie Kost

From: kevin459@cox.net
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 7:27 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

RECEIVED
OCT 2 2 2004
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner Kevin J. Martin,

I just wanted to let my voice be heard on a issue that has recently come to my attention. I oppose A La Carte Cable Regulation. One of the things about Christian programing is the ability for people to tune in and learn about the Love of Jesus Christ. Its not only for people who are already Christians but an oppertunity for people to give a listen in the privacy of there own homes. And if it offends them there are plenty of other stations with Non-Christian programing. And Christians can easily do the same with programing that might be offesive to them.

Thank you for your time,
Kevin Hale
33 Winton Road
East Windsor CT 06088
kevin459@cox.net

Stephanie Kost

From: kevin459@cox.net
Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 7:25 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

RECEIVED

OCT 2 2 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy,

I just wanted to let my voice be heard on a issue that has recently come to my attention. I oppose A La Carte Cable Regulation. One of the things about Christian programing is the ability for people to tune in and learn about the Love of Jesus Christ. Its not only for people who are already Christians but an oppertunity for people to give a listen in the privacy of there own homes. And if it offends them there are plenty of other stations with Non-Christian programing. And Christians can easily do the same with programing that might be offesive to them.

Thank you for your time,
Kevin Hale
33 Winton Road
East Windsor CT 06088
kevin459@cox.net

Stephanie Kost

From: kschroff777@comcast.net
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2004 4:30 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

RECEIVED
OCT 2 2 2004
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Chairman Powell,

I oppose A la Carte Cable Regulation. Please pass to on to the correct dept. If I have email amiss.

Sincerely.
Jim Schroff
Yuba City, Ca

Stephanie Kost

From: kschroff777@comcast.net
Sent: Wednesday, September 15, 2004 4:28 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: A La Carte Cable Regulation

RECEIVED
OCT 2 2 2004
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Mr. Powell

I am writing to you to voice my concern about the recent A La Carte Cable Reglation bill that was proposed by U.S. Congress & FCC.

I OPPOSE A LA CARTE CABLE REGULATION.

Thank you for your time in this matter.

Kimberly Schroff, Yuba City, Ca

Stephanie Kost

From: Lahr, Susan [SLahr@rhsnet.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2004 11:56 AM
To: KAQuinn

RECEIVED
OCT 2 2004

wings2-queens-of-the-jungle.jp...

Good morning Commissioners,

I am not sure how if I am locating the right people for a request that I have recently heard about, therefore I ask your forgiveness if I have not sent this request to the right dept.

I have recently been made aware the U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are currently considering a proposal called 'a la carte" that would be devastating to the the ability of religious broadcasters, to reach many with the Gospel of Jesus Christ.

I am writing you to inform you that many people that I have talked to oppose this A La Carte Cable Regulation. Don't deprive this nation of the saving knowledge of Jesus Christ Without Christian television, this nation is headed for disaster. There are many people who are shut-ins and they cannot attend a church and there are many people who may never step into a church but will turn on television.

I am asking you to do your best to keep Christian television on the air and clean up what is being put forth through television that is corrupting the minds of the children and causing so many devastating acts in this nation.
Please, please, do not allow a small majority dictate that all focus of God in this nation should be deleted. A nation without God is headed for decline real fast, and history will repeat itself and bring such a holocaust at its doors that is so destructive to our land that it will never be reversible.

Why is it okay to show pornographic movies on TV, but certain organizations are tying to take God off the air waves. Why is there so much focus on deleting anything to do with a God Who is a God of love, hope and healing to a land that needs Him so badly. I am asking you to reconsider this proposal and allow Christian television to go forth throughout the land and to other nations. It is prayer that changes things and I am asking you to not allow this proposal to pass.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan K. Lahr

PS. Television should be used to bring good news to people, news of hope and of love, not of sin and corruption that corrupts the minds of the people who watch it. It is a powerful tool Commissions and I pray for the sake of all people that this proposal will be stopped. Anyone who does not want to watch Christian television can push an on/off button and watch what they would rather watch. Many desire Christian television and it should not be taken from those who do. It is very easy to change the channel for the anti-God minority. Thank you again for sending this to the right location for me and know there are millions of people who are praying for our country and those in high office. On behalf of all people who desire to see sound, wholesome, family oriented, and Christian television I thank you.

Stephanie Kost

From: Lahr, Susan [SLahr@rhsnet.org]
Sent: Tuesday, September 28, 2004 11:56 AM
To: Michael Powell
Cc: Kathleen Abernathy; 'Michael'; KJMWEB; Jonathan Adelstein

RECEIVED
OCT 2 2 2004
Federal Communications Commission

wings2-queens-of-the-jungle.jp...

Good morning Commissioners,

I am not sure how if I am locating the right people for a request that I have recently heard about, therefore I ask your forgiveness if I have not sent this request to the right dept.

I have recently been made aware the U.S. Congress and the Federal Communications Commission are currently considering a proposal called 'a la carte" that would be devastating to the the ability of religious broadcasters, to reach many with the Gospel of Jesus Christ.

I am writing you to inform you that many people that I have talked to oppose this A La Carte Cable Regulation. Don't deprive this nation of the saving knowledge of Jesus Christ Without Christian television, this nation is headed for disaster. There are many people who are shut-ins and they cannot attend a church and there are many people who may never step into a church but will turn on television.

I am asking you to do your best to keep Christian television on the air and clean up what is being put forth through television that is corrupting the minds of the children and causing so many devastating acts in this nation.
Please, please, do not allow a small majority dictate that all focus of God in this nation should be deleted. A nation without God is headed for decline real fast, and history will repeat itself and bring such a holocaust at its doors that is so destructive to our land that it will never be reversible.

Why is it okay to show pornographic movies on TV, but certain organizations are tying to take God off the air waves. Why is there so much focus on deleting anything to do with a God Who is a God of love, hope and healing to a land that needs Him so badly. I am asking you to reconsider this proposal and allow Christian television to go forth throughout the land and to other nations. It is prayer that changes things and I am asking you to not allow this proposal to pass.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Susan K. Lahr

PS. Television should be used to bring good news to people, news of hope and of love, not of sin and corruption that corrupts the minds of the people who watch it. It is a powerful tool Commissions and I pray for the sake of all people that this proposal will be stopped. Anyone who does not want to watch Christian television can push an on/off button and watch what they would rather watch. Many desire Christian television and it should not be taken from those who do. It is very easy to change the channel for the anti-God minority. Thank you again for sending this to the right location for me and know there are millions of people who are praying for our country and those in high office. On behalf of all people who desire to see sound, wholesome, family oriented, and Christian television I thank

Stephanie Kost

From: chad berliner [trinity1@centurytel.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:04 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

chad berliner
8884 pioneer rd.
larsen,wisconsin, wi 54947

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

chad berliner
92-420-5764

Stephanie Kost

From: chad berliner [trinity1@centurytel.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:04 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

chad berliner
8884 pioneer rd.
larsen,wisconsin, wi 54947

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

chad berliner
92-420-5764

Stephanie Kost

From: chad berliner [trinity1@centurytel.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:04 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

chad berliner
8884 pioneer rd.
larsen,wisconsin, wi 54947

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

chad berliner
92-420-5764

Stephanie Kost

From: chad berliner [trinity1@centurytel.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 6:04 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

chad berliner
8884 pioneer rd.
larsen,wisconsin, wi 54947

October 19, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

chad berliner
92-420-5764

Stephanie Kost

From: Chantele Barber [poohdoll1977@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:19 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber
2029 La Salle Avenue
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chantele Barber
(716) 284-6495

Stephanie Kost

From: Chantele Barber [poohdoll1977@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:19 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber
2029 La Salle Avenue
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chantele Barber
(716) 284-6495

Stephanie Kost

From: Chantele Barber [poohdoll1977@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:19 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber
2029 La Salle Avenue
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chantele Barber
(716) 284-6495

Stephanie Kost

From: Chantele Barber [poohdoll1977@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:19 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber
2029 La Salle Avenue
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chantele Barber
(716) 284-6495

Stephanie Kost

From: Chantele Barber [poohdoll1977@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 11:19 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Do Not Destroy Cable Variety

Chantele Barber
2029 La Salle Avenue
Niagara Falls, New York 14301

October 13, 2004

Kevin J Martin
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Chantele Barber
(716) 284-6495

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Knobel [ck71850@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 10:28 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlene Knobel
620 Main St
Farmington, Mn 55024

October 18, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Knobel
6514608244

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Knobel [ck71850@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 10:28 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlene Knobel
620 Main St
Farmington, Mn 55024

October 18, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Knobel
6514608244

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Knobel [ck71850@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 10:28 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlene Knobel
620 Main St
Farmington, Mn 55024

October 18, 2004

Kevin J Martin
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Knobel
6514608244

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Knobel [ck71850@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, October 18, 2004 10:28 PM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charlene Knobel
620 Main St
Farmington, Mn 55024

October 18, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Knobel
6514608244

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby
16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8
Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Kirby

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby
16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8
Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Kirby

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM
To: Michael Powell
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby
16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8
Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Michael K Powell
,

Dear Michael Powell:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Kirby

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby
16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8
Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Michael J Copps
,

Dear Michael Copps:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Kirby

Stephanie Kost

From: Charlene Kirby [hopestar6@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2004 5:23 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: No on "A La Carte" Cable

Charlene Kirby
16400 hwy. 101 N. Sp. M-8
Klamath, Ca 95548

October 14, 2004

Kevin J Martin
,

Dear Kevin Martin:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charlene Kirby

Stephanie Kost

From: Charles /Stewart [homedesigncenter@prodigy.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:35 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charles /Stewart
1641 E. Turner
Springfield, Missouri 65803

October 19, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Stewart
417-869-9554

Stephanie Kost

From: Charles /Stewart [homedesigncenter@prodigy.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:35 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charles /Stewart
1641 E. Turner
Springfield, Missouri 65803

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Stewart
417-869-9554

Stephanie Kost

From: Charles /Stewart [homedesigncenter@prodigy.net]
Sent: Tuesday, October 19, 2004 10:35 PM
To: Commissioner Adelstein
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Charles /Stewart
1641 E. Turner
Springfield, Missouri 65803

October 19, 2004

Jonathan S Adelstein
,

Dear Jonathan Adelstein:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Stewart
417-869-9554

Stephanie Kost

From: Catherine Alvarenga [oneof9@optonline.net]
Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2004 9:51 PM
To: KAQuinn
Subject: Stop "Pay Per Channel" Plans

Catherine Alvarenga
350 Hancock St
Brentwood, NY 11717

October 13, 2004

Kathleen Q Abernathy
,

Dear Kathleen Abernathy:

I have been informed that there are discussions under way to change cable service to a "pay per channel" system.

I am writing to urge you, in the strongest possible way, to oppose this move.

Pay per channel will severely diminish the variety of channel options that I currently have through cable, and will not save me any money. In fact, with the additional fees and equipment needs, it could end up costing me more.

While I understand the good intentions that are behind this, in order to give the consumer more control over what they view, this move will not only reduce the viewing options, but it will also destroy smaller channels and religious broadcasters.

A better way to ensure quality content on television is to enforce decency standards through fines and other regulatory actions.

Sincerely,

Catherine Alvarenga
631-961-9912