

COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, L.L.P.

CHRISTOPHER W. SAVAGE
ADMITTED IN DC AND CALIFORNIA
DIRECT DIAL
202-828-9811
CHRIS.SAVAGE@CRBLAW.COM

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1919 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 200
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-3458
TELEPHONE (202) 659-9750
FAX (202) 452-0067
WWW.CRBLAW.COM

LOS ANGELES OFFICE
2381 ROSECRANS AVENUE, SUITE 110
EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA 90245-4290
TELEPHONE (310) 643-7999
FAX (310) 643-7997

December 23, 2004

VIA ECFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Request for Declaratory Ruling That State Commissions May Not Regulate Broadband Internet Access Services by Requiring BellSouth to Provide Wholesale or Retail Broadband Services to CLEC UNE Voice Customers, WC Docket No. 03-251

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The purpose of this letter is to disclose for the record two permitted *ex parte* contacts in the above-noted matter. On December 22, 2004, undersigned counsel for Bright House Networks Information Services, LLC (Florida) (“BHN”) met with Mr. Christopher Libertelli of Chairman Powell’s office with regard to the above-noted matter. The substance of BHN’s presentation is summarized below.

BHN provides facilities-based voice communications services in Florida, without the use of unbundled network elements (“UNEs”) from the incumbent local exchange carrier (“ILEC”). Unfortunately, BHN is experiencing difficulties with Verizon in the Tampa area, in that Verizon will not promptly port the voice telephone number of a Verizon customer that has digital subscriber line (“DSL”) service on the voice loop. As a result, many consumers who wish to obtain voice services from BHN cannot readily do so.

This problem is broadly similar to the underlying carrier-to-carrier disputes at issue in the BellSouth matter. That said, BHN recognizes that the primary legal focus of BellSouth’s request has to do with the scope of Commission versus state-level jurisdiction over ILEC UNE obligations, rather than the merits of the underlying disputes. The purpose of the meeting with Mr. Libertelli was to ensure that the Commission was aware that there are voice service competitors in the market who are being harmed by the actual ILEC business practices underlying the BellSouth matter, even though those competitors do not in any way rely on ILEC UNEs. Given this, BHN requested that in its ultimate resolution of the BellSouth matter, the

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
December 23, 2004
Page 2

Commission avoid issuing a ruling that goes beyond the jurisdictional issues, or that could be read as approving or endorsing the underlying ILEC practice (refusing to promptly port the telephone numbers of DSL customers). Alternatively, if the Commission does address the merits of the underlying practice, then the Commission should hold that ILECs must port numbers without delay and to offer "naked DSL" *i.e.*, DSL on a line without voice service also on it.

Please feel free to contact undersigned counsel if you have any questions about this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'C' followed by a horizontal line extending to the right.

Christopher W. Savage
COLE, RAYWID & BRAVERMAN, L.L.P.

Counsel for:
**BRIGHT HOUSE NETWORKS INFORMATION
SERVICES, LLC (FLORIDA)**