ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Teri Sherlock
<ADDRESS1>3011 Mill Ridge Cr NW
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Canton
<STATE>OH
<ZIP>44708
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>jenteri@aol.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Teri Sherlock
<ADDRESS1>3011 Mill Ridge Cr NW
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Canton
<STATE>OH
<ZIP>44708
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>jenteri@aol.com
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>Teri Sherlock
<ADDRESS1>3011 Mill Ridge Cr NW
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>Canton
<STATE>OH
<ZIP>44708
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal will make my current service unaffordable. I do without long distance now. I had to drop it to keep from paying over 6.00 for a 50cent call.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair! It is unfair for me to pay for additional service I can't afford myself.

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay

less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Teri Sherlock
3011 Mill Ridge Cr NW
Canton, Ohio 44708