

Attachment 9 Monthly Cable Rates and Price Per Channel by Sample Group and Subgroup January 1, 2002						
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistics	Basic & Expanded Basic Service	Equipment	Monthly Cable Rate	Basic & Expanded Basic Channels	Price Per Channel
Sample groups combined	Average	\$36.12	\$3.77	\$39.89	---	---
	Observations	641	641	641	---	---
	Standard error	0.24	0.10	0.28	---	---
Noncompetitive group	Average	\$36.21	\$3.77	\$39.97	---	---
	Observations	406	406	406	---	---
	Standard error	0.20	0.09	0.24	---	---
Competitive group	Average	\$35.06	\$3.88	\$38.94	---	---
	Observations	235	235	235	---	---
	Standard error	0.72	0.23	0.79	---	---
Wireline competition	Average	\$31.22	\$3.67	\$34.89	---	---
	Observations	115	115	115	---	---
	Standard error	0.66	0.21	0.73	---	---
Wireline incumbent	Average	\$31.01	\$3.85	\$34.86	---	---
	Observations	65	65	65	---	---
	Standard error	0.64	0.20	0.70	---	---
Wireline rival	Average	\$32.13	\$2.89	\$35.02	---	---
	Observations	50	50	50	---	---
	Standard error	0.74	0.27	0.86	---	---
DBS competition *	Average	\$36.72	\$3.57	\$40.29	---	---
	Observations	52	52	52	---	---
	Standard error	0.85	0.27	0.91	---	---
Wireless competition *	Average	\$38.80	\$4.64	\$43.44	---	---
	Observations	29	29	29	---	---
	Standard error	0.67	0.19	0.73	---	---
Low penetration test	Average	\$34.95	\$3.18	\$38.12	---	---
	Observations	39	39	39	---	---
	Standard error	0.81	0.39	0.99	---	---

* These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system. Source: Survey.

Attachment 10 Change in Monthly Revenue and Operating Expense per Subscriber Excluding Corporate Overhead and Capital Expenses All Cable Services, 2002 to 2003						
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistics	Revenue	Total Operating Expense *	Basic & Expanded Basic Programming as a Component of Operating Expense		
				Total	Sports	News
Sample groups combined	Average	\$5.88	\$3.49	\$1.10	\$0.41	\$0.06
	Observations	589	589	589	589	589
	Standard error	0.37	0.24	0.07	0.03	0.01
	5% Trimmed	\$5.88	\$3.24	\$1.06	\$0.39	\$0.05
Noncompetitive group	Average	\$5.92	\$3.50	\$1.09	\$0.41	\$0.06
	Observations	376	376	376	376	376
	Standard error	0.28	0.18	0.06	0.02	0.01
	5% Trimmed	\$5.92	\$3.22	\$1.05	\$0.38	\$0.05
Competitive group	Average	\$5.40	\$3.40	\$1.19	\$0.42	\$0.07
	Observations	213	213	213	213	213
	Standard error	1.37	0.93	0.21	0.08	0.02
	5% Trimmed	\$5.43	\$3.48	\$1.21	\$0.44	\$0.07
Wireline competition	Average	\$5.14	\$2.68	\$1.12	\$0.38	\$0.06
	Observations	103	103	103	103	103
	Standard error	1.67	1.07	0.26	0.11	0.03
	5% Trimmed	\$5.55	\$2.77	\$1.22	\$0.44	\$0.06
DBS competition **	Average	\$8.34	\$5.61	\$1.62	\$0.61	\$0.14
	Observations	45	45	45	45	45
	Standard error	1.15	0.84	0.20	0.06	0.03
	5% Trimmed	\$8.07	\$5.59	\$1.58	\$0.61	\$0.13
Wireless competition **	Average	\$2.12	\$2.58	\$0.81	\$0.23	\$0.02
	Observations	29	29	29	29	29
	Standard error	1.16	0.61	0.17	0.05	0.01
	5% Trimmed	\$2.07	\$2.49	\$0.79	\$0.22	\$0.02
Low penetration test	Average	\$7.96	-\$0.14	\$1.25	\$0.55	\$0.09
	Observations	36	36	36	36	36
	Standard error	1.43	2.19	0.15	0.08	0.03
	5% Trimmed	\$7.10	\$1.52	\$1.26	\$0.53	\$0.06

* Operating Expense does not include the following expenses: Corporate overhead, depreciation and amortization, interest expense, losses from sale or disposition of property, and extraordinary expenses.

** These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system.

Source: Survey.

Attachment 11 Service Installation Charges				
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistic	Service Installation: Unwired Residence	Service Installation: Pre-Wired Residence	Reconnection of Cable Service
January 1, 2004 *				
Sample groups combined	Average	\$45.03	\$31.25	\$28.60
	<i>Observations</i>	641	641	641
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.49	0.43	0.40
Noncompetitive group	Average	\$45.19	\$31.24	\$28.71
	<i>Observations</i>	406	406	406
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.43	0.36	0.36
Competitive group	Average	\$43.25	\$31.47	\$27.43
	<i>Observations</i>	235	235	235
	<i>Standard error</i>	1.19	1.30	0.88
Wireline competition	Average	\$43.00	\$31.57	\$26.76
	<i>Observations</i>	115	115	115
	<i>Standard error</i>	1.22	1.31	0.88
Wireline incumbent	Average	\$44.40	\$31.16	\$26.66
	<i>Observations</i>	65	65	65
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.71	0.87	0.66
Wireline rival	Average	\$36.79	\$33.36	\$27.17
	<i>Observations</i>	50	50	50
	<i>Standard error</i>	3.46	3.28	1.84
DBS competition ***	Average	\$41.20	\$32.41	\$29.36
	<i>Observations</i>	52	52	52
	<i>Standard error</i>	1.28	1.19	0.84
Wireless competition ***	Average	\$45.58	\$29.73	\$25.80
	<i>Observations</i>	29	29	29
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.86	1.26	0.74
Low penetration test	Average	\$45.04	\$34.90	\$30.34
	<i>Observations</i>	39	39	39
	<i>Standard error</i>	2.42	2.15	1.99
July 1, 2002 **				
Sample groups combined	Average	\$41.30	\$29.09	\$26.03
Noncompetitive group	Average	\$41.85	\$29.44	\$26.28
Competitive group	Average	\$37.95	\$27.23	\$25.10

* Source: Survey.

** From previous survey for comparison. Source: Survey and Statistical Report on Average Rates for Basic Service, Cable Programming Service, and Equipment, 17 FCC Rcd 6301 (2002).

*** These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system.

Attachment 12 Digital Television Service Monthly Cable Rate & Price Per Channel January 1, 2004						
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistic	Major Digital Tier	Digital Converter & Remote Control	Monthly Price	Channels on Major Digital Tier	Price Per Digital Channel
Sample groups combined	Average	\$10.72	\$5.33	\$16.05	31.6	\$0.583
	<i>Observations</i>	600	600	600	600	599
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.33	0.10	0.30	0.7	0.016
Noncompetitive group	Average	\$10.79	\$5.30	\$16.09	31.4	\$0.588
	<i>Observations</i>	393	393	393	393	392
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.29	0.09	0.27	0.6	0.015
Competitive group	Average	\$9.93	\$5.66	\$15.58	33.9	\$0.524
	<i>Observations</i>	207	207	207	207	207
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.81	0.24	0.72	1.8	0.035
Wireline competition	Average	\$9.96	\$5.68	\$15.64	33.8	\$0.513
	<i>Observations</i>	99	99	99	99	99
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.61	0.22	0.58	1.4	0.029
Wireline incumbent	Average	\$8.70	\$5.55	\$14.26	33.5	\$0.483
	<i>Observations</i>	64	64	64	64	64
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.49	0.19	0.44	1.5	0.027
Wireline rival	Average	\$15.54	\$6.24	\$21.78	35.0	\$0.645
	<i>Observations</i>	35	35	35	35	35
	<i>Standard error</i>	1.11	0.38	1.21	1.3	0.037
DBS competition *	Average	\$9.55	\$5.58	\$15.13	39.0	\$0.424
	<i>Observations</i>	49	49	49	49	49
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.99	0.23	0.88	1.8	0.028
Wireless competition *	Average	\$10.68	\$5.52	\$16.20	29.2	\$0.643
	<i>Observations</i>	29	29	29	29	29
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.87	0.23	0.68	2.0	0.051
Low penetration test	Average	\$7.49	\$6.78	\$14.27	29.1	\$0.571
	<i>Observations</i>	30	30	30	30	30
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.95	0.36	1.04	2.5	0.044

* These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system. *Source:* Survey.

Attachment 13 Digital Television Service Monthly Cable Rate & Price Per Channel January 1, 2003						
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistic	Major Digital Tier	Digital Converter & Remote Control	Monthly Price	Channels on Major Digital Tier	Price Per Digital Channel
Sample groups combined	Average	\$10.08	\$5.12	\$15.20	27.4	\$0.682
	Observations	561	561	561	561	557
	Standard error	0.30	0.10	0.27	0.8	0.022
Noncompetitive group	Average	\$10.21	\$5.08	\$15.29	27.3	\$0.686
	Observations	370	370	370	370	366
	Standard error	0.27	0.09	0.24	0.7	0.019
Competitive group	Average	\$8.60	\$5.59	\$14.19	28.4	\$0.636
	Observations	191	191	191	191	191
	Standard error	0.65	0.23	0.61	2.0	0.054
Wireline competition	Average	\$9.13	\$5.43	\$14.56	28.8	\$0.641
	Observations	95	95	95	95	95
	Standard error	0.66	0.22	0.66	1.8	0.050
Wireline incumbent	Average	\$8.17	\$5.26	\$13.43	29.5	\$0.581
	Observations	63	63	63	63	63
	Standard error	0.56	0.20	0.55	1.7	0.046
Wireline rival	Average	\$13.38	\$6.20	\$19.59	25.5	\$0.906
	Observations	32	32	32	32	32
	Standard error	1.08	0.34	1.17	2.2	0.068
DBS competition *	Average	\$6.60	\$5.72	\$12.32	31.8	\$0.455
	Observations	38	38	38	38	38
	Standard error	0.45	0.23	0.46	2.0	0.035
Wireless competition *	Average	\$10.23	\$5.50	\$15.73	24.5	\$0.829
	Observations	28	28	28	28	28
	Standard error	0.84	0.22	0.66	2.3	0.081
Low penetration test	Average	\$7.44	\$6.60	\$14.03	25.8	\$0.633
	Observations	30	33	30	30	30
	Standard error	0.80	0.34	0.87	2.1	0.049

* These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system. Source: Survey.

Attachment 14 Digital Television Service Monthly Cable Rate & Price Per Channel January 1, 2002						
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistic	Major Digital Tier	Digital Converter & Remote Control	Monthly Price	Channels on Major Digital Tier	Price Per Digital Channel
Sample groups combined	Average	\$9.61	\$4.87	\$14.48	---	---
	Observations	511	511	511	---	---
	Standard error	0.30	0.11	0.28	---	---
Noncompetitive group	Average	\$9.72	\$4.84	\$14.56	---	---
	Observations	335	335	335	---	---
	Standard error	0.27	0.09	0.24	---	---
Competitive group	Average	\$8.29	\$5.24	\$13.54	---	---
	Observations	176	176	176	---	---
	Standard error	0.65	0.23	0.66	---	---
Wireline competition	Average	\$8.62	\$5.07	\$13.68	---	---
	Observations	86	86	86	---	---
	Standard error	0.67	0.23	0.71	---	---
Wireline incumbent	Average	\$7.80	\$4.85	\$12.65	---	---
	Observations	56	56	56	---	---
	Standard error	0.56	0.20	0.60	---	---
Wireline rival	Average	\$12.24	\$6.04	\$18.27	---	---
	Observations	30	30	30	---	---
	Standard error	1.16	0.36	1.22	---	---
DBS competition *	Average	\$6.33	\$5.70	\$12.03	---	---
	Observations	35	35	35	---	---
	Standard error	0.39	0.27	0.41	---	---
Wireless competition *	Average	\$10.21	\$4.78	\$15.00	---	---
	Observations	27	27	27	---	---
	Standard error	0.90	0.17	0.86	---	---
Low penetration test	Average	\$6.86	\$6.57	\$13.43	---	---
	Observations	28	28	28	---	---
	Standard error	0.72	0.39	0.83	---	---

* These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system. Source: Survey.

Attachment 15 Cable System Operating Capacity					
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistics	Capacity in MHz	Percent of Subscribers Served by Systems With Capacity of		
			212 to 749 MHz	750 MHz	751 to 870 MHz
January 1, 2004 *					
Sample groups combined	Average	734	14.3%	59.6%	26.1%
	Observations	641	641	641	641
	Standard error	7.0	0.020	0.028	0.024
Noncompetitive group	Average	734	14.3%	59.3%	26.4%
	Observations	406	406	406	406
	Standard error	6.1	0.017	0.024	0.022
Competitive group	Average	734	14.5%	62.4%	23.1%
	Observations	235	235	235	235
	Standard error	17.2	0.052	0.067	0.054
Wireline combined	Average	745	10.6%	63.5%	25.9%
	Observations	115	115	115	115
	Standard error	13.6	0.040	0.061	0.056
Wireline incumbent	Average	746	10.8%	61.5%	27.7%
	Observations	65	65	65	65
	Standard error	13.0	0.039	0.061	0.056
Wireline rival	Average	739	10.0%	72.0%	18.0%
	Observations	50	50	50	50
	Standard error	15.9	0.043	0.064	0.055
DBS Competition ***	Average	750	15.4%	46.1%	38.5%
	Observations	52	52	52	52
	Standard error	19.3	0.051	0.070	0.068
Wireless Competition ***	Average	718	13.8%	82.8%	3.4%
	Observations	29	29	29	29
	Standard error	18.2	0.065	0.071	0.034
Low penetration test	Average	627	46.2%	38.4%	15.4%
	Observations	39	39	39	39
	Standard error	29.2	0.081	0.079	0.059
July 1, 2002 **					
Sample groups combined	Average	694	26.6%	55.6%	17.8%
Noncompetitive group	Average	696	26.6%	55.2%	18.2%
Competitive group	Average	677	27.3%	61.1%	11.6%
July 1, 1999 **					
Sample groups combined	Average	534	53.9%	44.4%	1.7%
Noncompetitive group	Average	532	54.2%	44.0%	1.8%
Competitive group	Average	619	44.1%	55.9%	0.0%

* Source: Survey.

** Results from previous surveys, presented for comparison. Source: Survey and Statistical Report on Average Rates for Basic Service, Cable Programming Service, and Equipment, 17 FCC Rcd 6301 (2002); 15 FCC Rcd 10927 (2000).

*** These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system.

Attachment 16 Percent of Subscribers Offered Digital Programming and Advanced Services and Percent of Those Subscribers Taking Services							
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistic	Digital Programming		Cable Internet Access		Telephony	
		Percent Offered	Of Those, Percent Subscribing	Percent Offered	Of Those, Percent Subscribing*	Percent Offered	Of Those, Percent Subscribing*
January 1, 2004							
Sample groups	Average	97.3%	35.2%	94.8%	26.1%	28.5%	12.9%
	<i>Observations</i>	656	613	641	597	641	170
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02
	5% Trimmed	99.9%	35.0%	99.6%	25.7%	26.1%	11.4%
Noncompetitive group	Average	97.3%	34.9%	94.8%	26.0%	28.8%	12.1%
	<i>Observations</i>	406	395	406	385	406	117
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
	5% Trimmed	100.0%	34.7%	99.8%	25.7%	26.5%	10.5%
Competitive group	Average	96.9%	37.7%	93.9%	26.7%	24.9%	22.0%
	<i>Observations</i>	250	218	235	212	235	53
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.08
	5% Trimmed	98.5%	37.8%	97.6%	26.4%	22.5%	21.7%
Wireline combined	Average	97.1%	34.8%	97.3%	30.3%	23.1%	32.9%
	<i>Observations</i>	130	107	115	110	115	29
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05
	5% Trimmed	97.8%	34.9%	99.4%	30.2%	20.1%	32.2%
Wireline incumbent	Average	100.0%	36.7%	98.5%	27.1%	21.5%	20.4%
	<i>Observations</i>	65	65	65	64	65	14
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05
	5% Trimmed	100.0%	37.2%	100.0%	27.2%	18.4%	19.7%
Wireline rival	Average	84.0%	26.4%	92.0%	44.8%	30.0%	88.2%
	<i>Observations</i>	65	42	50	46	50	15
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.08
	5% Trimmed	87.8%	24.6%	96.7%	44.0%	27.8%	88.1%
DBS competition **	Average	96.2%	40.5%	94.2%	25.4%	3.8%	16.5%
	<i>Observations</i>	52	50	52	49	52	2
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.16
	5% Trimmed	100.0%	40.5%	99.1%	25.1%	0.0%	16.5%
Wireless competition **	Average	100.0%	38.4%	93.1%	22.6%	51.7%	8.6%
	<i>Observations</i>	29	29	29	27	29	15
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.09	0.01
	5% Trimmed	100.0%	38.8%	97.9%	22.4%	51.9%	8.4%
Low penetration test	Average	82.1%	40.2%	66.7%	27.4%	17.9%	45.1%
	<i>Observations</i>	39	32	39	26	39	7
	<i>Standard error</i>	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.06	0.15
	5% Trimmed	85.6%	39.2%	68.5%	26.3%	14.4%	44.2%

* While share is calculated in this attachment as the percent of Internet or telephony subscribers to basic service subscribers, we note that a small percentage of advanced services subscribers do not subscribe to cable television service. This percentage was estimated as of July 2002 to be 3.4% regarding cable Internet access. See *Statistical Report on Average Rates for Basic Service, Cable Programming Service, and Equipment*, 18 FCC Rcd 13284 n.16 (2003).

** These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system.

Source: Survey.

Attachment 17 Number of Channels January 1, 2004							
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistic	Analog Channels			Digital Channels		
		Basic & Expanded Basic	Premium, Pay, & Mini Tiers	Total	Major Digital Tier	Premium, Pay, & Mini Tiers	Total
Sample groups combined	Average	70.3	3.0	73.3	31.6	118.5	150.1
	Observations	641	641	641	600	600	600
	Standard error	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.7	2.8	3.0
Noncompetitive group	Average	70.1	3.1	73.2	31.4	118.0	149.4
	Observations	406	406	406	393	393	393
	Standard error	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.6	2.5	2.6
Competitive group	Average	72.5	2.2	74.7	33.9	123.8	157.7
	Observations	235	235	235	207	207	207
	Standard error	1.3	0.5	1.4	1.8	7.0	7.7
Wireline competition	Average	74.9	3.5	78.4	33.8	120.7	154.5
	Observations	115	115	115	99	99	99
	Standard error	1.2	0.6	1.3	1.4	5.6	6.2
Wireline incumbent	Average	75.3	2.1	77.4	33.5	129.0	162.5
	Observations	65	65	65	64	64	64
	Standard error	1.1	0.5	1.2	1.5	5.9	6.6
Wireline rival	Average	72.8	9.9	82.6	35.0	84.2	119.2
	Observations	50	50	50	35	35	35
	Standard error	1.5	1.4	1.9	1.3	4.0	4.4
DBS competition *	Average	70.5	1.3	71.8	39.0	104.8	143.8
	Observations	52	52	52	49	49	49
	Standard error	2.0	0.4	2.0	1.8	7.2	8.3
Wireless competition *	Average	72.9	1.2	74.1	29.2	155.4	184.7
	Observations	29	29	29	29	29	29
	Standard error	0.5	0.5	0.6	2.0	8.3	8.7
Low penetration test	Average	62.2	2.3	64.4	29.1	84.1	113.2
	Observations	39	39	39	30	30	30
	Standard error	2.4	0.6	2.5	2.5	9.4	10.5

* These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system. Source: Survey.

Attachment 18 Number of Channels January 1, 2003							
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistic	Analog Channels			Digital Channels		
		Basic & Expanded Basic	Premium, Pay, & Mini Tiers	Total	Major Digital Tier	Premium, Pay, & Mini Tiers	Total
Sample groups combined	Average	67.5	5.6	73.1	27.4	109.0	136.4
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>641</i>	<i>641</i>	<i>641</i>	<i>561</i>	<i>561</i>	<i>561</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.7</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>2.7</i>	<i>3.0</i>
Noncompetitive group	Average	67.3	5.6	73.0	27.3	108.8	136.0
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>406</i>	<i>406</i>	<i>406</i>	<i>370</i>	<i>370</i>	<i>370</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>0.5</i>	<i>0.3</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>0.7</i>	<i>2.5</i>	<i>2.7</i>
Competitive group	Average	69.7	4.7	74.4	28.4	112.2	140.6
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>235</i>	<i>235</i>	<i>235</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>191</i>	<i>191</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>0.7</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>5.7</i>	<i>6.7</i>
Wireline competition	Average	71.2	6.9	78.2	28.8	119.4	148.2
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>95</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>0.9</i>	<i>0.9</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>1.8</i>	<i>5.3</i>	<i>5.8</i>
Wireline incumbent	Average	71.5	6.1	77.6	29.5	125.2	154.7
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>63</i>	<i>63</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>1.2</i>	<i>1.7</i>	<i>5.4</i>	<i>6.1</i>
Wireline rival	Average	69.9	10.5	80.5	25.5	93.8	119.3
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>32</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>1.4</i>	<i>1.9</i>	<i>2.2</i>	<i>4.5</i>	<i>4.4</i>
DBS competition *	Average	67.7	2.1	69.8	31.8	90.3	122.0
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>38</i>	<i>38</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>0.4</i>	<i>2.1</i>	<i>2.0</i>	<i>6.7</i>	<i>7.9</i>
Wireless competition *	Average	71.2	4.7	75.9	24.5	131.9	156.4
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>29</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>28</i>	<i>28</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>0.7</i>	<i>0.8</i>	<i>0.9</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>4.8</i>	<i>6.2</i>
Low penetration test	Average	60.1	2.6	62.8	25.8	76.4	102.2
	<i>Observations</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>39</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>30</i>	<i>30</i>
	<i>Standard error</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>2.3</i>	<i>2.1</i>	<i>7.9</i>	<i>8.9</i>

* These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system. *Source:* Survey.

Attachment 19 Number of Local Channels On Basic & Expanded Basic January 1, 2004						
Sample Groups & Subgroups	Statistic	Local Broadcast Stations	Public, Ed., & Govt.	Local Leased Access	Other Local Channels	Total Local Channels
Sample groups combined	Average	12.2	2.7	0.8	1.3	16.9
	Observations	641	641	641	641	641
	Standard error	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
Noncompetitive group	Average	12.2	2.7	0.8	1.3	16.9
	Observations	406	406	406	406	406
	Standard error	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
Competitive group	Average	12.3	2.5	0.8	1.3	16.9
	Observations	235	235	235	235	235
	Standard error	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.7
Wireline competition	Average	11.3	2.9	0.7	1.0	15.9
	Observations	115	115	115	115	115
	Standard error	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.6
Wireline incumbent	Average	11.5	3.0	0.7	1.1	16.3
	Observations	65	65	65	65	65
	Standard error	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.6
Wireline rival	Average	10.3	2.4	0.7	0.4	13.7
	Observations	50	50	50	50	50
	Standard error	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.5
DBS competition *	Average	14.2	1.8	0.9	0.6	17.5
	Observations	52	52	52	52	52
	Standard error	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.9
Wireless competition *	Average	11.9	2.8	0.6	2.8	18.1
	Observations	29	29	29	29	29
	Standard error	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.6
Low penetration test	Average	11.0	1.9	0.6	0.7	14.2
	Observations	39	39	39	39	39
	Standard error	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.7

* These averages include cable system prices in communities with an Effective Competition finding based on DBS or wireless competition, but not the prices charged by the competing DBS or wireless system. Source: Survey.

**JOINT STATEMENT OF
COMMISSIONERS MICHAEL J. COPPS AND JONATHAN S. ADELSTEIN
CONCURRING**

Re: Implementation of Section 3 of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992; Statistical Report on Average Rates for Basic Service Cable Programming Service and Equipment

Annual Assessment of the Status of Competition in the Market for the Delivery of Video Programming

In Sections 623(k) and 628(g), Congress charged the Commission with reporting annually on cable rates and on the status of competition in the market for the delivery of video programming. As the government's expert agency, Congress expected the Commission to gather comprehensive data and subject it to in-depth analysis in these reports. Unfortunately, in these reports, the Commission gathers less than adequate data and conducts less analysis than it did even a few years ago. At a time of significant increases in rates year after year, Congress and consumers deserve a better effort from the FCC.

We took issue with our Report on cable rates last year because we believed the analysis was insufficient. At that time, the Commission recognized the report's shortcomings, noting that "in several previous surveys, we included an econometric analysis of the survey results." The Commission further stated its "plan to resume the econometric analysis in subsequent reports." Yet, this year, the Commission again fails to conduct this analysis which in the past has provided information on specific factors that influence rate increases and the extent of that influence. Moreover, the Commission once again did not audit any of its results, notwithstanding problems with our methodology disclosed in a recent report from the General Accounting Office.

We remain concerned that this year's competition report continues to serve mainly as a recitation of the record rather than providing an in-depth analysis of the status of competition. As with last year's version, this report fails to examine adequately the circumstances that distinguish those places where competition is occurring and those where it is not, and to evaluate barriers to greater competition. And it fails to consider sufficiently many of the important issues raised in the Notice, such as the impact of increasing vertical and horizontal consolidation of our media. In sum, the report seldom delves beneath the surface.

In part, the fault lies with the limited data we received in response to our notices. But it is also incumbent on the Commission to undertake a pro-active and comprehensive information-gathering effort and then to commit the resources necessary to analyze the data.

We recognize that there have been some positive steps in these reports in response to previous criticisms. For example, we are pleased that we have at long last begun to analyze what is happening in other countries. In addition, we are also pleased that we have added a separate section that focuses specifically on video program distribution in rural areas. In future years, we would like to see us build on the discussions here.

Finally, notwithstanding the concerns we have expressed with our reports, none of our comments should take away from the large investments that have been made by those that deliver video programming. Nor do our concerns with the reports diminish the benefits American consumers receive as new services are deployed. These investments and services come not only from existing participants in

the market but from telephone companies and others that are expanding their efforts to deliver video programming. These reports serve as the factual foundation for many Commission decisions as well as providing Congress with statutorily-mandated information that can inform the national policy debate. We have an obligation to do more to gather accurate and complete data as well as provide the information and analysis that Congress required.