


OCDAC

DOCKET
Deaf Moving Onto New Frontiers"

April 3, 2005

Reply to comments submitted regarding;
CC DOCKET NO. 98-67
CG DOCKET NO. 03-123



In the week after submitting my letter of March 4, 2005 to FCC in support of the interoperability petition and putting links to both the letter of March 4, 2005 and the interoperability petition at our website at <http://www.deafadvocacy.org> and at my (Advocacy) section of <http://www.deafnewspaper.com> , I had to deal with intimidating tactics by people who have put themselves in the grips of Sorenson Media Inc. ('Sorenson').

The links at issue is our response at <http://www.deafadvocacy.com/fcc030405.pdf> and the interoperability petition http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-05-509A1.pdf and such links to our response at from our website and my advocacy section at <Http://www.deafnewspaper.com> website. And after putting such links into my section of <http://www.deafnewspaper.com> , in the 'Advocacy' section asking others to support of the petition. Now archived at <http://www.deafadvocacy.com/news/mar0505.htm> originally aired at <http://www.deafnewspaper.com/advocacy/advocacy.html> as part of my online submission for the week ending of March 5, 2005 as a volunteer (unpaid) editor of the advocacy section.

Specifically this person, Ken Davis, who happened to be a Sorenson employee or contractor in the state of Colorado also owned the Deafnewspaper.com website, had told me in an online conversation that he had to remove my section from the Deafnewspaper.com otherwise Sorenson would fire him. It's safe to say that Sorenson didn't want any information that will negatively affect their business be carried on any of the websites owned by their employees or contractors. It's safe to say that Sorenson doesn't believe in free speech and choices.

To allow a communication service company to restrict their members to their own carriers would be extremely unfair to many other telecommunications companies especially telephone companies to offer subscriber perks like \$19.95 a month DSL accounts and not restrict them to their own long distance carriers. Interoperability is a must for a pro-competitive framework of the Video Relay Service concept.

All telephones are 'interoperable' and the same should apply to videophones as well.

And in this light we are strong supporters of choices and we feel that all VRS providers should embrace the concept of healthy competition and not restrict the choices of their customers.

Respectfully submitted,
Richard Roehm
Chief Executive Officer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Richard Roehm".

ORANGE COUNTY DEAF ADVOCACY CENTER
2960 Main Street, Suite A100 Irvine, California 92614
(949) 955-0054 TDD/FAX - WWW.DEAFADVOCACY.ORG

DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

- o This document is confidential (**NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION**)

- o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the ECFS system.

- o Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.

- o Other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the ECFS system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed (**EXCLUDING CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS**) by contacting an Information Technician at the FCC Reference Information Centers) at 445 12th Street, SW, Washington, DC, Room CY-A257. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician

1 Diskette (See 98-67)