

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED **EX PARTE**

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP

PHILIP L. VERVEER
202 303 1117
pverveer@willkie.com

1875 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1238
Tel: 202 303 1000
Fax: 202 303 2000

May 4, 2005

RECEIVED

MAY - 4 2005

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554


ORIGINAL

Re: Ex Parte --- WT Docket No. 05-63

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Attached are corrected versions of two *ex parte* submissions by me on May 3. These versions should replace the two submissions.

Sincerely,



Philip L. Verveer

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd
List AD303: 012

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER_{LLP}

RECEIVED

MAY - 4 2005

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

PHILIP L. VERVEER
202 303 1117
pverveer@willkie.com

1875 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1238
Tel: 202 303 1000
Fax: 202 303 2000

May 4, 2005

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte --- WT Docket No. 05-63


Dear Ms. Dortch:

Lawrence R. Krevor and Regina M. Keeney on behalf of Nextel Communications, Inc. and Vonya B. McCann and I on behalf of Sprint Corporation met May 2 with John Branscome, Acting Legal Advisor to Commissioner Kathleen Abernathy, in connection with the proposed merger of Nextel and Sprint. We reviewed the material in the record, noting particularly the views of merger proponents and opponents with respect to mobile telephony competition, 800 MHz rebanding, and Broadband Radio Service spectrum holdings.

As to the latter two matters, we indicated that the Commission recently has addressed relevant policy questions in a comprehensive way and continues to do so in connection with reconsideration petitions. We also indicated that the concerns raised by merger opponents have no or only very tenuous relationships to the transaction. As to the issue of competition in mobile telephony markets, we indicated that the Commission's decision approving the Cingular-AT&T Wireless merger has produced an analytical framework for reviewing the merger. We expressed the view that the legal and policy issues associated with the merger are straightforward and governed by recent precedent, and, as a result, lend themselves to an early decision on the transfer applications.

In accordance with the Commission's rules, I am submitting two copies of this letter.

Sincerely,


Philip L. Verveer

cc: John Branscome

WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER_{LLP}

PHILIP L. VERVEER
202 303 1117
pverveer@willkie.com

1875 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1238
Tel: 202 303 1000
Fax: 202 303 2000

May 4, 2005

Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Ex Parte --- WT Docket No. 05-63


Dear Ms. Dortch:

Lawrence R. Krevor and Regina M. Keeney on behalf of Nextel Communications, Inc. and Vonya B. McCann and I on behalf of Sprint Corporation met on May 2 with Barry Ohlson, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein, in connection with the proposed merger of Nextel and Sprint. We reviewed the material in the record, noting particularly the views of merger proponents and opponents with respect to mobile telephony competition, 800 MHz rebanding, and Broadband Radio Service spectrum holdings.

As to the latter two matters, we indicated that the Commission recently has addressed relevant policy questions in a comprehensive way and continues to do so in connection with reconsideration petitions. We also indicated that the concerns raised by merger opponents have no or only very tenuous relationships to the transaction. As to the issue of competition in mobile telephony markets, we indicated that the Commission's decision approving the Cingular-AT&T Wireless merger has produced an analytical framework for reviewing the merger. We expressed the view that the legal and policy issues associated with the merger are straightforward and governed by recent precedent, and, as a result, lend themselves to an early decision on the transfer applications.

In accordance with the Commission's rules, I am submitting two copies of this letter.

Sincerely,


Philip L. Verveer

cc: Barry Ohlson