

May 12, 2005

Writer's Direct Contact  
202/887-6931  
jrichter@mofo.com

***Via Electronic Filing***

Marlene H. Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: **Ex Parte Notice**  
CC Docket No. 02-6  
Consolidated Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal  
Service Administrator

Dear Ms. Dortch:

SEND Technologies, LLC ("SEND"), through counsel, supplements two pending Consolidated Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator ("Requests for Review") that were previously filed by SEND and Morehouse, Jackson and Richland Parish School Districts (the "Schools").<sup>1</sup> The Requests for Review seek reversal of the Universal Service Administrative Company's ("USAC") denial of the Schools' funding requests under the Schools and Libraries Program because perceived "similarities" between the Schools' Form 470 applications "suggest" to USAC improper vendor involvement in the Schools' competitive bidding processes. This supplement provides additional support refuting USAC's denials.

---

<sup>1</sup> Consolidated Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator, Morehouse Parish School District and Jackson Parish School District, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed January 10, 2005); Consolidated Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator, Morehouse Parish School District and Richland Parish School District, CC Docket No. 02-6 (filed August 23, 2004).

Please note that a third Request for Review, filed by SEND and Webster Parish School District on April 25, 2005 ("Webster Request for Review"), raise identical issues to the Requests for Review that are being supplemented here. The information contained in this supplement was included in the Webster Request for Review, thus, it is not necessary to supplement that filing. SEND, Webster, and the Schools have asked that all of these Requests for Review be consolidated.

Marlene H. Dortch  
May 12, 2005  
Page Two

USAC denied the Schools' funding requests based upon three alleged "similarities." As explained in the Requests for Review, each of the perceived similarities can be easily explained, and the factual underpinnings do not indicate that there was impermissible service provider involvement that tainted the Schools' competitive bidding processes. In particular, one of the "similarities" is the school identifiers listed in the Form 470 applications. The Requests for Review explain that the Schools decided to use their school district numbers that were assigned by the state as their Form 470 identifiers, and have done so for multiple years. The attached charts list the school district numbers that are used by the Schools and many other Louisiana school districts as their Form 470 identifiers. The charts demonstrate that any similarities in the identifiers are the result of the Schools' use of their state assigned numbers, not involvement by a service provider.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, an electronic copy of this letter is being filed with the office of the Secretary. If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact the undersigned.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Jennifer L. Richter

Jennifer L. Richter  
*Counsel to SEND Technologies, LLC*

Attachment

cc: Lisa Gelb (FCC)  
Narda Jones (FCC)  
Vickie Robinson (FCC)  
Ruth Yodaiken (FCC)  
Dana Bradford (FCC)  
Greg Lipscomb (FCC)  
Kenneth Sills (Counsel to the Schools)