


Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



WT Docket No. 96-86
Comments

To: The Commission

The employees of Hi-Desert Communications wants to advise the FCC of a number of concerns it has about the proposal to require radios using only the 700 MHz wideband general use channels to be capable of operating on the interoperable channels as well. Although we support interoperability, we do not believe this specific requirement is reasonable or necessary. It is of even greater concern because of the FCC's decision to adopt the SAM standard for all 700 MHz wideband equipment.

Here are some of the reasons we think the proposal is a bad idea:

At earlier stages of this proceeding, the FCC correctly decided that public safety users are entitled to some discretion in how they design and operate their systems. We all have certain unique operating requirements depending on factors such as whether we are in urban or rural areas, the types of communications we intend to handle, and the frequency with which we need to coordinate with other organizations. The FCC's active role in encouraging interoperability is welcome, particularly for voice communications where everyone will be using a common language. However, we had planned on and need the option of operating radios on the wideband general use channels to meet our specific wideband data applications. Forcing us to have SAM capability in those radios certainly will make that difficult and may make it impossible.

One major issue is that SAM-enabled equipment is not available today; it isn't clear when it will be. That means that all wideband deployment, and even wideband planning, will have to be put on hold for the time being. It took the FCC and the industry a long time and a lot of effort to get access to this 700 MHz spectrum. We want to use it as soon as possible.

Another concern is that this additional requirement will increase the cost of 700 MHz wideband equipment by some as yet unknown amount. It also is expected to require more infrastructure to get the same coverage. That may not be an issue in urban areas where public safety users already use multiple sites. It will have a major cost impact on rural systems if they have to build additional sites just to make the equipment meet a standard for a use they don't anticipate activating.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
Listed as _____


0

If the FCC believes a wideband data “pipe” with interoperability capability is essential, it should look to the recent 4.9 GHz allocation. Because of the FCC’s decisions, this exclusively public safety Wi-Fi-like allocation is being populated by inexpensive wideband devices and enhanced by mesh networking techniques that will support on-scene communications among entities on even an ad hoc basis. Some users may decide they want to have that type of data interoperability at 700 MHz in which case there are channels designated for just that purpose. However, a rule that imposes that obligation on all 700 MHz wideband data users is economically unjustified and operationally unnecessary.


Thank you for considering our comments on this very important matter.

Submitted by,
Hi-Desert Communications

By: Michael Fletcher
Title: Communications Consultant

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Michael Fletcher', is written over the printed name and title. The signature is stylized and somewhat illegible due to overlapping loops and lines.

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



WT Docket No. 96-86
Comments

To: The Commission

The employees of Hi-Desert Communications wants to advise the FCC of a number of concerns it has about the proposal to require radios using only the 700 MHz wideband general use channels to be capable of operating on the interoperable channels as well. Although we support interoperability, we do not believe this specific requirement is reasonable or necessary. It is of even greater concern because of the FCC's decision to adopt the SAM standard for all 700 MHz wideband equipment.

Here are some of the reasons we think the proposal is a bad idea:

At earlier stages of this proceeding, the FCC correctly decided that public safety users are entitled to some discretion in how they design and operate their systems. We all have certain unique operating requirements depending on factors such as whether we are in urban or rural areas, the types of communications we intend to handle, and the frequency with which we need to coordinate with other organizations. The FCC's active role in encouraging interoperability is welcome, particularly for voice communications where everyone will be using a common language. However, we had planned on and need the option of operating radios on the wideband general use channels to meet our specific wideband data applications. Forcing us to have SAM capability in those radios certainly will make that difficult and may make it impossible.

One major issue is that SAM-enabled equipment is not available today; it isn't clear when it will be. That means that all wideband deployment, and even wideband planning, will have to be put on hold for the time being. It took the FCC and the industry a long time and a lot of effort to get access to this 700 MHz spectrum. We want to use it as soon as possible.

Another concern is that this additional requirement will increase the cost of 700 MHz wideband equipment by some as yet unknown amount. It also is expected to require more infrastructure to get the same coverage. That may not be an issue in urban areas where public safety users already use multiple sites. It will have a major cost impact on rural systems if they have to build additional sites just to make the equipment meet a standard for a use they don't anticipate activating.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List Attached _____

0

If the FCC believes a wideband data "pipe" with interoperability capability is essential, it should look to the recent 4.9 GHz allocation. Because of the FCC's decisions, this exclusively public safety Wi-Fi-like allocation is being populated by inexpensive wideband devices and enhanced by mesh networking techniques that will support on-scene communications among entities on even an ad hoc basis. Some users may decide they want to have that type of data interoperability at 700 MHz in which case there are channels designated for just that purpose. However, a rule that imposes that obligation on all 700 MHz wideband data users is economically unjustified and operationally unnecessary.


Thank you for considering our comments on this very important matter.

Submitted by,
Hi-Desert Communications

By: Scott Chandler
Title: Service Technician

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Scott Chandler". The signature is written in a cursive style with a prominent initial "S".

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



WT Docket No. 96-86
Comments

To: The Commission

The employees of Hi-Desert Communications wants to advise the FCC of a number of concerns it has about the proposal to require radios using only the 700 MHz wideband general use channels to be capable of operating on the interoperable channels as well. Although we support interoperability, we do not believe this specific requirement is reasonable or necessary. It is of even greater concern because of the FCC's decision to adopt the SAM standard for all 700 MHz wideband equipment.

Here are some of the reasons we think the proposal is a bad idea:

At earlier stages of this proceeding, the FCC correctly decided that public safety users are entitled to some discretion in how they design and operate their systems. We all have certain unique operating requirements depending on factors such as whether we are in urban or rural areas, the types of communications we intend to handle, and the frequency with which we need to coordinate with other organizations. The FCC's active role in encouraging interoperability is welcome, particularly for voice communications where everyone will be using a common language. However, we had planned on and need the option of operating radios on the wideband general use channels to meet our specific wideband data applications. Forcing us to have SAM capability in those radios certainly will make that difficult and may make it impossible.

One major issue is that SAM-enabled equipment is not available today; it isn't clear when it will be. That means that all wideband deployment, and even wideband planning, will have to be put on hold for the time being. It took the FCC and the industry a long time and a lot of effort to get access to this 700 MHz spectrum. We want to use it as soon as possible.

Another concern is that this additional requirement will increase the cost of 700 MHz wideband equipment by some as yet unknown amount. It also is expected to require more infrastructure to get the same coverage. That may not be an issue in urban areas where public safety users already use multiple sites. It will have a major cost impact on rural systems if they have to build additional sites just to make the equipment meet a standard for a use they don't anticipate activating.


No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABOVE _____

0


If the FCC believes a wideband data “pipe” with interoperability capability is essential, it should look to the recent 4.9 GHz allocation. Because of the FCC’s decisions, this exclusively public safety Wi-Fi-like allocation is being populated by inexpensive wideband devices and enhanced by mesh networking techniques that will support on-scene communications among entities on even an ad hoc basis. Some users may decide they want to have that type of data interoperability at 700 MHz in which case there are channels designated for just that purpose. However, a rule that imposes that obligation on all 700 MHz wideband data users is economically unjustified and operationally unnecessary.

Thank you for considering our comments on this very important matter.

Submitted by,
Hi-Desert Communications


By: Bob Tau
Title: Director of Marketing

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



WT Docket No. 96-86
Comments

To: The Commission

The employees of Hi-Desert Communications wants to advise the FCC of a number of concerns it has about the proposal to require radios using only the 700 MHz wideband general use channels to be capable of operating on the interoperable channels as well. Although we support interoperability, we do not believe this specific requirement is reasonable or necessary. It is of even greater concern because of the FCC's decision to adopt the SAM standard for all 700 MHz wideband equipment.

Here are some of the reasons we think the proposal is a bad idea:

At earlier stages of this proceeding, the FCC correctly decided that public safety users are entitled to some discretion in how they design and operate their systems. We all have certain unique operating requirements depending on factors such as whether we are in urban or rural areas, the types of communications we intend to handle, and the frequency with which we need to coordinate with other organizations. The FCC's active role in encouraging interoperability is welcome, particularly for voice communications where everyone will be using a common language. However, we had planned on and need the option of operating radios on the wideband general use channels to meet our specific wideband data applications. Forcing us to have SAM capability in those radios certainly will make that difficult and may make it impossible.

One major issue is that SAM-enabled equipment is not available today; it isn't clear when it will be. That means that all wideband deployment, and even wideband planning, will have to be put on hold for the time being. It took the FCC and the industry a long time and a lot of effort to get access to this 700 MHz spectrum. We want to use it as soon as possible.

Another concern is that this additional requirement will increase the cost of 700 MHz wideband equipment by some as yet unknown amount. It also is expected to require more infrastructure to get the same coverage. That may not be an issue in urban areas where public safety users already use multiple sites. It will have a major cost impact on rural systems if they have to build additional sites just to make the equipment meet a standard for a use they don't anticipate activating.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABOVE _____

0

If the FCC believes a wideband data "pipe" with interoperability capability is essential, it should look to the recent 4.9 GHz allocation. Because of the FCC's decisions, this exclusively public safety Wi-Fi-like allocation is being populated by inexpensive wideband devices and enhanced by mesh networking techniques that will support on-scene communications among entities on even an ad hoc basis. Some users may decide they want to have that type of data interoperability at 700 MHz in which case there are channels designated for just that purpose. However, a rule that imposes that obligation on all 700 MHz wideband data users is economically unjustified and operationally unnecessary.

Thank you for considering our comments on this very important matter.

Submitted by,
Hi-Desert Communications

By: Oscar Gudino
Title: Service Technician

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Oscar Gudino". The signature is stylized and cursive, with a prominent initial "O" and "G".

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED
MAY 26 2005
FCC - MAILROOM

WT Docket No. 96-86
Comments

To: The Commission

The employees of Hi-Desert Communications wants to advise the FCC of a number of concerns it has about the proposal to require radios using only the 700 MHz wideband general use channels to be capable of operating on the interoperable channels as well. Although we support interoperability, we do not believe this specific requirement is reasonable or necessary. It is of even greater concern because of the FCC's decision to adopt the SAM standard for all 700 MHz wideband equipment.

Here are some of the reasons we think the proposal is a bad idea:

At earlier stages of this proceeding, the FCC correctly decided that public safety users are entitled to some discretion in how they design and operate their systems. We all have certain unique operating requirements depending on factors such as whether we are in urban or rural areas, the types of communications we intend to handle, and the frequency with which we need to coordinate with other organizations. The FCC's active role in encouraging interoperability is welcome, particularly for voice communications where everyone will be using a common language. However, we had planned on and need the option of operating radios on the wideband general use channels to meet our specific wideband data applications. Forcing us to have SAM capability in those radios certainly will make that difficult and may make it impossible.

One major issue is that SAM-enabled equipment is not available today; it isn't clear when it will be. That means that all wideband deployment, and even wideband planning, will have to be put on hold for the time being. It took the FCC and the industry a long time and a lot of effort to get access to this 700 MHz spectrum. We want to use it as soon as possible.

Another concern is that this additional requirement will increase the cost of 700 MHz wideband equipment by some as yet unknown amount. It also is expected to require more infrastructure to get the same coverage. That may not be an issue in urban areas where public safety users already use multiple sites. It will have a major cost impact on rural systems if they have to build additional sites just to make the equipment meet a standard for a use they don't anticipate activating.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

0

If the FCC believes a wideband data “pipe” with interoperability capability is essential, it should look to the recent 4.9 GHz allocation. Because of the FCC’s decisions, this exclusively public safety Wi-Fi-like allocation is being populated by inexpensive wideband devices and enhanced by mesh networking techniques that will support on-scene communications among entities on even an ad hoc basis. Some users may decide they want to have that type of data interoperability at 700 MHz in which case there are channels designated for just that purpose. However, a rule that imposes that obligation on all 700 MHz wideband data users is economically unjustified and operationally unnecessary.

Thank you for considering our comments on this very important matter.


Submitted by,
Hi-Desert Communications



By: Brian Vieira
Title: Operations Manager

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY OF ORIGINAL



WT Docket No. 96-86
Comments

To: The Commission

The employees of Hi-Desert Communications wants to advise the FCC of a number of concerns it has about the proposal to require radios using only the 700 MHz wideband general use channels to be capable of operating on the interoperable channels as well. Although we support interoperability, we do not believe this specific requirement is reasonable or necessary. It is of even greater concern because of the FCC's decision to adopt the SAM standard for all 700 MHz wideband equipment.

Here are some of the reasons we think the proposal is a bad idea:

At earlier stages of this proceeding, the FCC correctly decided that public safety users are entitled to some discretion in how they design and operate their systems. We all have certain unique operating requirements depending on factors such as whether we are in urban or rural areas, the types of communications we intend to handle, and the frequency with which we need to coordinate with other organizations. The FCC's active role in encouraging interoperability is welcome, particularly for voice communications where everyone will be using a common language. However, we had planned on and need the option of operating radios on the wideband general use channels to meet our specific wideband data applications. Forcing us to have SAM capability in those radios certainly will make that difficult and may make it impossible.

One major issue is that SAM-enabled equipment is not available today; it isn't clear when it will be. That means that all wideband deployment, and even wideband planning, will have to be put on hold for the time being. It took the FCC and the industry a long time and a lot of effort to get access to this 700 MHz spectrum. We want to use it as soon as possible.


Another concern is that this additional requirement will increase the cost of 700 MHz wideband equipment by some as yet unknown amount. It also is expected to require more infrastructure to get the same coverage. That may not be an issue in urban areas where public safety users already use multiple sites. It will have a major cost impact on rural systems if they have to build additional sites just to make the equipment meet a standard for a use they don't anticipate activating.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABOVE _____

d


If the FCC believes a wideband data "pipe" with interoperability capability is essential, it should look to the recent 4.9 GHz allocation. Because of the FCC's decisions, this exclusively public safety Wi-Fi-like allocation is being populated by inexpensive wideband devices and enhanced by mesh networking techniques that will support on-scene communications among entities on even an ad hoc basis. Some users may decide they want to have that type of data interoperability at 700 MHz in which case there are channels designated for just that purpose. However, a rule that imposes that obligation on all 700 MHz wideband data users is economically unjustified and operationally unnecessary.

Thank you for considering our comments on this very important matter.

Submitted by,
Hi-Desert Communications
By: 
Title: Wireless Manager

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



WT Docket No. 96-86
Comments

To: The Commission

The employees of Hi-Desert Communications wants to advise the FCC of a number of concerns it has about the proposal to require radios using only the 700 MHz wideband general use channels to be capable of operating on the interoperable channels as well. Although we support interoperability, we do not believe this specific requirement is reasonable or necessary. It is of even greater concern because of the FCC's decision to adopt the SAM standard for all 700 MHz wideband equipment.

Here are some of the reasons we think the proposal is a bad idea:

At earlier stages of this proceeding, the FCC correctly decided that public safety users are entitled to some discretion in how they design and operate their systems. We all have certain unique operating requirements depending on factors such as whether we are in urban or rural areas, the types of communications we intend to handle, and the frequency with which we need to coordinate with other organizations. The FCC's active role in encouraging interoperability is welcome, particularly for voice communications where everyone will be using a common language. However, we had planned on and need the option of operating radios on the wideband general use channels to meet our specific wideband data applications. Forcing us to have SAM capability in those radios certainly will make that difficult and may make it impossible.

One major issue is that SAM-enabled equipment is not available today; it isn't clear when it will be. That means that all wideband deployment, and even wideband planning, will have to be put on hold for the time being. It took the FCC and the industry a long time and a lot of effort to get access to this 700 MHz spectrum. We want to use it as soon as possible.


Another concern is that this additional requirement will increase the cost of 700 MHz wideband equipment by some as yet unknown amount. It also is expected to require more infrastructure to get the same coverage. That may not be an issue in urban areas where public safety users already use multiple sites. It will have a major cost impact on rural systems if they have to build additional sites just to make the equipment meet a standard for a use they don't anticipate activating.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____


0

If the FCC believes a wideband data "pipe" with interoperability capability is essential, it should look to the recent 4.9 GHz allocation. Because of the FCC's decisions, this exclusively public safety Wi-Fi-like allocation is being populated by inexpensive wideband devices and enhanced by mesh networking techniques that will support on-scene communications among entities on even an ad hoc basis. Some users may decide they want to have that type of data interoperability at 700 MHz in which case there are channels designated for just that purpose. However, a rule that imposes that obligation on all 700 MHz wideband data users is economically unjustified and operationally unnecessary.

Thank you for considering our comments on this very important matter.

Submitted by,
Hi-Desert Communications
By: 
Title: General Manager

Office of the Secretary **DOCKET FILE ORIGINAL**
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



WT Docket No. 96-86
Comments

To: The Commission

The employees of Hi-Desert Communications wants to advise the FCC of a number of concerns it has about the proposal to require radios using only the 700 MHz wideband general use channels to be capable of operating on the interoperable channels as well. Although we support interoperability, we do not believe this specific requirement is reasonable or necessary. It is of even greater concern because of the FCC's decision to adopt the SAM standard for all 700 MHz wideband equipment.

Here are some of the reasons we think the proposal is a bad idea:

At earlier stages of this proceeding, the FCC correctly decided that public safety users are entitled to some discretion in how they design and operate their systems. We all have certain unique operating requirements depending on factors such as whether we are in urban or rural areas, the types of communications we intend to handle, and the frequency with which we need to coordinate with other organizations. The FCC's active role in encouraging interoperability is welcome, particularly for voice communications where everyone will be using a common language. However, we had planned on and need the option of operating radios on the wideband general use channels to meet our specific wideband data applications. Forcing us to have SAM capability in those radios certainly will make that difficult and may make it impossible.

One major issue is that SAM-enabled equipment is not available today; it isn't clear when it will be. That means that all wideband deployment, and even wideband planning, will have to be put on hold for the time being. It took the FCC and the industry a long time and a lot of effort to get access to this 700 MHz spectrum. We want to use it as soon as possible.

Another concern is that this additional requirement will increase the cost of 700 MHz wideband equipment by some as yet unknown amount. It also is expected to require more infrastructure to get the same coverage. That may not be an issue in urban areas where public safety users already use multiple sites. It will have a major cost impact on rural systems if they have to build additional sites just to make the equipment meet a standard for a use they don't anticipate activating.

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List ABCDE _____

0

If the FCC believes a wideband data "pipe" with interoperability capability is essential, it should look to the recent 4.9 GHz allocation. Because of the FCC's decisions, this exclusively public safety Wi-Fi-like allocation is being populated by inexpensive wideband devices and enhanced by mesh networking techniques that will support on-scene communications among entities on even an ad hoc basis. Some users may decide they want to have that type of data interoperability at 700 MHz in which case there are channels designated for just that purpose. However, a rule that imposes that obligation on all 700 MHz wideband data users is economically unjustified and operationally unnecessary.

Thank you for considering our comments on this very important matter.

Submitted by,
Hi-Desert Communications

By: Matt Zwarkowski
Title: Shipping and Receiving Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Matt Zwarkowski". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.