

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Applications for Consent to the Assignment and/or Transfer of Control of Licenses)	
)	
Adelphia Communications Corporation (And subsidiaries, debtors-in-possession), Assignors to)	
Time Warner Cable Inc. (Subsidiaries), Assignees;)	
)	MB Docket No. 05-192
Adelphia Communications Corporation (and subsidiaries, debtors-in-possession), Assignors and Transferors, to)	
Comcast Corporation (subsidiaries), Assignees and Transferees;)	
)	
Comcast Corporation, Transferor, to Time Warner Inc., Transferee;)	
)	
Time Warner Inc., Transferor, to Comcast Corporation, Transferee.)	

To: Chief, Media Bureau

**MOTION IN SUPPORT OF EXTENSION OF TIME OF
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS
OFFICERS AND ADVISORS**

The National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors (NATOA) files this motion in support of the *Motion for Extension of Time* of the National Hispanic Media Coalition, *et al.*, filed with the FCC on June 22, 2005 (Petitioners). Representing the telecommunications needs and interests of local governments and those who advise local governments, NATOA is committed to a full and comprehensive review of the merger by the FCC. Such a review is impossible without the benefit of a careful examination of the record by

all interested parties. Because Applicants in the above captioned proceeding only recently submitted necessary information for a thorough analysis of the merger, an extension is warranted. NATOA supports the proposed extension of one month from the date of submission of the new data.

Petitioners have explained in some detail why they needed access to a complete record in order to prepare comments on the effect of the merger on competition and the public interest. In addition, an unstated yet critical assumption underlying the Applicants' filing is that Applicants are taking the necessary steps to obtain local franchise approval for the merger (licenses sought to be transferred here cannot be transferred to an entity that does not have a valid cable franchise). Without a list of affected franchise areas (which we understand the company has submitted or is in the process of submitting) it would have been virtually impossible to check whether the company had sought or is seeking required local approvals.

In light of the unrealistically short pleading cycle initially adopted, and the Applicants' delay in providing necessary information, NATOA urges the FCC to extend the current pleading cycle for one month. This will allow for the thorough examination of the record by interested parties that the public interest demands. It is also consistent with Commission precedent. *See, e.g., LUJ, Inc.*, 17 FCC Rcd. 16980 (2002).

Respectfully submitted,

Libby Beaty
Executive Director
National Association of Telecommunications
Officers and Advisors
1800 Diagonal Road, Suite 495
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 519-8035

June 23, 2005