

I feel that I've gained the privacy, the peace and quiet of my home by not having it interrupted by telemarketing solitictors. I am so grateful that the "no call" list was created.

We are confronted by advertising in all areas of our life. We can't get away from it, except when no call list was implimented I was elated that there was concern for the consumer not to have to deal with this aspect of life in the home via the phone as well. On the internet, unwanted email is called spam. Phone solitictation is another form of spam, and it's not wanted. The yellow pages in the phone directory and word of mouth work very well, when a service is wanted and needed. I don't need people "in my face" helping me spend my money. It's unfortunate that phone solitictation is an acceptable method of intrusive panhandling.

Maybe we need a stronger national "no call" list to let the mega corporations know that we have rights to. I should be able to say how I want my phone used. Phone is more intrusive than the mail, and almost as annoying as internet spam.

Please consider the consumer and the impact it has upon those of us who want the freedom of choice, and in that freedom of choice we should have the right to say who we want to call and who we don't. At least for known callers we can block calls. We are at the mercy of telemarketers, and can't block calls that come in unknown number or privacy. Now I think telemarkets should reveal their phone numbers.

Thank you for considering my comments. I'm for the consumer protection from invasive phone spamming, or legal panhandling, and join thousands of others who have similar feelings.