

Dear Commissioners:

I write to you today because I:

1) Support strong state-level protections against telemarketing if they surpass the protections provided by the Federal DNC. To be crystal clear, if they're better than what the Federal law provides - I want those stronger, better laws enforced. The Federal law should be the MINIMUM standard.

2) Don't want ANY pre-recorded messages or telemarketing, even from your own bank or companies with which you do business ever.

3) Believe that you should fix the "established business relationship" loophole so that businesses can't telemarket individuals unless they first give clear notice of the intent to telemarket, and gain verifiable, affirmative consent of the customer. Moreover, stipulate that they must gain verifiable, affirmative consent of the customer via regular first class mail, and that they must provide a business reply envelope for an affirmative response. All responses should be required to remain on file for 10 years in their paper form. Each violation should result in an immediate \$50,000.00 fine - no exceptions.

4) Respectfully demand that the FCC protect the privacy and sanctity of the American household by denying the petitions filed in the following docket numbers: CG Docket No. 02-278, DA 05-1346, DA 05-1347, DA 04-3185, DA 04-3187, DA 04-3835, DA 04-3836, DA 04-3837, and DA 05-342.

5) Believe that you should remove ALL exemptions and stipulate that anyone on the National DNC List can not be called by anyone whether they are telemarketer, politician, surveyor, etc. unless they have affirmative consent by the telephone subscriber or their authorized lawful agent to do otherwise; such affirmative consent may be revoked by the telephone subscriber or their authorized lawful agent at any time, and all calls must stop as of the day following the date of receipt of the revocation as determined by a certified mailing via USPS, return receipt requested, and that if the entity

having such authorization revoked refuses the notice and/or refuses to sign the return receipt, that the notification shall remain in full force and effect as if it had not been refused and/or signed.

In essence - if I'm on the National DNC - that means I'm 100% not interested in hearing from anyone I don't know - whether I have some strong or tenuous "business relationship" or not.

6) Believe the right to be let alone is well-settled law that has been heard numerous times by the US Sup. Ct. in a broad spectrum of matters, including, but not limited to those dealing with marketing via the USPS. Refer to my original comment regarding the implementation of the National DNC under the same email address for a supporting and relevant US Sup. Ct. case citation.

For the FCC to allow some persons or entities to contact me against my express wishes is tantamount to legalizing a form of trespass and violating the ancient tradition that one's home is a castle which not even the King may breach without permission. In the instant case, I've put out an electronic NO TRESPASSING notice, and I demand that it be adhered to 100% at all times. The fact that I may miss out on some message which someone considers to be important is my decision - I made it, I've made it clearly available to them, and it doesn't violate their right to speech - they can still speak, I just can not be compelled to listen nor should I be.

The National DNC and the State DNC's are not vague or unconstitutional - limitations on "commercial" speech are well-settled law, and the Petitioners are not being denied their rights to speech or due process. Indeed, they know PRECISELY what they must do - NOT CALL A PARTICULAR PHONE NUMBER ON A LIST THAT IS EASILY AVAILABLE.

I hope that the Commission will send a strong and crystal clear message to the swine that are attempting to trespass upon my privacy and property and say NO!

Thank you for your time and for protecting and defending the members of the Public against such attacks, it is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

/s/

David S. Greenberg