

Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554

AUG 10 4 13 PM '05  
FCC 05M-37  
04615

<b>In the Matter of</b>	)	<b>EB Docket No. 04-381</b>
	)	
<b>Florida Cable Telecommunications</b>	)	
<b>Association, Inc.; Comcast Cablevision of</b>	)	
<b>Panama City, Inc.; Mediacom Southeast,</b>	)	
<b>L.L.C.; and Cox Communications Gulf,</b>	)	
<b>L.L.C.,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Complainants,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>v.</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Gulf Power Company,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Respondent.</b>	)	

**ORDER**

**Issued:** August 4, 2005

**Released:** April 5, 2005

On August 2, 2005, Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc., Cox Communications Gulf Coast, L.L.C., Comcast Cablevision of Panama City, Inc., Mediacom Southeast, L.L.C., and Bright House Networks, L.L.C. ("Complainants") filed Complainants' Motion to Dismiss.


The parties have agreed to the following pleadings cycle:

- August 29, 2005** - Gulf Power to file Opposition/Response.
- September 6, 2005** - Complainants to file Reply.
- September 16, 2005** - Enforcement Bureau to file Response/Comments.

September 20, 2005 - Oral Argument (if needed).<sup>1</sup>

SO ORDERED.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION<sup>2</sup>

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Richard L. Sippel". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized initial "R".

Richard L. Sippel  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Complainants request oral argument. Gulf Power does not agree that oral argument is necessary but agrees to the date. The Enforcement Bureau takes no position on oral argument. The Presiding Judge will decide on oral argument after completion of the pleading cycle.

<sup>2</sup> Courtesy copies of this *Order* were transmitted to counsel for each of the parties by e-mail on the date of issuance.