

RECEIVED & INSPECTED  
DEC - 9 2005  
FCC - MAILROOM

Pine Street Chinese Benevolent Assn.  
124 Pine St.  
San Anselmo, CA 94960

DOCUMENT IS ORIGINAL

96-45

Kevin J. Martin, Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St. SW  
Washington, DC, 20554

ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Martin:

Please drop plans to change the USF from collection on a usage basis to a flat fee. Citizens who save money by limiting their phone calls should not be penalized for doing so.

A flat fee tax could cause many low-volume long distance users, like students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers, to give up their phones because of unaffordable increases on their bills. Shifting the funding burden of the USF from high volume to low-volume users is radical and unnecessary. In addition, it would have a highly detrimental effect on small businesses all across America.

It is no defense to say that the law doesn't require phone companies to pass the charges on; they do so, regardless. Making those who use less pay for the calls of high-use customers is blatantly unfair.

Sincerely,



Michael Broffman

File of ... 0

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED & INSPECTED  
DEC - 9 2005  
FCC - MAILROOM

938 Marco Place  
Venice, CA 90291-3920

96-45-

Kevin J. Martin, Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St. SW  
Washington, DC, 20554

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Martin:

Please drop plans to change the USF from collection on a usage basis to a flat fee. Citizens who save money by limiting their phone calls should not be penalized for doing so.

A flat fee tax could cause many low-volume long distance users, like students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers, to give up their phones because of unaffordable increases on their bills. Shifting the funding burden of the USF from high volume to low-volume users is radical and unnecessary. In addition, it would have a highly detrimental effect on small businesses all across America.

It is no defense to say that the law doesn't require phone companies to pass the charges on; they do so, regardless. Making those who use less pay for the calls of high-use customers is blatantly unfair.

Sincerely,

Dennis R. Hicks & Stephanie Waxman

0

RECEIVED & INSPECTED  
DEC - 9 2005  
FCC - MAILROOM

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

866 Clement St.  
S. Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

ac-ys

Kevin J. Martin, Chairman  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th St. SW  
Washington, DC, 20554

ORIGINAL  
ORIGINAL

Dear Mr. Martin:

Please drop plans to change the USF from collection on a usage basis to a flat fee. Citizens who save money by limiting their phone calls should not be penalized for doing so.

A flat fee tax could cause many low-volume long distance users, like students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers, to give up their phones because of unaffordable increases on their bills. Shifting the funding burden of the USF from high volume to low-volume users is radical and unnecessary. In addition, it would have a highly detrimental effect on small businesses all across America.

It is no defense to say that the law doesn't require phone companies to pass the charges on; they do so, regardless. Making those who use less pay for the calls of high-use customers is blatantly unfair.

Sincerely,



Aaron Culp

No. of Copies 0  
List ABCDE