

EXHIBIT A1

**FY2004-2005 USAC Decision on Appeal for
Jackson Parish School District**



Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2004-2005

December 30, 2005

Jennifer L. Richter
Patton Boggs LLP
2550 M Street, Northwest
Washington, DC 20037

Re: Applicant Name: JACKSON PARISH SCHOOL DISTRICT
Billed Entity Number: 139315
Form 471 Application Number: 423981
Funding Request Number(s): 1171008
Your Correspondence Dated: August 15, 2005

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of SLD's Funding Year 2004 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day time period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 1171008
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- You are appealing the SLD's decision of denying the funding request because similarities in Form 470s and in the submission of Form 470 certification pages amongst applicants using this service provider suggest service provider involvement in the competitive bidding process. You state that the SLD's internal guidelines for denying funding requests based upon a "pattern analysis" were not satisfied in the case of Jackson or Morehouse. You also state that over 60 funding requests made by Louisiana schools chose Nexus were funded. In closing the appeal, you affirm that the funding request should be approved on the basis stated above.
- Upon thorough review of the appeal letter and supporting documentation, it was determined that the SLD's decision to deny the funding request was correct.

Close examination of Jackson Parish School District's documentation submitted by the school in response to SLD's request displayed striking similarities in the submission of Form 470s and Form 470 certification pages, which indicates possible conflict of interest in the competitive bidding process. On appeal, you raised the issue that over 60 funding requests made by Louisiana schools chose Nexus were funded. Your funding request was denied because you referenced a FY2002-2003 Form 470, which had failed the pattern analysis for similarities in the Form 470 submission and Form 470 certification pages. You have failed to provide persuasive evidence on appeal that SLD erred in its initial review.

- SLD denied your funding request because it determined that similarities in the Form 470 provided to SLD among applicants associated with this vendor, indicate that the vendor was improperly involved in the competitive bidding and/or vendor selection process. In your appeal, you have not shown that SLD's determination was incorrect. Consequently, SLD denies your appeal.
- FCC rules require applicants to submit an FCC Form 470 to USAC for posting on its web site. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b). The FCC requires applicants to "submit a complete description of the services they seek so that it may be posted for competing service providers to evaluate." Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, *Report and Order*, FCC 97-157, ¶ 570 (rel. May 8, 1997) (*Universal Service Order*). The FCC requires "the application to describe the services that the schools and libraries seek to purchase in sufficient detail to enable potential providers to formulate bids." *Id.* ¶ 575. The Form 470 warns applicants that "[s]ervice provider involvement with the preparation or certification of a Form 470 can taint the competitive bidding process and result in the denial of funding requests." See Schools and Libraries Universal Service, Description of Services Requested and Certification Form 470, OMB 3060-0806 (*FCC Form 470*). Once the applicant enters into an agreement(s) with the service provider(s), the applicant submits an FCC Form 471 to SLD. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c). The FCC has stated that applicants cannot abdicate control over the application process to a service provider that is associated with the FCC Form 471 for that applicant. Request for Review by Bethlehem Temple Christian School, *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, DA-01-852 ¶ 6 (rel. Apr. 6, 2001).
- Pursuant to its authority to administer the Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism, SLD selects certain applicants for a Selective Review to ensure that they are following FCC rules relating to, among other things, the competitive bidding process. Applicants who are chosen for this review are sent the "E-Rate Selective Review Information Request." As part of this request, applicants are asked to answer certain questions regarding their competitive bidding and vendor selection process. In particular, applicants are asked to:

Please provide complete documentation indicating how and why you selected the service provider(s). This documentation should include a description of your evaluation process and the factors you used to determine the winning contract(s).

- According to the Selective Review Information Request, the person authorized by the applicant to sign on the applicant's behalf, or the entity's authorized representative, is required to certify that the authorized signer prepared the responses to the Selective Review Information Request on behalf of the entity.

If your appeal has been approved, but funding has been reduced or denied, you may appeal these decisions to either the SLD or the FCC. For appeals that have been denied in full, partially approved, dismissed, or canceled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. Your appeal must be received or postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

cc: Mike Staples

EXHIBIT A2

**FY2004-2005 USAC Decision on Appeal for
Morehouse Parish School District**



Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2004-2005

December 30, 2005

Jennifer L. Richter
Patton Boggs LLP
2550 M Street, Northwest
Washington, DC 20037

Re: Applicant Name: MOREHOUSE PARISH SCHOOL DIST
Billed Entity Number: 139312
Form 471 Application Number: 409404
Funding Request Number(s): 1122380
Your Correspondence Dated: August 15, 2005

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of SLD's Funding Year 2004 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day time period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 1122380
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- You are appealing the SLD's decision of denying the funding request because the similarities in the Form 470 and in the submission of Form 470 certification pages amongst applicants using this service provider suggest service provider involvement in the competitive bidding process. You state that the SLD's internal guidelines for denying funding requests based upon a "pattern analysis" were not satisfied in the case of Jackson or Morehouse. In closing the appeal, you affirm that the funding request should be approved on the basis stated above.
- Upon thorough review of the appeal letter and supporting documentation, it was determined that the SLD's decision to deny the funding request was correct. Close examination of Morehouse Parish School District's documentation submitted by the school in response to SLD's request displayed striking

similarities to the submission of Forms 470 and pattern Form 470 certification pages, which indicates possible conflict of interest in the competitive bidding process. On appeal, you raised the issue that over 60 funding requests made by Louisiana schools that chose Nexus were funded. Your funding request was denied because Morehouse Parish School District referenced a FY2002-2003 Form 470, which had failed the pattern analysis for similarities in the Form 470 submission and Form 470 certification pages. You have failed to provide persuasive evidence on appeal that SLD erred in its initial review.

- SLD denied your funding request because it determined that similarities in the Form 470s provided to SLD among applicants associated with this vendor, indicate that the vendor was improperly involved in the competitive bidding and/or vendor selection process. In your appeal, you have not shown that SLD's determination was incorrect. Consequently, SLD denies your appeal.
- FCC rules require applicants to submit an FCC Form 470 to USAC for posting on its web site. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b). The FCC requires applicants to "submit a complete description of the services they seek so that it may be posted for competing service providers to evaluate." Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, *Report and Order*, FCC 97-157, ¶ 570 (rel. May 8, 1997) (*Universal Service Order*). The FCC requires "the application to describe the services that the schools and libraries seek to purchase in sufficient detail to enable potential providers to formulate bids." *Id.* ¶ 575. The Form 470 warns applicants that "[s]ervice provider involvement with the preparation or certification of a Form 470 can taint the competitive bidding process and result in the denial of funding requests." *See* Schools and Libraries Universal Service, Description of Services Requested and Certification Form 470, OMB 3060-0806 (*FCC Form 470*). Once the applicant enters into an agreement(s) with the service provider(s), the applicant submits an FCC Form 471 to SLD. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c). The FCC has stated that applicants cannot abdicate control over the application process to a service provider that is associated with the FCC Form 471 for that applicant. Request for Review by Bethlehem Temple Christian School, *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, DA-01-852 ¶ 6 (rel. Apr. 6, 2001).
- Pursuant to its authority to administer the Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism, SLD selects certain applicants for a Selective Review to ensure that they are following FCC rules relating to, among other things, the competitive bidding process. Applicants who are chosen for this review are sent the "E-Rate Selective Review Information Request." As part of this request, applicants are asked to answer certain questions regarding their competitive bidding and vendor selection process. In particular, applicants are asked to:

Please provide complete documentation indicating how and why you selected the service provider(s). This documentation should include a description of your evaluation process and the factors you used to determine the winning contract(s).

- According to the Selective Review Information Request, the person authorized by the applicant to sign on the applicant's behalf, or the entity's authorized representative, is required to certify that the authorized signer prepared the responses to the Selective Review Information Request on behalf of the entity.

If your appeal has been approved, but funding has been reduced or denied, you may appeal these decisions to either the SLD or the FCC. For appeals that have been denied in full, partially approved, dismissed, or canceled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. Your appeal must be received or postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

cc: Rick Van Loon

EXHIBIT A3

**FY2004-2005 USAC Decision on Appeal for
Franklin Academy**



Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division

Administrator's Decision on Appeal – Funding Year 2004-2005

December 30, 2005

Jennifer L. Richter
Patton Boggs LLP
2550 M Street, Northwest
Washington, DC 20037

Re: Applicant Name: FRANKLIN ACADEMY
Billed Entity Number: 81728
Form 471 Application Number: 412894
Funding Request Number(s): 1133118
Your Correspondence Dated: August 15, 2005

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) of the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) has made its decision in regard to your appeal of SLD's Funding Year 2004 Funding Commitment Decision Letter for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day time period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). If your Letter of Appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that you will receive a separate letter for each application.

Funding Request Number(s): 1133118
Decision on Appeal: **Denied**
Explanation:

- You are appealing the SLD's decision of denying the funding request because there are similarities in Form 470 and in the submission of Forms 470s certification pages amongst applicants using this service provider suggest service provider involvement in the competitive bidding Process. You state that the SLD's internal guidelines for denying funding requests based upon a "pattern analysis" were not satisfied in case of Franklin Academy. You also state that over 60 funding requests made by Louisiana schools chose Nexus were funded. In closing the appeal, you affirm that the funding request should be approved on the basis stated above.
- Upon thorough review of the appeal letter and supporting documentation, it was determined that the SLD's decision to deny the funding request was correct.

Close examination of Franklin Academy's documentation submitted by the school in response to SLD's request displayed striking similarities in the submission of Form 470s and Form 470 certification pages, which indicates possible conflict of interest in the competitive bidding process. On appeal, you raised the issue that over 60 funding requests made by Louisiana schools chose Nexus were funded. Your funding request was denied because Franklin Academy referenced a FY2003-2004 Form 470, which had failed the pattern analysis for similarities in the Form 470 submission and Form 470 certification pages. You have failed to provide persuasive evidence on appeal that SLD erred in its initial review.

- SLD denied your funding request because it determined that similarities in the Form 470s provided to SLD among applicants associated with this vendor, indicate that the vendor was improperly involved in the competitive bidding and/or vendor selection process. In your appeal, you have not shown that SLD's determination was incorrect. Consequently, SLD denies your appeal.
- FCC rules require applicants to submit an FCC Form 470 to USAC for posting on its web site. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(b). The FCC requires applicants to "submit a complete description of the services they seek so that it may be posted for competing service providers to evaluate." Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, *Report and Order*, FCC 97-157, ¶ 570 (rel. May 8, 1997) (*Universal Service Order*). The FCC requires "the application to describe the services that the schools and libraries seek to purchase in sufficient detail to enable potential providers to formulate bids." *Id.* ¶ 575. The Form 470 warns applicants that "[s]ervice provider involvement with the preparation or certification of a Form 470 can taint the competitive bidding process and result in the denial of funding requests." See Schools and Libraries Universal Service, Description of Services Requested and Certification Form 470, OMB 3060-0806 (*FCC Form 470*). Once the applicant enters into an agreement(s) with the service provider(s), the applicant submits an FCC Form 471 to SLD. 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(c). The FCC has stated that applicants cannot abdicate control over the application process to a service provider that is associated with the FCC Form 471 for that applicant. Request for Review by Bethlehem Temple Christian School, *Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, Changes to the Board of Directors of the National Exchange Carrier Association, Inc.*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, DA-01-852 ¶ 6 (rel. Apr. 6, 2001).
- Pursuant to its authority to administer the Schools and Libraries Support Mechanism, SLD selects certain applicants for a Selective Review to ensure that they are following FCC rules relating to, among other things, the competitive bidding process. Applicants who are chosen for this review are sent the "E-Rate Selective Review Information Request." As part of this request, applicants are asked to answer certain questions regarding their competitive bidding and vendor selection process. In particular, applicants are asked to:

Please provide complete documentation indicating how and why you selected the service provider(s). This documentation should include a description of your evaluation process and the factors you used to determine the winning contract(s).

- According to the Selective Review Information Request, the person authorized by the applicant to sign on the applicant's behalf, or the entity's authorized representative, is required to certify that the authorized signer prepared the responses to the Selective Review Information Request on behalf of the entity.

If your appeal has been approved, but funding has been reduced or denied, you may appeal these decisions to either the SLD or the FCC. For appeals that have been denied in full, partially approved, dismissed, or canceled, you may file an appeal with the FCC. You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. Your appeal must be received or postmarked within 60 days of the date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use the electronic filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

cc: Cynthia Roberson

EXHIBIT B1

**FY2004-2005 SLD Funding Commitment Decision
Letter for Jackson Parish School District**

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT

Service Provider Name: Nexus Systems, Inc.
Service Provider Identification Number: 143027251
Funding Request Number: 1171008
Form 471 Application Number: 423981
Form 470 Application Number: 181630000366888
Name of 471 Applicant: JACKSON PARISH SCHOOL DISTRICT
Applicant Street Address: 315 PERSHING HWY
Applicant City: JONESBORO
Applicant State: LA
Applicant Zip: 71251
Entity Number: 139315
Name of Contact Person: Mike Staples
Preferred Mode of Contact: FAX
Contact Information: (318) 259-2527
Funding Year: 2004 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)
Funding Status: Not Funded
Contract Number: SEND2002-13
Services Ordered: Internet Access
Billing Account Number: 318-259-4456
Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date: 11/13/2001
Contract Award Date: 01/08/2002
Service Start Date: 07/01/2004
Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2005
Monthly Recurring Charges: \$5016.00
Portion of Monthly Recurring Charges that is Ineligible: \$0.00
Eligible Monthly Pre-Discount Amount for Recurring Charges: \$5016.00
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-Discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: \$60192.00
Annual Non-Recurring Charges: \$0.00
Portion of Annual Non-Recurring Charges that is Ineligible: \$0.00
Annual Pre-Discount Amount for Eligible Non-Recurring Charges: \$0.00
Total Program Year Pre-Discount Amount: \$60192.00
Applicant's Approved Discount Percentage: N/A
Funding Commitment Decision: \$0.00 - Bidding Violation
Funding Commitment Decision Explanation: Similarities in Forms 470s and in the submission of Forms 470s certification pages amongst applicants using this service provider suggest service provider involvement in the competitive bidding process.
Technology Plan Approval Status: Approved
Wave Number: 021
Applicant Letter Date: 06/14/2005

EXHIBIT B2

**FY2004-2005 SLD Funding Commitment Decision
Letter for Morehouse Parish School District**

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT

Service Provider Name: Nexus Systems, Inc.
Service Provider Identification Number: 143027251

Funding Request Number: 1122380
Form 471 Application Number: 409404
Form 470 Application Number: 480570000367503
Name of 471 Applicant: MOREHOUSE PARISH SCHOOL DIST
Applicant Street Address: 714 S WASHINGTON ST
Applicant City: BASTROP
Applicant State: LA
Applicant Zip: 71220
Entity Number: 139312
Name of Contact Person: Rick van Loon
Preferred Mode of Contact: FAX
Contact Information: (318) 281-1888
Funding Year: 2004 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)
Funding Status: Not Funded
Contract Number: SEND2002-19
Services Ordered: Internet Access
Billing Account Number: 318-282-5784
Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date: 11/07/2001
Contract Award Date: 01/08/2002
Service Start Date: 07/01/2004
Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2005
Monthly Recurring Charges: \$8700.00
Portion of Monthly Recurring Charges that is Ineligible: \$0.00
Eligible Monthly Pre-Discount Amount for Recurring Charges: \$8700.00
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-Discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: \$104400.00
Annual Non-Recurring Charges: \$0.00
Portion of Annual Non-Recurring Charges that is Ineligible: \$0.00
Annual Pre-Discount Amount for Eligible Non-Recurring Charges: \$0.00
Total Program Year Pre-Discount Amount: \$104400.00
Applicant's Approved Discount Percentage: N/A
Funding Commitment Decision: \$0.00 - Bidding Violation
Funding Commitment Decision Explanation: Similarities in Forms 470s and in the submission of Forms 470s certification pages amongst applicants using this service provider suggest service provider involvement in the competitive bidding process.
Technology Plan Approval Status: Approved
Wave Number: 021
Applicant Letter Date: 06/14/2005

EXHIBIT B3

**FY2004-2005 SLD Funding Commitment Decision
Letter for Franklin Academy**

FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT

Service Provider Name: Nexus Systems, Inc.
Service Provider Identification Number: 143027251

Funding Request Number: 1133118
Form 471 Application Number: 412894
Form 470 Application Number: 846490000434433
Name of 471 Applicant: FRANKLIN ACADEMY
Applicant Street Address: 2110 LOOP RD
Applicant City: WINNSBORO
Applicant State: LA
Applicant Zip: 71295-3318
Entity Number: 81728
Name of Contact Person: Cynthia Roberson
Preferred Mode of Contact: EMAIL
Contact Information: cynthiar@nls.k12.la.us
Funding Year: 2004 (07/01/2004 - 06/30/2005)
Funding Status: Not Funded
Contract Number: SEND2003-550001FA-1
Services Ordered: Internet Access
Site Identifier: 00 54233 8
Billing Account Number: 318-435-9520
Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date: 12/20/2002
Contract Award Date: 01/27/2003
Service Start Date: 07/01/2004
Contract Expiration Date: 06/30/2006
Monthly Recurring Charges: \$750.00
Portion of Monthly Recurring Charges that is Ineligible: \$0.00
Eligible Monthly Pre-Discount Amount for Recurring Charges: \$750.00
Number of Months Recurring Service Provided in Funding Year: 12
Annual Pre-Discount Amount for Eligible Recurring Charges: \$9000.00
Annual Non-Recurring Charges: \$0.00
Portion of Annual Non-Recurring Charges that is Ineligible: \$0.00
Annual Pre-Discount Amount for Eligible Non-Recurring Charges: \$0.00
Total Program Year Pre-Discount Amount: \$9000.00
Applicant's Approved Discount Percentage: N/A
Funding Commitment Decision: \$0.00 - Bidding Violation
Funding Commitment Decision Explanation: Similarities in Forms 470s and in the submission of Forms 470s certification pages amongst applicants using this service provider suggest service provider involvement in the competitive bidding process.
Technology Plan Approval Status: Approved
Wave Number: 021
Applicant Letter Date: 06/14/2005

ORIGINAL

EXHIBIT C1

**FY2004-2005 Consolidated Appeal to USAC of SLD
Funding Commitment Decision Letters for Jackson
Parish School District and Morehouse Parish School
District**

Nexus Systems, Inc.
2904 Evangeline Street
Monroe, LA 71201
(318) 651-8282

August 15, 2005

Consolidated Letter of Appeal
Schools & Libraries Division
Box 125 - Correspondence Unit
80 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, NJ 07981

Re: Consolidated Letter of Appeal

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This Consolidated Letter of Appeal ("Appeal") is filed by Nexus Systems, Inc. ("Nexus") with respect to two separate denials by the Schools and Libraries Division ("SLD") of funding requests for the 2004-2005 funding year made by Jackson Parish School District ("Jackson") and Morehouse Parish School District ("Morehouse").¹ These appeals are consolidated because the funding requests were denied for the identical reason. In addition, the reason for the denials suggests that there are similarities between the funding requests for Jackson and Morehouse which must be examined in order to determine whether the similarities evidence impermissible involvement by Nexus in the competitive bidding process for both schools. Pertinent information related to this Appeal is as follows:

Contact Information:

Name: Mark Stevenson, Nexus Systems, Inc.
Address: 2904 Evangeline Street, Monroe, LA 71201
Telephone number: 318-340-0750
Fax Number: 318-340-0580
Email Address: msteve@nexussystems.net

Relevant SLD Decisions for Jackson and Morehouse:

Funding Year:	2004-2005	
Date of Funding Commitment Reports:	6/14/2005	
Billed Entity Name:	Jackson	Morehouse
Funding Request Number:	1171008	1122380
Form 471 Application Number:	423981	409404
Billed Entity Number:	139315	139312

¹ Since the filing deadline, August 13th, fell on a Saturday, this Appeal is timely filed on the next available business day, August 15th.

Relevant Decision Language: “Similarities in Forms 470s and in the submission of Forms 470s certification pages amongst applicants using this service provider suggest service provider involvement in the competitive bidding process.” (The decisions are attached hereto as Exhibit A.)

The denial rationale quoted above does not provide Nexus or the schools with meaningful information about the nature of the similarities the SLD found troubling, or the identity of other applicants whose applications contained the same “similarities.” Such information is necessary for Nexus and the schools to effectively respond to these funding denials. Nexus can surmise that since the Jackson and Morehouse applications were the only applications associated with Nexus for 2004 that were denied based upon “similarities,” that these are the applications to which the SLD refers.²

Although the SLD fails to make this clear, it appears it denied the Jackson and Morehouse funding requests for 2004-2005 because both applications involve continuation contracts with Nexus from prior funding years during which “similarities” in Form 470 applications led to funding denials for several Louisiana schools. For purposes of this Appeal, therefore, Nexus assumes the Jackson and Morehouse funding requests for 2004-2005 were denied for the following “similarities” which are identical to similarities the staff found troubling in prior years: (1) the Form 470 school identifiers; (2) the descriptions for some of the requested services; and (3) minimal mailing assistance provided by the predecessor of Nexus, SEND Technologies (“SEND”), during the 2002 funding year.

I. INTRODUCTION.

Presuming Nexus is correct about the similarities that led to the Jackson and Morehouse denials, the SLD should grant this Appeal because its decisions denying Jackson and Morehouse funding for the 2004-2005 funding year were the result of errors made by the SLD in its initial review of the relevant applications which were, perhaps, precipitated by incorrect assumptions about the import of certain “similarities” about which the SLD did not seek clarifying information. Indeed, a recent, positive information exchange between Nexus and the SLD regarding two of the three similarities noted above (the Form 470 school identifiers and the descriptions of requested services), assuaged the SLD’s concerns and led to the grant of nearly every funding request for the 2004-2005 funding year that was associated with Nexus.³ Nexus hopes a similar result can be achieved for Jackson and Morehouse through this Appeal.

² The funding request of Franklin Academy was also denied for 2004, but the facts related to that denial are so dissimilar to the facts associated with the Jackson and Morehouse denials that an appeal of the Franklin decision is being made separately.

³ Over 60 applications for e-rate funding for 2004 filed by Louisiana schools naming Nexus / SEND as their service provider were initially held for processing due to concern over “similarities.” However, nearly all of these

This Appeal should be granted for the following reasons: (1) The perceived “similarities” do not signify that Nexus was improperly involved in the schools’ competitive bidding process; (2) It appears that the SLD’s internal guidelines for denying funding requests based upon a “pattern analysis” were not satisfied in the case of Jackson or Morehouse; and (3) The actions of Jackson and Morehouse, and of Nexus / Send, did not contravene applicable guidelines for the content of applications or permissible service provider involvement.

Before addressing the foregoing arguments, it is worth noting and a matter of public record that Morehouse engaged in a formal RFP process for funding years 2002-2004. Morehouse had no knowledge of any potential application deficiencies from 2002 that could impact its 2003 or 2004 funding requests because the SLD delayed consideration of funding requests for several Louisiana schools, including Morehouse and Jackson, for two years during which a rigorous selective review process was undertaken. Thus, Morehouse relied on its prior RFP process and did not reconsider bids for subsequent funding years. Although Jackson could have simply taken advantage of its continuation contract with Nexus / SEND for the 2004-2005 funding year, it entertained multiple additional competitive bids for the funding year, and only decided to continue its contract with Nexus after Nexus was again found to be the best choice for Jackson. (See the letter attached hereto as Exhibit B from Jackson explaining their competitive bidding process.⁴) Due to SLD processing delays, and the length of the selective review process, Jackson also had no knowledge of perceived application deficiencies from the 2002 funding year that could negatively impact grant in subsequent years.

II. THE PERCEIVED “SIMILARITIES” DO NOT SIGNIFY THAT NEXUS WAS IMPROPERLY INVOLVED IN THE SCHOOLS’ COMPETITIVE BIDDING PROCESS.

Again, assuming that Nexus is correct about the nature of the alleged similarities that led to the funding denials for Morehouse and Jackson, such similarities can be easily explained and the factual underpinnings do not indicate that there was impermissible service provider involvement by Nexus or its predecessor SEND that tainted the competitive bidding process.

applications were granted after the SLD was provided with clarifying information about the similarities. We believe the funding requests of Jackson, Morehouse and the Franklin Academy should have been similarly granted.

⁴ Most critically, Jackson says the following in its Exhibit B letter: “For Year 2004, we considered other vendor quotes (see attached quotations) since we were not sure what was causing our applications to be held for two years. After considering multiple new offerings, we determined the existing contract with Send/Nexus was still the best decisions for the district, so we left the contract in place as we had no reason to issue a new contract. The Year 2004 application was therefore filed under the Year 2002 Form 470. The Year 2004 application was then denied based on the “similarities in Forms 470” determination of the Year 2002 Form 470. The district did everything possible to make the correct decision in the original contract and performed due diligence for two years to verify the process. If the SLD had informed the district of any question during the two year period, the district could have simply signed a new contract based on the new Form 470 and new quotes. We do not know how we could have done anything better under the circumstances.”

A. **Form 470 Identifiers.** The Form 470 identifiers used by Jackson and Morehouse are the school district numbers assigned to the schools by the state and are not connected with Nexus in any way. The Form 470 identifier is a label placed on the Form 470 that is chosen solely by the applicant to help the applicant identify the Form 470 at some later date. Morehouse, Jackson and other Louisiana schools apparently use their school district numbers assigned by the State of Louisiana as their Form 470 identifier. The chart attached hereto as Exhibit C lists the school district numbers assigned by the state. The chart demonstrates that any similarities in the identifiers are the result of the schools' use of their state assigned numbers, not involvement by the service provider, Nexus.

B. **Service Descriptions.** Any perceived similarities in service descriptions between Jackson's and Morehouse's applications also fail to demonstrate that Nexus was impermissibly involved in the competitive bidding process. Jackson and Morehouse each requested Internet Access service, which is not unusual. But the amount of services requested by each school varies according to their individual needs and technology plans. Morehouse has 15 schools and a central routing office; Jackson has 7 schools and a central office. Morehouse requested more than \$104,000 in funding; Jackson requested slightly more than \$60,000 in funding. The breadth of service requested by each school varied according to their individual needs. Clearly, no impermissible service provider involvement is implicated.

C. **Mailing.** The final similarity noted in prior funding years is: "Form 470 certification page submission by Mark Stevenson." Mark Stevenson is the president of Nexus / SEND. This characterization is somewhat misleading. Mark Stevenson did not "submit" Form 470 certification pages for Jackson or Morehouse or any other school in any funding year. Each school prepared its own application online, and signed and submitted its own certification page for the SLD's consideration. Because timely delivery of regular mail is not guaranteed, and loss of a Form 470 certification would preclude receiving any E-rate support, overnight delivery is preferred so that mailings can be tracked. In order to use an overnight delivery service, many Louisiana schools are required to submit and receive approval for a purchase order even though the cost of an overnight package is *de minimus* (\$16.00). Thus, only for the 2002-2003 funding year, SEND offered overnight mailing assistance for the already completed form 470 certifications.⁵

Neither the schools, nor SEND believed that offering minimal mailing assistance could be construed as improper service provider involvement and a competitive bidding violation, especially in light of the *de minimus* cost of overnight delivery. In addition, the minimal mailing assistance did not impact the competitive bidding process. Each school sought competitive bids by posting its Form 470 on the SLD website as required by FCC and Program rules, and based upon the bids various vendors submitted, some schools chose Nexus / SEND, some chose Nexus / SEND and other providers for different services, and some chose providers other than Nexus / SEND. Providing minimal mailing

⁵ In some cases the schools may have mailed their certifications to USAC themselves, but used SEND's FedEx account to do so.

assistance clearly did not corrupt the competitive bidding process or the independence of the schools in choosing service providers, nor did it violate any of the FCC's or the Program's competitive bidding rules. In addition, the fact that the SLD might perceive this assistance from 2002 as problematic was not known to Jackson or Morehouse before their 2003 and 2004 funding requests were due. Thus the schools had no reason to believe that submission of new Form 470s might be desirable or necessary from the SLD's perspective.

It appears the SLD incorrectly assumed that the three similarities discussed above "suggest" the involvement of Nexus (or SEND) in the competitive bidding process of Jackson and Morehouse in violation of FCC and Program rules. However, the factual underpinnings of the three assumed "similarities" demonstrate that all are easily explained, and with the exception of one (minimal mailing assistance), are not related to any service provider. Furthermore, none of the similarities signify that any service provider was impermissibly involved in the competitive bidding process. The SLD apparently found no evidence to support its claim of impermissible service provider involvement through the two-year selective review process. The SLD has not cited any *actual* proof of impermissible service provider involvement in preparation of the Jackson and Morehouse applications or in the competitive bidding process undertaken by either school. An unproved "suggestion" of involvement based upon easily explained similarities does not justify denying the schools' applications for needed federal funds.

III. IT APPEARS THE SLD'S INTERNAL GUIDELINES FOR DENYING FUNDING REQUESTS BASED UPON A "PATTERN ANALYSIS" WERE NOT SATISFIED IN THE CASE OF JACKSON OR MOREHOUSE.

Until recently, the SLD had not asked Nexus or the Louisiana schools, including Morehouse and Jackson, to explain the reason for certain "similarities" on their applications (including the school identifiers used on the application forms and the service descriptions). Thus, the schools were only able to explain why the similarities do not signify rule violations to the Federal Communications Commission on appeal. However, a new openness at the SLD recently facilitated a discussion about these similarities with Nexus and an exchange of information. SLD staff generally refer to denials based upon "similarities" as denials based upon a "pattern analysis," and they advise that there must be a number of "similarities" among a group of applications before funding requests are denied. A single similarity across applications would not result in SLD denial based upon a "pattern analysis."

On March 22, 2005, counsel for Send/Nexus met with SLD staff to discuss why processing of over 60 funding requests for 2004 for Louisiana schools naming Nexus / SEND as their service provider were delayed. SLD staff, who have made great strides in making the e-rate application process more transparent, shared that the 2004 applications in question were being held because a "pattern analysis" indicated there could be impermissible service provider involvement by Nexus / SEND. Two of the three "similarities" which the staff found troubling in 2004 were identical to two of the three "similarities" that resulted in funding denials for many of the same Louisiana schools in 2002 and 2003.

The two “similarities” were: (1) The Form 470 school identifiers (label numbers) used by the schools; and (2) The words used to describe the services requested by the schools. Appeals filed with the FCC over the past two years addressed and explained these “similarities,” but SLD staff remained generally unaware that the similarities were easily explained and do not signify impermissible service provider involvement. As a result, SLD staff continued to focus on these same two “similarities” as part of a pattern analysis for the 2004 funding year and withheld action on over 60 funding requests, including the Morehouse and Jackson funding requests.

Following the March 22, 2005 meeting, counsel for Send/Nexus supplied SLD staff with proof that the school identifiers on the Form 470 applications are the school district numbers assigned by the state, and that the services requested by each school were tailored to each school’s individual needs and were not similar. After consideration of the materials presented, SLD staff were no longer concerned with the two “similarities” and, left with just one other potential similarity among the 2004 applications, the SLD removed the processing hold. The SLD acknowledged that one similarity among the applications was not enough to delay or deny the funding requests due to a “pattern analysis.” More than 60 funding requests that were pending for Louisiana schools naming Nexus / SEND as their service provider for 2004 were granted. The Morehouse and Jackson funding requests (and the funding request for Franklin Academy) were inexplicably denied.

Since it seems that two of the three “similarities” with which the SLD might be concerned regarding the Jackson and Morehouse 2004 funding requests are identical to two of the similarities the SLD resolved with respect to over 60 other applications for 2004 (i.e., school identifiers and service descriptions), there may be just one other potential similarity for the Bureau to consider – the mailing assistance provided in 2002 (this is an assumption based upon denials in prior years). However, one potential similarity among the applications should not amount to a “pattern” under the SLD’s internal guidelines and thus the Jackson and Morehouse funding denials should be reversed. Based on recent events, it is reasonable to surmise that if Jackson and Morehouse had been given the opportunity to explain the “similarities,” their funding requests for 2004 would not have been denied and, thus, this Appeal should be granted.

IV. THE ACTIONS OF JACKSON AND MOREHOUSE, AND OF NEXUS / SEND, DID NOT CONTRAVENE APPLICABLE GUIDELINES FOR THE CONTENT OF APPLICATIONS OR PERMISSIBLE SERVICE PROVIDER INVOLVEMENT.

The SLD describes on its website what role a service provider can take without violating the FCC’s and the SLD’s competitive bidding rules.⁶ For example, the SLD explains that service providers can communicate with an applicant so long as such communication is neutral and does not taint the competitive bidding process. A service provider can provide basic information regarding the E-rate

⁶ USAC, “Service Provider Manual, Chapter 5 – Service Provider Role in Assisting Customers,” available at <http://www.sl.universalservice.org/vendor/manual/chapter5.asp>.

Program to an applicant, and can assist with an applicant's RFP's so long as the assistance is neutral. A service provider also can provide an applicant with technical assistance on the development of a technology plan, including information regarding products and services that are being furnished to the applicant.

The SLD explains on its website that a service provider *cannot*: (1) sign a Form 470 or 471 for an applicant; (2) be listed as a contact person on a Form 470; (3) act as a technology plan approver for an applicant; (4) prepare RFP's for an applicant; (5) provide or waive funding for an applicant's undiscounted portion of equipment and services obtained through the E-rate Program; (6) coerce or pressure an applicant to use a specific service provider; and (7) interfere with or obstruct an applicant's competitive bidding process.⁷ The SLD has not alleged that Nexus engaged in any of the foregoing prohibited conduct.

Easily explained similarities between the Jackson and Morehouse applications for the 2004-2005 funding year do not justify a finding that Nexus was improperly involved in the competitive bidding process. In *Ysleta*, E-rate Program applicants submitted "carbon copy" Form 470s that listed every service or product eligible for discounts.⁸ Although the FCC concluded that such comprehensive lists did not comport with the competitive bidding requirements under the E-rate Program,⁹ it noted that applicants may validly have the same or similar filings.¹⁰ Jackson and Morehouse did not submit carbon copy applications. The fact that they both requested Internet access service, used their state-assigned school district identifiers on their Form 470s, and accepted minimal mailing assistance for their Form 470s three years ago does not violate any relevant Program rules. The mere existence of similarities across Form 470 applications does not *per se* equate to improper service provider involvement and a competitive bidding violation. There was no improper service provider involvement in the present case. Nexus and the schools complied with all known rules and guidance regarding competitive bidding for the services they sought and the SLD has not provided evidence of any actual rule violations.

The FCC in *Ysleta* explicitly recognized that there are valid reasons why similarities may exist across Form 470 applications. By assuming the opposite, the SLD seems to create a new policy – *i.e.*, that perceived similarities across Form 470s, even without actual proof of impermissible service provider involvement, indicate *per se* violations of the competitive bidding rules and justify denial of E-rate funding requests. The SLD is not empowered to make this policy, interpret any unclear rule

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ *Request for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by Ysleta Independent School District, El Paso, Texas*, CC Docket Nos. 96-45, 97-21, FCC No. 03-313, ¶ 30, n. 90 (Dec. 8, 2003) ("*Ysleta*").

⁹ *Id.* ¶¶ 26-37.

¹⁰ *Id.* ¶ 30.

promulgated by the FCC, or create the equivalent of new Program guidelines.¹¹ In addition, such a policy leads to absurd and unintended results when funding requests are denied based upon nothing more than similarities among applications. Such similarities are not tantamount to impermissible service provider involvement or violations of the competitive bidding rules. The SLD subjected Jackson and Morehouse to a rigorous and lengthy selective review process and received information from each school about how it completed its applications and undertook competitive bidding for the services it sought. The SLD did not learn, nor has it alleged, any specific facts that indicate that there was, *in fact*, impermissible service provider involvement. They have only alleged an unproved inference or “suggestion” of such involvement based upon perceived similarities among applications. An inference or a “suggestion” of service provider involvement is not enough justification to deny applications for needed federal funds.

V. CONCLUSION.

In view of the foregoing, Nexus urges the SLD to grant this Appeal and fund the 2004-2005 funding requests of Morehouse and Jackson.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark Stevenson
Mark Stevenson

¹¹ See 47 C.F.R. § 54.702(c); *Changes to the Board of Directors of the Nat'l Exchange Carrier Ass'n, Inc.*, 13 FCC Red 25058, 25066-67 (1998).

EXHIBIT C2

**FY2004-2005 Appeal to USAC of SLD Funding
Commitment Decision Letter for Franklin Academy**

Nexus Systems, Inc.
2904 Evangeline Street
Monroe, LA 71201
(318) 651-8282

August 15, 2005

Letter of Appeal
Schools & Libraries Division
Box 125 - Correspondence Unit
80 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, NJ 07981

Re: Letter of Appeal

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This Letter of Appeal ("Appeal") is filed by Nexus Systems, Inc. ("Nexus") with respect to a denial by the Schools and Libraries Division ("SLD") of a funding request for the 2004-2005 funding year made by Franklin Academy ("Franklin").¹ The SLD has suggested that unspecified "similarities" between the Franklin application and applications of unspecified other schools evidence impermissible involvement by Nexus in the competitive bidding process. The SLD's denial was in error. Pertinent information related to this Appeal is as follows:

Contact Information:

Name: Mark Stevenson, Nexus Systems, Inc.
Address: 2904 Evangeline Street, Monroe, LA 71201
Telephone number: 318-340-0750
Fax Number: 318-340-0580
Email Address: msteve@nexussystems.net

Relevant SLD Decision:

Funding Year: 2004-2005
Date of Funding Commitment Reports: 6/14/2005
Billed Entity Name: Franklin Academy
Funding Request Number: 1133118
Form 471 Application Number: 412894
Billed Entity Number: 81728

¹ Since the filing deadline, August 13th, fell on a Saturday, this Appeal is timely filed on the next available business day, August 15th.

Relevant Decision Language: "Similarities in Forms 470s and in the submission of Forms 470s certification pages amongst applicants using this service provider suggest service provider involvement in the competitive bidding process." (The decision is attached hereto as Exhibit A.)

The denial rationale quoted above does not provide Nexus or Franklin with meaningful information about the nature of the similarities the SLD found troubling, or the identity of other applicants whose applications contained the same "similarities." Such information is necessary to effectively respond to the funding denial.

It is important to note, however, that Nexus believes the SLD's decision with respect to Franklin was simply in error as no other similarly situated Louisiana school that chose Nexus as their service provider for 2004 was denied funding for 2004 based upon similarities. In fact, as discussed in further detail below, processing of over 60 funding requests made by Louisiana schools who chose Nexus were initially delayed based upon concerns by SLD staff about "similarities," but after clarifying information was provided to the SLD, all of the funding requests were granted except for Franklin Academy, Jackson Parish School District ("Jackson") and Morehouse Parish School District ("Morehouse").

The funding requests of Jackson and Morehouse for 2004 were denied for "similarities" (the denials were appealed), but their fact pattern is entirely different from Franklin's fact pattern. Jackson and Morehouse sought funding in 2004 based upon continuation contracts from 2002 that were denied based upon "similarities" in Form 470 applications from that year that led to funding denials for several Louisiana schools. Those denials are on appeal to the FCC. Franklin's continuation contract does not relate back to its Form 470 from 2002. Franklin initiated a new competitive process in 2003 and implemented a new contract for Internet access services in 2003 and filed a new Form 470 application. Franklin's funding request for the 2003-2004 funding year was granted -- it was not denied based upon "similarities." Thus, Franklin's funding request for 2004, which is a continuation contract from 2003, should not have been denied based upon "similarities" or a "pattern analysis."

The SLD should grant this Appeal because its decision denying Franklin funding for the 2004-2005 funding year was simply in error. With the exception of the Jackson and Morehouse applications referenced above, every other funding request by schools who chose Nexus as their service provider for 2004 were granted.

Until recently, the SLD had not asked Nexus or the Louisiana schools, including Franklin, to explain the reason for certain "similarities" among their applications (including the school identifiers used on the application forms and the service descriptions). Thus, the schools were only able to explain why the similarities do not signify rule violations to the Federal Communications Commission on appeal. However, a new openness at the SLD recently facilitated a discussion about these

similarities with Nexus and an exchange of information. SLD staff generally refer to denials based upon “similarities” as denials based upon a “pattern analysis,” and they advise that there must be a number of “similarities” among a group of applications before funding requests are denied. A single similarity across applications would not result in SLD denial based upon a “pattern analysis.”

On March 22, 2005, counsel for Nexus met with SLD staff to discuss why processing of over 60 funding requests for 2004 for Louisiana schools naming Nexus as their service provider, including Franklin’s funding request, were delayed. SLD staff, who have made great strides in making the e-rate application process more transparent, shared that the 2004 applications in question were being held because a “pattern analysis” indicated there could be impermissible service provider involvement by Nexus. Two of the three “similarities” which the staff found troubling in 2004 were identical to two of the three “similarities” that resulted in funding denials for many of the same Louisiana schools in 2002 and 2003. The two “similarities” were: (1) The Form 470 school identifiers (label numbers) used by the schools; and (2) The words used to describe the services requested by the schools. Appeals filed with the FCC over the past two years addressed and explained these “similarities,” but SLD staff remained generally unaware that the similarities were easily explained and do not signify impermissible service provider involvement. As a result, SLD staff continued to focus on these same two “similarities” as part of a pattern analysis for the 2004 funding year and withheld action on over 60 funding requests, including the Franklin funding requests.

Following the March 22, 2005 meeting, counsel for Nexus supplied SLD staff with proof that the school identifiers on most of the Form 470 applications are the school district numbers assigned by the state, and that the services requested by each school were tailored to each school’s individual needs and were not similar. After consideration of the materials presented, SLD staff were no longer concerned with the two “similarities” and, left with just one other potential similarity among the 2004 applications, the SLD removed the processing hold. The SLD acknowledged that one similarity among the applications was not enough to delay or deny the funding requests due to a “pattern analysis.” More than 60 funding requests that were pending for Louisiana schools naming Nexus as their service provider for 2004 were granted. The Franklin funding request was inexplicably denied.

As discussed above, the following are the “similarities” the SLD staff found troubling in prior years relating to Louisiana schools: (1) the Form 470 school identifiers; (2) the descriptions for some of the requested services; and (3) minimal mailing assistance provided by the predecessor of Nexus, SEND Technologies (“SEND”), during the 2002 funding year. None of these similarities are present in the Franklin application for 2004.

Unlike other Louisiana schools who used their state-assigned school district number as their label number, Franklin used a number, 1022202, that bares no similarity to the numbers used by any other school and no relationship to its service provider, Nexus / SEND. In addition, any perceived similarity between the service description used by Franklin in its application, and the service description used by any other school in its 2004 application, also fails to demonstrate that Nexus was impermissibly

involved in the competitive bidding process. Like Jackson and Morehouse, Franklin requested Internet access service, which is not unusual, but the amount of services requested by each school varied according to its individual needs and technology plans. Morehouse has 15 schools and a central routing office; Jackson has 7 schools and a central office; Franklin is a private academy with one site. Morehouse requested more than \$104,000 in funding; Jackson requested slightly more than \$60,000 in funding; Franklin requested \$9,000. The breadth of service requested by each school varied according to its individual needs. Clearly, no impermissible service provider involvement is implicated. The final similarity related to Form 470 mailing assistance provided to some Louisiana schools in 2002 is entirely inapplicable to Franklin. The relevant Form 470 for Franklin, as referenced in its 2004 funding request, was for funding year 2003-2004, not 2002.

Based on all of the foregoing, it is unclear what "similarities" have resulted in denial of the Franklin funding request. Franklin's funding for 2004 should have been granted when more than 60 other funding requests naming Nexus / SEND as the service provider were granted earlier this year. There was no improper service provider involvement in the present case, nor has the SLD alleged any specific facts that indicate that there was, in fact, impermissible service provider involvement. They have only alleged an unproved inference or "suggestion" of such involvement based upon perceived similarities among applications, but in the case of Franklin there were NO such similarities. Even if there were, an inference or a "suggestion" of service provider involvement, with nothing more, is not enough justification to deny applications for needed federal funds.

In view of the foregoing, Nexus urges the SLD to grant this Appeal and fund the 2004-2005 funding request of Franklin.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark Stevenson
Mark Stevenson

EXHIBIT D

**List Of School District Numbers Used By Louisiana
Schools As Their Form 470 Identifier**

FUNDING YEARS 2002 – 2004 FORMS ID “Similarities”

Public Schools Pending Applications

Year 2002 470	Form ID	Year 2003 470	Form ID	Year 2004 470	Form ID
Caldwell Parish 742270000366887	011	558810000434580	011	558810000434580 100810000471698	011 cal011
Claiborne Parish 914480000368185	014	941000000441882	014-2003	875560000484585	2003-04 3502
DeSoto Parish 585750000381108	DPSB 2000-02	390950000414087	0203A	390950000414087 151580000470658 482760000481439	0203A DPSB 04-05 DPSB 470 #2
Franklin Parish 854600000366856	21000	574260000423761	FP2003470IATC	684820000472126	FP200447001
Jackson Parish 181630000366888	025	181630000366888 266860000434304	025 025	181630000366888 777870000468296	025 JACKSON470
Morehouse Parish 480570000367503	034	480570000367503 601710000417985	034 0342002-06	480570000367503 219840000478991	034 0342003-7
Richland Parish 204880000367530	042	973530000430082	042rsg	754500000466441	042RPSB04
Webster Parish 498780000367793	060	498780000367793 987920000434557	060 060	498780000367793 450730000473210	060 060
Winn Parish 688310000389493	ERATE2001-1	602120000424923	470-2003-2004	602120000424923	470-2003-2004

FUNDING YEARS 2002 – 2004 FORMS ID “Similarities”

Private Schools Pending Applications

Year 2002 470	Form ID	Year 2003 470	Form ID	Year 2004 470	Form ID
Briarfield Academy					
293450000366626	548001	718140000442043	548001	718140000442043 452460000479556	548001 548001Y2004
Claiborne Academy					
328840000368894	529001	No Application for 2003		720650000483081 328840000368894	CA81348 529001
Franklin Academy					
469310000366712	550001	846490000434433	1022202	846490000434433 194180000481658	1022202 FA20034701
Tensas Academy					
759280000369276	675001	764200000438589	(blank)	764200000438589 181440000470985	(blank) TA04051103



- ▶ Louisiana School Directory
- ▶ Louisiana Public Schools
- ▶ Louisiana Non-Public Schools
- ▶ Continuing Education Programs
- ▶ Louisiana Committees and Boards
- ▶ Louisiana Department of Education Staff
- ▶ Chief State School Officers
- ▶ Organizations & Associations Related to Education
- ▶ Downloadable Zips (text files and layouts)

Louisiana School Directory Online (2004-2005 School Year)




★Click here for downloadable ([zip - 5MB](#)) or printable ([pdf - 5MB](#)) file containing the complete School Directory.

For More Information

Rhonda Redmon
 Communications & Le Services
 (225) 342-3772
Rhonda.Redmon@la

Brenda Rivet
 Data Management
 (225) 342-1805
Brenda.Rivet@la.gov



Most Requested Info



Executive Office of the School and Community Student and School Pe Quality Educators Management and Finan Regional Service Cente

www.louisiana.gov

Louisiana Dept. of Education

Copyright © 2004 State of Louisiana

LDE Orga



Louisiana School Directory Online

Louisiana Public Schools

- ▶ Louisiana School Directory
- ▶ Louisiana Public Schools
- ▶ Louisiana Non-Public Schools
- ▶ Continuing Education Programs
- ▶ Louisiana Committees and Boards
- ▶ Louisiana Department of Education Staff
- ▶ Chief State School Officers
- ▶ Organizations & Associations Related to Education
- ▶ Downloadable Zips (text files and layouts)

Superintendents List PDF

LA Public School District Calendars PDF

Alphabetical List of Public Schools PDF

Public Schools Information Sheet PDF

Public Elementary/Secondary Schools & District Staff PDF

Special School District Staff PDF

SSD #1 Schools PDF

University Lab Schools PDF

Approved Special Schools PDF

Charter Schools Information Sheet PDF

Approved Charter Schools PDF

Manhe Institutes PDF

Dept of Public Safety & Corrections Office of Youth Development PDF

Approved Correctional Schools PDF

Regional Education Service Centers Map PDF



Some of the content on this page is available in Adobe Acrobat format. To view these files you must have the FREE Adobe Acrobat Reader on your computer.

- ▶ DOCUMENTS
- ▶ FORMS
- ▶ SERVICES
- ▶ COMMUNITY

Most Requested Info

- ▶ Executive Office of the School and Community Student and School Fe Quality Educators Management and Finan Regional Service Center

LA Public School Districts Superintendents


Code	District	Superintendent	Mailing Address	City	Zip Code	Phone Number	Fax Number
001	Acadia Parish	John Bourque	P.O. Drawer 309	Crowley	70527-0309	(337) 783-3664	(337) 783-3761
002	Allen Parish	Michael K. Doucet	P.O. Drawer C	Oberlin	70655-	(337) 639-4311	(337) 639-2346
003	Assumption Parish	Robert J. Clouatre	P.O. Box 189	Donaldsonville	70346-0189	(225) 473-7981	(225) 473-8058
004	Assumption Parish	Earl Martinez	4901 Highway 308	Napoleonville	70390-	(985) 369-7251	(985) 369-2530
005	Avoyelles Parish	Ronald N. Mayeux PhD	221 Tunica Drive West	Marksville	71351-	(318) 240-0201	(318) 253-5982
006	Beauregard Parish	Myrna L. Cooley	P.O. Drawer 938	DeRidder	70634-0938	(337) 463-5551	(337) 463-6735
007	Bienvenue Parish	William Britt	P.O. Box 418	Aradia	71001-0418	(318) 263-9416	(318) 263-3100
008	Bossier Parish	Kenneth N. Kruihof PhD	P.O. Box 2000	Benton	71006-2000	(318) 549-5000	(318) 549-5004
009	Caddo Parish	Ollie Tyler	P.O. Box 32000	Shreveport	71130-2000	(318) 603-6300	(318) 631-5241
010	Calcasieu Parish	Jude W. Theriot	P.O. Box 800	Lake Charles	70602-0800	(337) 491-1600	(337) 437-1293
011	Caldwell Parish	Martha T. Simons	P.O. Box 1019	Columbia	71418-1019	(318) 649-2689	(318) 649-0636
012	Cameron Parish	Douglas Chance PhD	P.O. Box 1548	Cameron	70631-	(337) 775-5784	(337) 775-5097
013	Catahoula Parish	Ronald Lofton	P.O. Box 290	Harrisonburg	71340-	(318) 744-5727	(318) 744-9221
014	Claiborne Parish	James Scriber	P.O. Box 600	Homer	71040-0600	(318) 927-3502	(318) 927-9184
015	Concordia Parish	Kerry Laster PhD	P.O. Box 950	Vidalia	71373-0950	(318) 336-4226	(318) 336-5875
016	DeSoto Parish	Walter C. Lee	201 Crosby Street	Mansfield	71052-	(318) 872-2836	(318) 872-1324
017	East Baton Rouge Parish	Charlotte Placide	P.O. Box 2950	Baton Rouge	70821-2950	(225) 922-5618	(225) 922-5499
018	East Carroll Parish	Valeria Millikin PhD	P.O. Box 792	Lake Providence	71254-0792	(318) 559-2222	(318) 559-3864
019	East Feliciana Parish	Robert Galvan PhD	P.O. Box 397	Clinton	70722-0397	(225) 683-3040	(225) 683-3320
020	Evangeline Parish	Rayford J. Fontenot	1123 Te Mamou Road	Ville Platte	70586-	(337) 363-6651	(337) 363-8086
021	Franklin Parish	Lanny Johnson PhD	7293 Prairie Road	Winnsboro	71295-	(318) 435-9046	(318) 435-3392
022	Grant Parish	Sheila Jackson	P.O. Box 208	Colfax	71417-0208	(318) 627-3274	(318) 627-5931

LA Public School Districts Superintendents

Code	District	Superintendent	Mailing Address	City	Zip Code	Phone Number	Fax Number
023	Iberia Parish	Eugene N. Baudry Jr.	P.O. Box 200	New Iberia	70562-0200	(337) 365-2341	(337) 365-6996
024	Iberville Parish	Martin Bera	P.O. Box 151	Plaquemine	70765-0151	(225) 687-4341	(225) 687-5408
025	Jackson Parish	Gary Black	P.O. Box 705	Jonesboro	71251-0705	(318) 259-4456	(318) 259-2527
026	Jefferson Parish	Diane Roussel PhD	501 Manhattan Boulevard	Harvey	70058-4495	(504) 349-7802	(504) 349-7960
027	Jefferson Davis Parish	Tommy Lee Smith	P.O. Box 640	Jennings	70546-0640	(337) 824-1834	(337) 824-9737
028	Lafayette Parish	James Easton PhD	P.O. Drawer 2158	Lafayette	70502-2158	(337) 236-6800	(337) 233-0977
029	Lafourche Parish	Ernest Reed	P.O. Box 879	Thibodaux	70302-0879	(985) 446-5631	(985) 446-0801
030	LaSalle Parish	Cary L. McGuffee	P.O. Drawer 90	Jena	71342-0090	(318) 992-2161	(318) 992-8457
031	Lincoln Parish	Charles Scriber PhD	410 South Farmerville Street	Ruston	71270-4699	(318) 255-1430	(318) 255-3203
032	Livingston Parish	Warren Curtis	P.O. Box 1130	Livingston	70754-1130	(225) 686-7044	(225) 686-7604
033	Madison Parish	Samuel Dixon	P.O. Box 1620	Tallulah	71284-1620	(318) 574-3616	(318) 574-3667
034	Morcheouse Parish	Richard Hartley	P.O. Box 872	Bastrop	71221-0872	(318) 281-5784	(318) 283-3456
035	Natchitoches Parish	Elwanda Murphy PhD	P.O. Box 16	Natchitoches	71458-0016	(318) 352-2358	(318) 352-8138
036	Orleans Parish	Anthony Amato	3510 General DeGaulle Drive	New Orleans	70114-	(504) 304-5702	(504) 309-5345
037	Ouachita Parish	Robert Webber PhD	P.O. Box 1642	Monroe	71210-1642	(318) 388-2711	(318) 338-5301
038	Plaquemines Parish	James C. Hoyle	P.O. Box 70	Port Sulphur	70083-0070	(985) 564-2743	(985) 564-3808
039	Pointe Coupee Parish	Daniel R. Rawls, Interim Ph	P.O. Drawer 579	New Roads	70760-0579	(225) 638-8674	(225) 638-3904
040	Rapides Parish	Gary L. Jones	P.O. Box 1230	Alexandria	71309-1230	(318) 487-0888	(318) 449-3190
041	Red River Parish	Kay J. Eastley	P.O. Box 1369	Coushatta	71019-1369	(318) 932-4081	(318) 932-3081
042	Richland Parish	John R. Sartin	P.O. Box 599	Rayville	71269-0599	(318) 728-5964	(318) 728-6366
043	Sabine Parish	Dorman Jackson	P.O. Box 1079	Many	71449-1079	(318) 256-9228	(318) 256-0105
044	St. Bernard Parish	Doris Voitier	200 East St. Bernard Highway	Chalmette	70043-	(504) 301-2000	(504) 301-2010

LA Public School Districts Superintendents

Code	District	Superintendent	Mailing Address	City	Zip Code	Phone Number	Fax Number
045	St. Charles Parish	Rodney R. Lafon PhD	13855 River Road	Luling	70070-	(985) 785-6289	(985) 785-1025
046	St. Helena Parish	J. Wayne Meadows	P.O. Box 540	Greensburg	70441-0540	(225) 222-4349	(225) 222-4937
047	St. James Parish	P. Edward Carcienne Jr.	P.O. Box 338	Lutcher	70071-0338	(225) 869-5375	(225) 869-8845
048	St. John the Baptist Parish	Michael K. Coburn	P.O. Drawer AL	Reserve	70084-	(985) 536-1106	(985) 536-1109
049	St. Landry Parish	Lanay Moreau	P.O. Box 310	Opelousas	70571-0310	(337) 948-3657	(337) 942-0204
050	St. Martin Parish	E.R. Valerie Hauga	P.O. Box 859	St. Martinville	70582-0859	(337) 394-6261	(337) 394-6387
051	St. Mary Parish	Donald Aguillard PhD	P.O. Box 170	Centerville	70522-0170	(337) 836-9661	(337) 836-5461
052	St. Tammany Parish	Gayle Sloan	P.O. Box 940	Covington	70434-0940	(985) 892-3216	(985) 898-3281
053	Tangipahoa Parish	Louis Joseph	59656 Puleston Road	Amite	70422-	(985) 748-7153	(985) 748-8587
054	Tensas Parish	Carol S. Johnson	P.O. Box 318	St. Joseph	71366-0318	(318) 766-3269	(318) 766-3634
055	Terrebonne Parish	Ed Richard	P.O. Box 5097	Houma	70361-5097	(985) 876-7400	(985) 872-0054
056	Union Parish	Gary Walsworth(Interim)	P.O. Box 308	Farmerville	71241-0308	(318) 368-9715	(318) 368-3311
057	Vermilion Parish	Joseph D. Hebert	P.O. Drawer 520	Abbeville	70511-0520	(337) 893-3973	(337) 898-0939
058	Vernon Parish	Cynthia Gillespie PhD	201 Belview Road	Leesville	71446-	(337) 239-3401	(337) 238-5777
059	Washington Parish	Gary D. Fowler	P.O. Box 587	Franklinton	70438-0587	(985) 839-3436	(985) 839-5464
060	Webster Parish	Wayne W. Williams Jr.	P.O. Box 520	Minden	71058-0520	(318) 377-7052	(318) 377-4114
061	West Baton Rouge Parish	David Corona	3761 Rosedale Road	Port Allen	70767-	(225) 343-8309	(225) 387-2101
062	West Carroll Parish	Jerry L. Dasher	314 East Main Street	Oak Grove	71263-	(318) 428-2378	(318) 428-3775
063	West Feliciana Parish	Lloyd L. Lindsey Jr.	P.O. Box 1910	St. Francisville	70775-1910	(225) 635-3891	(225) 635-0108
064	Winn Parish	Steve Bartlett	P.O. Box 430	Winnfield	71483-0430	(318) 628-6936	(318) 628-2582
065	City of Monroe School District	James A. Dupree PhD	P.O. Box 4180	Monroe	71211-4180	(318) 325-0601	(318) 323-2864
066	City of Bogalusa School District	Jerry O. Payne	P.O. Box 310	Bogalusa	70427-0310	(985) 735-1392	(985) 732-7510



Louisiana School Directory Online Louisiana Non-Public Schools

- ▶ Louisiana School Directory
- ▶ Louisiana Public Schools
- ▶ Louisiana Non-Public Schools
- ▶ Continuing Education Programs
- ▶ Louisiana Committees and Boards
- ▶ Louisiana Department of Education Staff
- ▶ Chief State School Officers
- ▶ Organizations & Associations Related to Education
- ▶ Downloadable Zips (text files and layouts)

- [Professional Staff of Catholic Diocesan](#) PDF
- [Alphabetical Listing of Nonpublic Schools](#) PDF
- [Nonpublic Schools Information Sheet](#) PDF
- [Nonpublic Schools](#) PDF
- [Montessori Schools](#) PDF



Some of the content on this page is available in Adobe Acrobat format. To view these files you must have the FREE [Adobe Acrobat Reader](#) on your computer.

- ADMINISTRATORS
- TEACHERS
- STUDENTS
- PARENTS & FAMILIES
- COMMUNITY

Most Requested Info



Executive Office of the
School and Community
Student and School Personnel
Quality Educators
Management and Finance
Regional Service Center

Alphabetical List of Nonpublic Schools

School Name	Site Code	Telephone	Parish
Academy of the Sacred Heart (Girls) (C)	504001	(337) 662-5275	St. Landry Parish
Academy of the Sacred Heart (Girls) (C)	506051	(504) 891-1943	Orleans Parish
Acadia Christian Academy (CC)	717001	(318) 364-8438	Iberia Parish
Acadiana Preparatory School	834001	(337) 948-6551	St. Landry Parish
Alexandria Country Day School	641001	(318) 448-1475	Rapides Parish
All Saints School (C)	506001	(504) 362-0741	Orleans Parish
American Child Day Care Center	753001	(318) 865-2710	Caddo Parish
Annunciation (C)	508002	(985) 735-6643	City of Bogalusa
Archbishop Blank School (Girls) (C)	506003	(504) 387-2828	Jefferson Parish
Archbishop Chapelle High School (Girls) (C)	506004	(504) 467-3105	Jefferson Parish
Archbishop Hannan High School (C)	506134	(504) 279-1921	St. Bernard Parish
Archbishop Hannan Junior High School (C)	506147	(504) 279-1921	St. Bernard Parish
Archbishop Rummel Jr. High School (Boys) (C)	506127	(504) 834-5592	Jefferson Parish
Archbishop Rummel Sr. High School (Boys) (C)	506005	(504) 834-5592	Jefferson Parish
Archbishop Shaw Junior High School (C)	506128	(504) 340-6727	Jefferson Parish
Archbishop Shaw Sr. High School (C)	506006	(504) 340-6727	Jefferson Parish
Arden Cahill Academy	598001	(504) 392-0902	Jefferson Parish
Ascension Catholic School (C)	502001	(225) 473-9227	Ascension Parish
Ascension Christian Academy	918001	(225) 677-8961	Ascension Parish
Ascension Day School (E)	680001	(337) 233-9748	Lafayette Parish
Ascension of Our Lord School (C)	506007	(985) 652-4532	St. John the Baptist Parish
Ashton Grace Montessori	972001	(337) 268-5633	Lafayette Parish
Assembly Christian School (AG)	742001	(337) 364-4340	Iberia Parish
Atonement Lutheran School	555001	(504) 887-0225	Jefferson Parish
Auntie B. Preschool and Kindergarten	519001	(504) 242-9299	Orleans Parish
Baton Rouge Christian Classical School	590001	(225) 752-3077	East Baton Rouge Parish
Baton Rouge Lutheran School	539001	(225) 272-1288	East Baton Rouge Parish
Beginnings-A Montessori School	970001	(985) 893-5492	St. Tammany Parish
Believer's Life Christian Academy (AG)	893001	(504) 348-4685	Jefferson Parish
Ben's Ford Christian School (B)	944001	(985) 735-0387	City of Bogalusa
Berean Seventh-day Adventist Academy	577001	(225) 355-4444	East Baton Rouge Parish
Bethany Christian School	845001	(225) 774-0133	East Baton Rouge Parish
Bethel Christian School	588001	(318) 255-1112	Lincoln Parish
Bethel Christian School (B)	921001	(337) 824-0020	Jefferson Davis Parish
Bethel Missionary School	925001	(318) 336-9576	Concordia Parish
Bishop Joseph V. Sullivan Jr. High School (C)	502044	(225) 753-9782	East Baton Rouge Parish
Bishop Joseph V. Sullivan School (C)	502036	(225) 753-9782	East Baton Rouge Parish
Bishop McManus School	872001	(504) 248-5121	Orleans Parish
Bishop Noland Episcopal Day School	527001	(337) 433-5246	Calcasieu Parish
Bishop Perry Middle School (C)	506152	(504) 943-3734	Orleans Parish
Boutte Christian Academy (AG)	727001	(985) 785-2447	St. Charles Parish
Brentwood Behavioral Art. School	883001	(318) 678-7574	Caddo Parish
Briarfield Academy	548001	(318) 558-2360	East Carroll Parish

Alphabetical List of Nonpublic Schools

School Name	Site Code	Telephone	Parish
Brighter Horizon School of Baton Rouge	907001	(225) 927-2521	East Baton Rouge Parish
Brighton Academy	546001	(225) 923-2088	East Baton Rouge Parish
Brother Martin Junior High School (Boys) (C)	506008	(504) 283-1581	Orleans Parish
Brother Martin Sr. High School (Boys) (C)	506130	(504) 283-1561	Orleans Parish
Brownfields Baptist Academy	693001	(225) 775-4972	East Baton Rouge Parish
Cabrin High School (Girls) (C)	506010	(504) 482-1193	Orleans Parish
Caffin Avenue Christian Academy (SDA)	607001	(504) 943-3445	Orleans Parish
Calvary Baptist Academy	772001	(318) 887-4923	Caddo Parish
Calvary Baptist School	770001	(504) 367-6465	Orleans Parish
Calvary Christian School	945001	(225) 766-7008	East Baton Rouge Parish
Carencro Catholic Elementary School(C)	504002	(337) 898-8973	Lafayette Parish
Cathedral Carmel School (C)	504003	(337) 235-5577	Lafayette Parish
Catholic Elementary School of Pointe Coupee(C)	502033	(225) 638-9313	Pointe Coupee Parish
Catholic High of Pointe Coupee (C)	502003	(225) 638-3469	Pointe Coupee Parish
Catholic High School (Boys) (C)	502002	(225) 383-0397	East Baton Rouge Parish
Catholic High School (C)	504041	(337) 384-5116	Iberia Parish
Catholic Junior High School (Boys) (C)	502037	(225) 383-0397	East Baton Rouge Parish
Cedar Creek School	589001	(318) 255-7707	Lincoln Parish
Cedarwood School	881001	(985) 846-7111	St. Tammany Parish
Celebration Christian School	609001	(504) 831-0277	Jefferson Parish
Central Catholic School (C)	503001	(985) 385-5372	St. Mary Parish
Central Free Methodist School	684001	(318) 221-3063	Caddo Parish
Central Private School	536001	(225) 261-3341	East Baton Rouge Parish
Central School Corporation	531001	(318) 858-3319	DeSoto Parish
Charity Christian Academy	878001	(504) 343-4859	East Baton Rouge Parish
Children's House Montessori School	957001	(318) 323-8040	Ouachita Parish
Chitimacha Tribal School	660001	(337) 823-8860	St. Mary Parish
Christ Episcopal School	746001	(985) 892-9156	St. Tammany Parish
Christ the King School (C)	506012	(504) 367-3801	Jefferson Parish
Christian Brothers School (Boys) (C)	506013	(504) 486-8770	Orleans Parish
Christian Life Academy	888001	(225) 769-6760	East Baton Rouge Parish
Christian Scholars Academy	977001	(337) 439-5453	Calcasieu Parish
Claiborne Academy	529001	(318) 927-2747	Claiborne Parish
Claiborne Christian School (CG)	886001	(318) 398-7968	Ouachita Parish
Classique Montessori School	971001	(504) 279-4639	St. Bernard Parish
Cornita Christian Academy (B)	813001	(225) 273-2699	East Baton Rouge Parish
Community Christian Academy	737001	(225) 665-5696	Livingston Parish
Concordia Lutheran School	556001	(504) 347-4155	Jefferson Parish
Conquering Word Christian Academy	522001	(504) 328-2273	Jefferson Parish
Corpus Christi School (C)	506015	(504) 943-8131	Orleans Parish
Country Day School of Baton Rouge	776001	(225) 928-4042	East Baton Rouge Parish
Covington Montessori School	962001	(985) 893-0676	St. Tammany Parish
Crescent Academy	603001	(504) 895-3952	Orleans Parish

Alphabetical List of Nonpublic Schools

School Name	Site Code	Telephone	Parish
Crescent City Baptist High School	557001	(504) 885-4700	Jefferson Parish
Cypress Heights Academy (C)	578001	(225) 755-1558	East Baton Rouge Parish
De La Salle Senior High School (C)	508123	(504) 895-5717	Orleans Parish
De LaSalle Junior High School (C)	506016	(504) 895-5717	Orleans Parish
Desire Street Academy	960001	(504) 945-5548	Orleans Parish
Disciples of Christ Preschool and Academy (B)	551001	(504) 340-1422	Jefferson Parish
DSM Kindergarten	563001	(225) 791-0950	Livingston Parish
E.D. White Catholic High School (C)	603015	(985) 446-8488	Lafourche Parish
Ecole Bilingue de la Nouvelle-Orleans	994001	(504) 896-4500	Orleans Parish
Ecole Classique	580001	(504) 887-3507	Jefferson Parish
Edgelake Preparatory Academy	622001	(504) 242-1245	Orleans Parish
Emmanuel SDA School	669001	(985) 345-7713	Tangipahoa Parish
Ephesus Adventist Junior Academy	897001	(504) 240-2227	Orleans Parish
Epiphany Day School (E)	716001	(337) 364-6841	Iberia Parish
Episcopal High School	537001	(225) 751-0808	East Baton Rouge Parish
Episcopal School of Acadiana/Alternative	658001	(337) 365-1418	St. Martin Parish
Eternity Christian Academy & Learning Inst.	534001	(337) 433-4138	Calcasieu Parish
Evangel Christian Academy (AG)	719001	(318) 688-7061	Caddo Parish
Excel Academy	995001	(504) 308-4082	Orleans Parish
Excelsior Christian School	903001	(318) 387-7333	Ouachita Parish
Faith Academy	933001	(225) 644-3110	Ascension Parish
Faith Academy	P26001	(504) 340-2894	Jefferson Parish
Faith Christian Academy	751001	(504) 248-1120	Orleans Parish
Faith Lutheran School	561001	(504) 737-9554	Jefferson Parish
False River Academy	640001	(225) 638-3783	Pointe Coupee Parish
Family Christian Academy	723001	(225) 768-3026	East Baton Rouge Parish
Family Worship Christian Academy	538001	(337) 842-1563	St. Landry Parish
Felicianas Alternative School	R38001	(225) 683-8751	East Feliciana Parish
First Baptist Christian School	936001	(985) 843-3725	St. Tammany Parish
First Baptist Christian School	786001	(337) 237-1546	Lafayette Parish
First Baptist Church School	518001	(318) 869-2361	Caddo Parish
Franklin Academy	550001	(318) 435-9520	Franklin Parish
Gables Academy	540001	(225) 752-9231	East Baton Rouge Parish
Gethsemane Christian Academy	582001	(337) 233-2750	Lafayette Parish
Gilda's Preschool Academy	K83001	(504) 242-2175	Orleans Parish
Glenbrook School	681001	(318) 377-2135	Webster Parish
Global Impact Academy	569001	(318) 442-8838	Rapides Parish
Glory of Christ Christian Academy	583001	(504) 366-8735	Orleans Parish
God's Way Christian Academy (B)	943001	(225) 356-9786	East Baton Rouge Parish
Good Shepherd Montessori School	953001	(337) 585-9914	St. Landry Parish
Good Shepherd Nativity Mission School (C)	506157	(504) 588-9389	Orleans Parish
Gordon-Richardson Christian Academy	524001	(985) 748-4907	Tangipahoa Parish
Grace Christian Academy/Alternative	939001	(318) 227-8184	Caddo Parish

Alphabetical List of Nonpublic Schools

School Name	Site Code	Telephone	Parish
St. Plus Elementary School (C)	504052	(337) 237-3139	Lafayette Parish
St. Plus X School (C)	506108	(504) 282-2811	Orleans Parish
St. Raymond School (C)	506110	(504) 282-5563	Orleans Parish
St. Rita School (C)	501027	(318) 473-0538	Rapides Parish
St. Rita School (C)	506111	(504) 866-1777	Orleans Parish
St. Rita School (C)	506112	(504) 737-0744	Jefferson Parish
St. Robert Bellarmine School (C)	506113	(504) 278-8486	St. Bernard Parish
St. Rosalie School (C)	506114	(504) 341-4342	Jefferson Parish
St. Scholastica Academy	506138	(985) 892-2540	St. Tammany Parish
St. Simon Peter School (C)	506136	(504) 243-8241	Orleans Parish
St. Stephen School (C)	506116	(504) 891-1927	Orleans Parish
St. Theodore's Holy Family Catholic School (C)	505011	(337) 855-9465	Calcasieu Parish
St. Theresa Early Learning Center (C)	502046	(225) 677-8238	Ascension Parish
St. Theresa of Avila School (C)	502029	(225) 647-2803	Ascension Parish
St. Thomas Aquinas Regional Catholic High Sch	502039	(985) 542-7662	Tangipahoa Parish
St. Thomas More Catholic High School (C)	504046	(337) 988-3700	Lafayette Parish
St. Thomas More Junior High School (C)	504043	(337) 988-3700	Lafayette Parish
St. Thomas More School (C)	502030	(225) 275-2820	East Baton Rouge Parish
Starkay Academy	715001	(225) 261-1390	East Baton Rouge Parish
Stepping Stones Montessori School	967001	(504) 362-0513	Jefferson Parish
Sts. Leo-Selon School (C)	504033	(337) 234-5510	Lafayette Parish
Stuart Hall School for Boys (C)	744001	(504) 881-1954	Orleans Parish
Tallulah Academy-Delta Christian School	591001	(318) 574-2608	Madison Parish
Tensas Academy	675001	(318) 766-4384	Tensas Parish
Teurlings Catholic High School(C)	504037	(337) 235-5711	Lafayette Parish
Teurlings Catholic Junior High School (C)	504051	(337) 235-5711	Lafayette Parish
The Bowling Green School	679001	(985) 839-5317	Washington Parish
The Cathedral School (C)	500011	(318) 221-8005	Caddo Parish
The Chertwell Center	922001	(504) 899-2478	Orleans Parish
The dePaul School	825001	(985) 872-8875	Terrebonne Parish
The Dunham School	692003	(225) 767-7097	East Baton Rouge Parish
The Louise S. McGehee School (GIRLS)	618001	(504) 561-1224	Orleans Parish
The Upperroom Bible Church Academy	938001	(504) 245-9060	Orleans Parish
Torah Academy (J)	876001	(504) 456-8429	Jefferson Parish
Trafton Academy	773001	(985) 542-7212	Tangipahoa Parish
Trinity Catholic Elementary School (C)	504038	(337) 394-8693	St. Martin Parish
Trinity Christian Academy	990001	(225) 654-4964	East Baton Rouge Parish
Trinity Episcopal School	547001	(225) 387-0388	East Baton Rouge Parish
Trinity Episcopal School	629001	(504) 525-8861	Orleans Parish
University Christian Prep (B)	526001	(318) 221-2687	Caddo Parish
University Montessori School	981001	(504) 865-1659	Orleans Parish
University Montessori School of Hammond	964001	(985) 542-4414	Tangipahoa Parish
Ursuline Academy (Girls) (C)	506120	(504) 866-2725	Orleans Parish