

Sandralyn Bailey

05-235

**From:** John E Mutter [jemutter@neoserve.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 10, 2006 9:49 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Comments to the Chairman

John E Mutter (jemutter@neoserve.com) writes:

Sir; Why is it when nearly every country has eliminate the morse code you people elect to drag your feet in following suite? Can't our government do the right the by the people at least once?

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 68.5.136.234  
Remote IP address: 68.5.136.234

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List ABCDE

05-235

**SandraLyn Bailey**

**From:** Brian Johnson [bkj92@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2006 10:45 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Comments to the Chairman

Brian Johnson (bkj92@aol.com) writes:

I hope this finds you doing well. I know you are a busy man, and have many things to concern yourself with. I know you want to, as a public servant, serve the people of The United States in the best fashion possible. I want to ask you, not from a regulation/policy standpoint, but from a common sense one, what interest does it serve to delay any longer in the removal of the morse code requirement from the Amateur Radio bands below 30mhz. WT Docket 05-235. In the last two years the United States has had some of the worst natural disaster events in history come our way. In the the last five years we have had the worst enemy attack on our soil ever. Regardless of the impressive technology that has been deployed in the communication industry, it is a fair weather platform. "Ham" Radio has worked, does work, and will work in the future when all else fails. And at a zero cost to the ones served. The majority of the world, including our own military, has dropped morse code requirements. At this time in our history, with all that is at stake, I feel it is irresponsible to waste so much time before announcing the change that is inevitable. We are on the cusp of another Hurricane season, and our own government is telling us from a homeland security perspective, it is not a matter of if... but when. I know there is much before the Commission, but this step forward doesn't require any further delay. The time limits for proper announcements and responses have come... and gone. This change does not effect other issues you are working through. The frequencies are already there, in use - by to few who are able (allowed)to help during times of emergency and disaster. This can't be in the best interests of the people of The United States.

Thank you for reading my e-mail.  
Brian Johnson  
bkj92@aol.com

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.0  
Remote host: 207.200.116.5  
Remote IP address: 207.200.116.5

No. of Copies read 0  
List ABCDE

05-235

**SandraLyn Bailey**

**From:** Sharon Jenkins  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 11, 2006 12:38 PM  
**To:** SandraLyn Bailey  
**Subject:** FW: CIMS-other email accts SOW

**Importance:** High  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Per our telephone conversation this morning, as promised I am sending you a series of emails that may help you to manage the 8th floor mail account. Here is an email that I found that I hope will give you some idea as to what kind of information you can expect to find in the Chairman's and Commissioners' boxes.

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sharon Jenkins  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2005 3:46 PM  
**To:** Sumita Mukhoty  
**Cc:** Stephanie Kost  
**Subject:** RE: CIMS-other email accts SOW  
**Importance:** High  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

1. 95% of emails addressed to FCCINFO are routed to each 8<sup>th</sup> floor email boxes, in addition to emails addressed exclusively to the Chairman and/or Commissioners.
2. 8<sup>th</sup> Floor email boxes have never been exclusively dedicated to receiving public inquiries and/or complaints. There are a variety of email - from internal sources; personal subscriptions; Agenda Items; complaints/requests for assistance to follow-up and/or obtain correct/more information to complaints and/or inquiries already on file; requests for meetings/speaking engagements/interviews; etc.
3. The manner in which the Chairman/Commissioners manage their email boxes are not uniform, i.e. (a) 1 Commissioner forwards the incoming email to an email box created by the assistants. (b) Some review and/or respond to inquiries/complaints personally; (c) We do not have direct access to one of the Commissioner's entire email box. Folders are created by the commissioner's confidential assistant to place only selected emails they want IAPO to process. Periodically forwards e-mails directly to IAPO staff, i.e., selected emails the Commissioner wants IAPO staff to specifically answer on their behalf.
4. Currently, 1 IAPO staff member has direct access to all 8<sup>th</sup> floor email boxes. One other staff member has access to 8<sup>th</sup> floor folders only. IAPO does not process email addressed to FCCINFO so as not to duplicate or provide different responses to various inquiries. IAPO staff separates all email addressed to FCCINFO. Only occasionally and under special circumstance IAPO will respond to email addressed to FCCINFO and alert CICD management.
5. Assuming that the owners of the 8<sup>th</sup> floor email boxes authorize transferring management of their boxes - if CIMS will manage the 8<sup>th</sup> floor emails consisting of a variety of emails that come through the 8<sup>th</sup> floor email boxes, an efficient method maybe to consolidate emails that are considered "public inquiries/complaints"; have a pair of experienced eyes review the email boxes first and extract all email; publications; NON-PUBLIC (sensitive and/or time sensitive) requests/inquiries/complaints, and then place all public complaints/inquiries in holding area for

CIMS.  
Thanks.  
Sharon

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sumita Mukhoty  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 01, 2005 2:01 PM  
**To:** Sharon Jenkins; Stephanie Kost  
**Subject:** FW: CIMS-other email accts SOW  
**Importance:** High  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Give me your thoughts ASAP. Thanks. Sumita

\*\*\* Non-Public: For Internal Use Only \*\*\*

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Stephen Ebner  
**Sent:** Monday, May 23, 2005 12:27 PM  
**To:** Thomas Wyatt; Sumita Mukhoty; Martha Contee  
**Cc:** Roger Goldblatt  
**Subject:** CIMS-other email accts SOW

Here's what I plan to give to ATS to get an estimate...your expedient comments are appreciated.....



CIMS-other email  
accts SOW.doc...