

**Angela Boston**

RECEIVED

**From:** Alan Simpson [alans@directorymarketinginc.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2006 11:25 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 22, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Alan Simpson  
100 SE Brentwood Dr  
Lees Summit, MO 64063-3224

Angela Boston

RECEIVED

**From:** Donna Williams [donna1168@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2006 10:11 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 22, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Donna Williams  
13455 Princedale Dr  
Dale City, VA 22193-3865

**Angela Boston**

**From:** Jody Webb [webb3838@charter.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2006 10:08 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 22, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mrs. Jody Webb  
4 4th St  
Asheville, NC 28803-1417

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Robin Shefts [shefts@easternct.edu]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2006 8:59 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 22, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Robin Shefts  
PO Box 324  
Voluntown, CT 06384-0324

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** *bret branon [newsletters@westparkfoundries.com]*  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2006 4:06 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 22, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I want control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

This will make TV better!!!! AND it bmight just allow more new cable channels to enter the marketplace.

Please make a la carte a reality.

Sincerely,  
Bret Branon

Thank you,

Mr. bret branon  
3502 Charles St  
Austin, TX 78702-3109

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Thomas Wacha [twacha@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 11:18 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Thomas Wacha  
816 Duff Ave  
Ames, IA 50010-6356

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Teresa Wacha [twacha@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 11:19 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mrs. Teresa Wacha  
816 Duff Ave  
Ames, IA 50010-6356

**Angela Boston**

**From:** kimberly bonin [kpromise@onebox.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 10:51 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

kimberly bonin  
401 Northlake Ct  
North Palm Beach, FL 33408-5144

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Anita McAuley [needacoder@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 3:59 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Anita McAuley  
7010 Elusive Pass  
San Antonio, TX 78233-3882

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Gloria Salazar [leowithrhythm@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 2:31 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 21, 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Gloria Salazar  
10705 Rockcliff Dr NW  
Albuquerque, NM 87114-1842

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Andrea Escher [andreaescher@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 1:50 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Andrea Escher  
7316 Guadalupe Trl NW  
Los Ranchos De Albuquerque, NM 87107-6660

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Alice MacRae [crfts365@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 1:49 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mrs. Alice MacRae  
2 Vigeant St B  
Ware, MA 01082-1022

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Sharon Shoff [sshoff@vbcdc.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 1:48 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Sharon Shoff  
1545 Pebblebrook Way  
Virginia Beach, VA 23464-8877

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Audrey Huggon [buslady9@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 1:28 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Rabbi Audrey Huggon  
9 Beeden Rd  
Westport, MA 02790-1145

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Susan Ramsay [notsusie03@adelphia.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 12:53 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 21, 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

Dish is no better, and weather affects it too much.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mrs. Susan Ramsay  
4783 Bunchberry Ln  
Colorado Springs, CO 80917-1372

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Gail Teach [gteach@nortelnetworks.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 11:25 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Gail Teach  
16 Hartley Rd  
Belmont, MA 02478-3823

## Angela Boston

---

**From:** Treacy Seeley [treacysworld2000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 10:55 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Treacy Seeley  
3769 Palos Verdes Way  
South San Francisco, CA 94080-5227

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Thomas Bee [tjbee2003@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 10:30 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 21, 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Thomas Bee  
4132 Appleby Way  
Fairfax, VA 22030-6053

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Thomas Bee [tjbee2003@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 10:30 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

**RECEIVED**

**APR - 3 2006**

**Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary**

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Thomas Bee  
4132 Appleby Way  
Fairfax, VA 22030-6053

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Sandra Lam [thelamclan@cox.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 10:24 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

**RECEIVED**

APR - 3 2006

Feb 21, 2006

**Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary**

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Sandra Lam  
1601 Mantane Arch  
Virginia Beach, VA 23454-6860

**Angela Boston**

**From:** Francesca Rheannon [rheannon97@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 7:37 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

**RECEIVED**

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. In fact, I refuse to get more than "basic" cable UNTIL "a la carte" is an option. I see no reason to pay exorbitant rates for programming 90% of which I don't want to watch! The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Francesca Rheannon  
11 Silver St  
South Hadley, MA 01075-1615

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Jenny wright [stillsurviving05@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 21, 2006 1:14 AM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

**RECEIVED**

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 21, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mrs. Jenny wright  
14300 34th Ave N Apt 329  
Plymouth, MN 55447-5207

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** ronna berenz [shamou1@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2006 10:03 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

**RECEIVED**

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 20, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. ronna berenz  
4618 W Montana St  
Chicago, IL 60639-1825

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** ronald brown [conbro52x@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2006 6:31 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

**RECEIVED**

APR - 3 2006

Feb 20, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

**Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary**

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. ronald brown  
214 4th Ave  
Longmont, CO 80501-5504

**Angela Boston**

---

**From:** Linda Wells [lwells913@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2006 6:16 PM  
**To:** Jonathan Adelstein  
**Subject:** Give me more control over my cable bill

**RECEIVED**

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Feb 20, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Linda Wells  
19862 Riverview Dr  
Yorba Linda, CA 92886-6044