

Angela Boston

From: *stephen russell* [stephenrussell@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2006 12:15 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. stephen russell
5538 Ocean View Blvd
La Canada, CA 91011-1216

Angela Boston

From: Larry Arthur [officelounge@insightbb.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2006 12:14 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 17, 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Larry Arthur
1668 Bellmeade
Bloomington, IN 47401-8668

Angela Boston

From: Cynthia Baum [cjbaum1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 10:38 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I believe consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I myself refuse to subscribe to cable at this time because it would be a tremendous waste of money for me. The rates are extremely high and there is so little I want to watch on them. If I had the option to pay a reasonable amount to subscribe to only those channels I watch I would welcome it.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Cynthia Baum1
HC 77 Box 15
Abiquiu, NM 87510-9802

Angela Boston

From: Skip Nichols [skipnichols@earthlink.net]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 9:52 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Skip Nichols
P O Box 425
1302 S Trinity St
Decatur, TX 76234-2551

Angela Boston

From: Sophie Miyashiro [sophiemiyashiro@msn.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 9:48 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mrs. Sophie Miyashiro
331 83rd St
Brooklyn, NY 11209-4404

Angela Boston

From: Gaybrilla Debord [gaybrilla@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 9:23 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Gaybrilla Debord
4750 Orleans Ct Apt D
West Palm Beach, FL 33415-8820

Angela Boston

From: Trevor Ballard [trevorkiel@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 8:57 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Trevor Ballard
1014 W 11th Ave # 1
Eugene, OR 97402-4716

Angela Boston

From: Richard Booher [richieandann@optonline.net]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 7:47 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Richard Booher
1593 N Thompson Dr
Bay Shore, NY 11706-1324

Angela Boston

From: Sam Parrish [sambattrell@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 7:44 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Sam Parrish
127 Mendocino St
Brisbane, CA 94005-1514

Angela Boston

From: Marta Sundberg [rwlipke@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 6:56 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Marta Sundberg
218 E Valley Rd # 104-282
Carbondale, CO 81623-7735

Angela Boston

From: Lisas Grubisha [lgrubisha@iab.alaska.edu]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 5:31 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Lisas Grubisha
PO Box 750233
Fairbanks, AK 99775-0233

Angela Boston

From: Thanasarn Jivananon [thanasarn@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 5:09 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 17, 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Thanasarn Jivananon
6250 188th Ln NE
Redmond, WA 98052-0522

Angela Boston

From: Kathleen Knutson [kknut1984@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 5:05 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

Dear Senators, Representatives and Members of the FCC:

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you for empowering your constituents,
Kathleen Knutson
11674 Symmescreek Drive
Loveland, OH 45140

Thank you,

Ms. Kathleen Knutson
11674 Symmes Creek Dr
Loveland, OH 45140-9396

Angela Boston

From: James Lawton [jlawton@wi.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 4:28 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

James Lawton
33804 Lynn Ln
Burlington, WI 53105-9212

Angela Boston

From: Judit Penney [judyann27@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 4:23 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mrs. Judit Penney
106 Moss Rose Ct
Cary, NC 27511-2242

Angela Boston

From: Clarice Keegan [clarice@willapabay.org]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 4:06 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

Why should I pay for more than 50 channels I never watch and not get the opportunity to choose freely what variety of channels that I want to receive on my television?

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Clarice Keegan
PO Box 573
Oysterville, WA 98641-0573

Angela Boston

From: richard dronet [rdronet@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 3:56 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. richard dronet
1113 E Lawrence Rd
Phoenix, AZ 85014-1046

Angela Boston

From: Bud Weiser [weiser64@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 3:30 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Bud Weiser
602 County Road 75
Ethelsville, AL 35461-2127

Angela Boston

From: lance lawrence [lanria@surewest.net]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 3:03 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. lance lawrence
5968 Kylenech Ct
Citrus Heights, CA 95621-0984

Angela Boston

From: Lawrence Goldman [lgoldman@allmail.net]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 2:50 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Lawrence Goldman
1207 Wright St
Greenwood, MS 38930-2238

Angela Boston

From: Bill Orlowski [borlow@mchsi.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 2:40 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Bill Orlowski
2947 Big Bear Hwy
Benton, KY 42025-5891

Angela Boston

From: Ethan Winograd [ethanwinograd@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 2:24 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Ethan Winograd
6413 Jackson St
Pittsburgh, PA 15206-2233

Angela Boston

From: James Richardson [yabyumboy@hotmail.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 1:53 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

James Richardson
PO Box 20713
Seattle, WA 98102-1713

Angela Boston

From: Lani Vaughan [lanivvaughan@juno.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 12:07 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Lani Vaughan
1061 Vaughan Rd
Elm City, NC 27822-8056

Angela Boston

From: Mike Snyder [msnssnyder@msn.com]
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2006 12:04 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 17, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Mike Snyder
10439 Venticello Dr NW
Albuquerque, NM 87114-1463