

Angela Boston

From: Edward Zahn [edez38@verizon.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 4:29 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Edward Zahn
91 Sunnymede St
Englishtown, NJ 07726-4709

Angela Boston

From: Ronda Scott-Marak [bacimom@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 4:29 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Ronda Scott-Marak
1306 Fall Ct
Wheeling, IL 60090-2222

Angela Boston

From: Priscilla Kilcrease [fish2676@bellsouth.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 4:23 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Dr. Priscilla Kilcrease
NSU Box 3188
Natchitoches, LA 71497-0001

Angela Boston

From: Malcolm Getsee [mgetsee@cfl.rr.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 4:18 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Malcolm Getsee
43 High Ridge Ave
De Leon Springs, FL 32130-3223

Angela Boston

From: Theresa Firkaly [tfirkaly@wcupa.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 4:17 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Theresa Firkaly
207 Independence Ct
Blandon, PA 19510-9677

Angela Boston

From: Suzanne Steele [suzanne@alumni.upenn.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 4:01 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Dr. Suzanne Steele
322 S 16th St
Philadelphia, PA 19102-4907

Angela Boston

From: JOSEPH MCDEVITT [jmcdevitt@hcsupply.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 3:58 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED
APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. JOSEPH MCDEVITT
718 Parkview Ave
Penndel, PA 19047-5368

Angela Boston

From: richard kostynowicz [usaaussie2002@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 3:58 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 15, 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. richard kostynowicz
6234 S Rutherford Ave
Chicago, IL 60638-4024

Angela Boston

From: JJordan Glaser [jglaser@optonline.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 3:36 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 15, 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. JJordan Glaser
18 Morris Ln
Oyster Bay, NY 11771-3404

Angela Boston

From: Elaine Rowan [e.rowan@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 10:41 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

Cable bills are entirely too high.

There are too many channels that I have no interest in that are included in the channel array. (the proliferation of music, "adult" movies, shopping" channels, et.al.).

I want to be in control of what I am paying for. I prefer channels that avail me the opportunity to be well informed: history, weather, news, CSPAN, et.al. It goes without saying that my husband likes the sport channels (but he has the choice of paying for extra sports coverage as it occurs).

Please give us the opportunity of choice.

A cable bill that is over \$100 month is too expensive for individuals that are retired.

Thank you,

Ms. Elaine Rowan
5750 Christine Dr
Eureka, CA 95503-7702

Angela Boston

From: Ray Cantelli [rayc@cantelliblock.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2006 10:35 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 15, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Miss Ray Cantelli
1123 Franklin St
Sandusky, OH 44870-3638

Angela Boston

From: C. Diane Fishel [ps4erth@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 9:14 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. C. Diane Fishel
739 E Sycamore Ave
Orange, CA 92866-1153

Angela Boston

From: James Page [casadepage@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 7:17 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

James Page
69 Millbrook Cir
Sacramento, CA 95828-4739

Angela Boston

From: alan strand [alan_strand@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 6:36 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. alan strand
10128 Leona St
Tujunga, CA 91042-2537

Angela Boston

From: crista m Smith [csmith9621@kc.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 5:56 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

**Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary**

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. crista m Smith
2801 N 76th St
Kansas City, KS 66109-1613

Angela Boston

From: Phil Hinds [phinds@woh.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 5:22 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Phil Hinds
2407 E Breese Rd
Lima, OH 45806-9758

Angela Boston

From: PETER hung [plhung@rocketmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 4:04 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. PETER hung
17 Andrew Ln
Windsor, CT 06095-2613

Angela Boston

From: Christopher Taormina [flaurnt@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 2:15 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Christopher Taormina
21 Wismer Rd
Schwenksville, PA 19473-2835

Angela Boston

From: Tim Newberry [bubba5296@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 2:10 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Tim Newberry
2745 Cave Creek Ln
Springdale, AR 72764-7170

Angela Boston

From: Michael Hrysyzen [mh16ken@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 1:16 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Feb 14, 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Michael Hrysyzen
16 Kenilworth Dr W
Stamford, CT 06902-7108

Angela Boston

From: Regina Wilhelm [reggietuba@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 3:18 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 14, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Regina Wilhelm
14040 15th Ave NE Apt 14E
Seattle, WA 98125-3118

Angela Boston

From: Robin Harrison [nadiaoko@cox.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2006 12:50 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 13, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Robin Harrison
11005 N Eagle Ln
Oklahoma City, OK 73162-3044

Angela Boston

From: Elaine Baly [ebaly@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2006 4:09 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 13, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Ms. Elaine Baly
4 Glen Rd
Hudson, MA 01749-1300

Angela Boston

From: Arthur Cogle [ccogle@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2006 8:20 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 13, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. Arthur Cogle
934 Newell Circle Dr
Seymour, TN 37865-4224

Angela Boston

From: ed kelly [edkellyesq@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, February 12, 2006 10:45 PM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Give me more control over my cable bill

RECEIVED

APR - 3 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Feb 12, 2006

FCC Commissioner Jonathon Adelstein

Dear FCC Commissioner Adelstein,

I am writing to let you know that I am angry that cable rates are continuing to rise and I want more control over my cable TV bills. The Federal Communications Commission has said that allowing consumers to choose and pay for only those cable channels they want to watch will save consumers money. Cable companies should adopt this "a la carte" system immediately, and give me more control over what programs come into my home.

Consumers should have more flexibility when it comes to the cable programming that we pay for. Today, the cable companies, not consumers, decide what packages of channels we have to buy. Because of this system, they're able to favor the channels they own. Giving me more choice--either to choose a package or select my own channels--would help break the choke hold cable companies have on programming, and could allow more independent programming to get aired. This "a la carte" system would let me save money and allow me to decide what programming I want to keep out of my home.

Over the past ten years, the average price of expanded basic cable service has increased from just over \$20 to well over \$40 nearly three times the rate of inflation. Today, 98% of consumers can choose from only one cable company and not everyone can get satellite. This lack of competition has hurt consumers and encouraged the industry to lock us into the large packages of channels we don't watch.

I understand the technology already exists to make a cable a la carte system work. While the cable industry is fighting this idea, giving consumers choices is the way most markets work. Imagine Time Warner telling consumers that to buy Time magazine, they also had to buy Time Warner-owned Field & Stream. In the competitive publishing market they couldn't get away with that, but in TV, they make more money by controlling how consumers get cable programming. Bundling lets them squeeze consumers and control content. They may have no incentive to quit unless policymakers step in and get involved.

Please support giving me more control over my cable bill.

Thank you,

Mr. ed kelly
3000 Columbus St
Ottawa, IL 61350-1012