March 31, 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Wireline Competition Bureau – CPD – 214 Appls.
c/o Mellon Bank Client Service Center
500 Ross Street, Room 670
Pittsburgh, PA 15262-0001
Attn: FCC Module Supervisor
Lockbox Number 358145

Re: Application Pursuant to Section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934 and
Section 63.04 of the Commission’s Rules for Consent to the Transfer of Control
of BellSouth Corporation to AT&T Inc.

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed for filing please find an original and four copies of the Application Pursuant to
Section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 63.04 of the Commission’s Rules
for Consent to the Transfer of Control of BellSouth Corporation to AT&T Inc. Also enclosed is
a check payable to the Federal Communications Commission in the amount of $895 for the
prescribed filing fee.

As indicated in the application, please direct questions or correspondence concerning
AT&T Inc.’s portion of this application to:

Wayne Watts
Senior Vice President & Associate General Counsel
AT&T Inc.
175 East Houston
San Antonio, TX 78205
Tel: (210) 351-3476
Fax: (210) 351-3257
dw4808@att.com

with a copy to:
Also as indicated in the application, please direct questions or correspondence concerning the portion of the application dealing with BellSouth to:

James G. Harralson
Vice President & Associate General Counsel
BellSouth Corporation
1155 Peachtree Street NE
Suite 1800
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610
Tel: (404) 249-2641
Fax: (404) 249-2385
james.harralson@bel1south.com

with a copy to

Scott D. Delacourt
Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 719-7459
Fax: (202) 719-7049
sdelacourt@wrf.com

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Peter J. Schildkraut
Counsel for AT&T Inc.
Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

BELLSOUTH CORPORATION

and

AT&T INC.

Application Pursuant to Section 214 of the
Communications Act of 1934 and Section 63.04 of
the Commission's Rules for Consent to the Transfer
of Control of BellSouth Corporation to AT&T Inc.

WC Docket No. 06-74

File No.

Attention: Wireline Competition Bureau

APPLICATION FOR CONSENT TO TRANSFER OF CONTROL

Pursuant to Section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 214, and Section 63.04 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 63.04, BellSouth Corporation ("BellSouth") (FRN 0014844989) and AT&T Inc. ("AT&T") (FRN 0005193701) seek consent to the transfer of control of BellSouth and its subsidiaries to AT&T.¹ Two wholly owned BellSouth subsidiaries, BellSouth Long Distance, Inc. and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (collectively, the "BellSouth Carriers"), hold blanket authority pursuant to Section 214 to provide domestic telecommunications services.

Through the BellSouth Carriers, BellSouth provides wireline local exchange, access, and intra- and interLATA long distance services, almost exclusively within a nine-state region in the southeastern United States. Grant of FCC consent to the transfer of control of BellSouth and its subsidiaries will permit consummation of an exchange offer of stock that has been approved by the Boards of Directors of BellSouth and AT&T, and thus permit the transfer of control of

¹ AT&T and BellSouth are collectively referred to herein as the “Parties” or the “Applicants.”
BellSouth and its domestic telecommunications network to AT&T.

A more detailed description of the Parties and the transaction are provided below, along with the other information required pursuant to Section 63.04 of the Commission’s rules:

(1) The transferor is BellSouth Corporation; the carriers to be transferred are BellSouth Long Distance, Inc. and BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Their addresses and telephone numbers are:

BellSouth Corporation
Attn: James G. Harralson
Vice President & Associate General Counsel
1155 Peachtree Street NE
Suite 1800
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610
(404) 249-2641

BellSouth Long Distance, Inc.
400 Perimeter Center Terrace, Suite 400
Atlanta, GA 30346-1907
(770) 352-3101

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.,
22J64 BSC
675 W Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30375
(205) 977-7624

with a copy to

Scott D. Delacourt
Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
Tel: (202) 719-7459
Fax: (202) 719-7049
sdelacourt@wrf.com

The transferee is AT&T Inc. Its address and telephone number are:
with a copy to:

Peter J. Schildkraut  
Arnold & Porter LLP  
555 12th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004  
Tel: (202) 942-5634  
Fax: (202) 942-5999  
peter_schildkraut@aporter.com

(2) BellSouth Corporation is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Georgia. AT&T is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware.

(3) Correspondence concerning this application should be addressed to the following:

For BellSouth:

James G. Harralson  
Vice President & Associate General Counsel  
BellSouth Corporation  
1155 Peachtree Street NE  
Suite 1800  
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610  
Tel: (404) 249-2641  
Fax: (404) 249-2385  
james.harralson@bellsouth.com

with a copy to

Scott D. Delacourt  
Wiley Rein & Fielding LLP  
1776 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
Tel: (202) 719-7459  
Fax: (202) 719-7049  
sdelacourt@wrf.com
For AT&T:

AT&T Inc.
c/o Wayne Watts
AT&T Inc.
175 East Houston
San Antonio, TX 78205
(210) 351-3476
dw4808@att.com

with a copy to:

Peter J. Schildkraut
Arnold & Porter LLP
555 12th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 942-5634
peter_schildkraut@aporter.com

(4) AT&T and BellSouth are both publicly traded corporations whose stock is widely held by the public. Based on holdings as of December 31, 2005, no person or entity holds a ten percent or greater ownership interest in AT&T or will do so in the combined company after the proposed transaction is consummated.

(5) BellSouth and AT&T hereby certify pursuant to Sections 1.2001 through 1.2003 of the Commission’s rules that no party to the application is subject to a denial of Federal benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988.

(6) AT&T and BellSouth have entered into an agreement that contemplates the initiation and consummation of an exchange offer through which AT&T will acquire all of the issued and outstanding shares of BellSouth’s stock. A description of the transaction is included with the Public Interest Statement in Attachment I to this application.

(7) BellSouth is the largest communications service provider in the southeastern United States, serving substantial portions of the population within Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Tennessee.
Through its wholly owned subsidiary, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., BellSouth provides wireline communications services, including local exchange, network access, intraLATA long distance services, and Internet services. BellSouth Long Distance, Inc., BellSouth’s long distance subsidiary, provides long distance services to residential and small business customers in BellSouth’s region, long distance services to enterprise customers headquartered in BellSouth’s region, and wholesale long distance primarily to Cingular Wireless. BellSouth served approximately 20 million access lines as of December 31, 2005, a reduction of 1.32 million from year-end 2004. BellSouth also served almost 2.9 million DSL customers (retail and wholesale) at the end of 2005. BellSouth operates a regional Internet backbone in its primary service area. It is not a “Tier 1” backbone and does not peer with any Tier 1 backbones; rather, BellSouth pays transit to other backbones for handling its traffic. While BellSouth leases limited backbone facilities outside its region, it owns no out-of-region fiber or backbone facilities.

To mass market customers, BellSouth provides advanced voice, data, Internet, and networking solutions in addition to traditional local and long distance voice services. To large business and government customers, BellSouth provides both standard and highly specialized communications services and products, including voice, data, Internet access, private networks, high-speed data equipment, and conferencing services. BellSouth also provides interconnection services to other carriers.

AT&T is a global telecommunications company that provides domestic and international voice, data, and Internet services for residential, business, and government customers. AT&T’s global backbone networks carry an average of 4.9 petabytes of traffic to virtually every country and territory in the world. AT&T provides a wide-range of IP-based communications services for businesses, including an extensive portfolio of Virtual Private Network (“VPN”) and Voice
over IP ("VoIP") services.

To mass market customers, AT&T provides a broad array of voice, wireless, entertainment, and IP/data communications products and services. Through Project Lightspeed, AT&T will bring next-generation integrated video, super-high-speed broadband access, and VoIP services via a new fiber-rich network.

To business and government customers, AT&T provides advanced IP and traditional networking solutions for both voice and data. These services are supplemented by an array of consulting, management, and professional support services.

Domestically, AT&T serves 49.4 million access lines, which are predominantly concentrated in Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Missouri, Nevada, Ohio, Oklahoma, Texas, and Wisconsin, with local or long-distance voice services. AT&T also has 7 million DSL lines in service.

(8) The parties do not request streamlined treatment for this application.

(9) In addition to the blanket domestic Section 214 authority covered by this application, BellSouth's subsidiaries also hold international Section 214 authority, cable landing licenses, satellite earth station authorizations, and various wireless radio services authorizations. The Parties concurrently are filing applications for consent to the transfer of control of these authorizations. Furthermore, the Parties are filing applications for consent to the pro forma transfer of control of the international Section 214 authority, various wireless radio services authorizations, and experimental radio service authorizations held by Cingular Wireless LLC ("Cingular") and its subsidiaries and affiliates because BellSouth currently shares negative control of Cingular with AT&T.

(10) The Parties do not request special consideration as the business in question is not facing imminent failure.
(11) No waiver requests are being filed in conjunction with the transaction.

(12) A demonstration that a grant of this application will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity is included in Attachment 1 to this application.
Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Parties respectfully request that the Commission consent to the transfer of control of BellSouth and its domestic telecommunications operations to AT&T Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

BelISouth Corporation

By: ________________________________
    James G. Harralson
    Vice President & Associate General Counsel
    BellSouth Corporation
    1155 Peachtree Street NE
    Suite 1800
    Atlanta, GA 30309-3610
    (404) 249-2641 (phone)
    (404) 249-2385 (facsimile)

AT&T Inc.

By: ________________________________
    Wayne Watts
    Senior Vice President & Associate General Counsel
    AT&T Inc.
    175 East Houston
    San Antonio, TX 78205
    (210) 351-3476 (phone)
    (210) 351-3257 (facsimile)
Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Parties respectfully request that the Commission consent to
the transfer of control of BellSouth and its domestic telecommunications operations to AT&T Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

BellSouth Corporation
By: ____________________________
James G. Harralso
Vice President & Associate General Counsel
BellSouth Corporation
1155 Peachtree Street NE
Suite 1800
Atlanta, GA 30309-3610
(404) 249-2641 (phone)
(404) 249-2385 (facsimile)

AT&T Inc.
By: ____________________________
Wayne Watts
Senior Vice President &
Associate General Counsel
AT&T Inc.
175 East Houston
San Antonio, TX 78205
(210) 351-3476 (phone)
(210) 351-3257 (facsimile)
Merger of
AT&T Inc. and
BellSouth Corporation

Description of Transaction,
Public Interest Showing and
Related Demonstrations

Filed with the Federal Communications Commission
March 31, 2006
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1984, at the time of the Bell System divestiture, the principal telecommunications technology was the narrowband, circuit-switched wireline network, and the principal competitive issue was whether the separation of local service (considered to be a natural monopoly) from interexchange service would allow the latter to flourish as a separate competitive market. Today, the nation’s voice, data and video needs are met by numerous competing wireless and wireline networks. No one thinks of local service as a natural monopoly. And no one thinks long distance is a separate, standalone market. Business and mass market customers alike want mobility and broadband, and they have an increasing array of choices for both.

In approving the SBC/AT&T and Verizon/MCI transactions, this Commission concluded that “the proposed transaction is likely to generate several significant merger-specific public interest benefits,” including provision to the government of “additional security and routing efficiency for vital and sensitive government communications,” efficiencies related to “vertical integration of the largely complementary networks and facilities,” significant economies of scale and scope, and substantial cost savings. This

2 SBC/AT&T Merger Order ¶ 186; Verizon/MCI Merger Order ¶ 197.
3 SBC/AT&T Merger Order ¶ 191; Verizon/MCI Merger Order ¶ 203.
4 SBC/AT&T Merger Order ¶¶ 193-95; Verizon/MCI Merger Order ¶¶ 205-07.
5 SBC/AT&T Merger Order ¶¶ 196-204; Verizon/MCI Merger Order ¶¶ 208-14.
transaction will bring these benefits to the customers of BellSouth, which is the only BOC without long distance facilities of national scope. It also will bring additional unique benefits in the areas of wireless, video and public safety.

**Wireless.** AT&T’s and BellSouth’s wireless operations already are jointly owned through Cingular, which is operated as a separate company with separate management. Although Cingular has been successful, it faces increasing challenges due to its tripartite management structure, particularly as it addresses critical technology choices and invests in new services that merge traditional landline and wireless services. For example, AT&T, BellSouth and Cingular are at various stages in constructing IP-based networks to enable advanced capabilities. This merger will permit integration of those separate networks into a single IP network to carry local and long distance voice, data and wireless traffic, making it possible to offer “follow me” converged wireless/wireline services that will provide voice, data and video content to residential, business and government customers seamlessly across wireless and wireline telephones, personal computers, televisions and myriad other devices.

**Video.** Although BellSouth is in the midst of deploying a fiber-rich network that is capable of providing IP video services, it has no present plans to provide such services over that network. AT&T, by contrast, is committed to providing a broad array of video programming and other services on an integrated IP platform and already has completed much of the work that will make such services possible. By combining BellSouth’s fiber-rich network with AT&T’s investments in IPTV technology and content, the combined company will have the resources to deploy video services more quickly in the
BellSouth region than would have occurred absent the merger, reduce the per-subscriber costs of IPTV, spur broadband adoption, and increase the amount and diversity of programming available to the public.

National Security/Disaster Recovery. The Commission found that the SBC/AT&T merger would enhance service to U.S. government agencies and promote national security by creating a “strong, full-service, facilities-based provider capable of delivering integrated end-to-end services to the government on a national or international basis.”6 Likewise, by enabling network integration and more efficient routing on a broader scale and across a broader geographic scope, this merger will both improve the merged company’s ability to respond expeditiously and effectively to the government’s evolving needs and enhance communications security and reliability. As the Commission recognized in the SBC/AT&T and Verizon/MCI Merger Orders, these efficiencies must be taken “extremely seriously.”7

The merger also will enhance the ability of the combined company to prepare for, and respond to, natural disasters, acts of terrorism and other emergencies. As the White House recently observed in a comprehensive review of the federal response to Hurricane Katrina, disaster preparedness has become a national imperative.8 The merger will enable the combined company to respond more effectively to disasters that affect the

---

6 SBC/AT&T Merger Order ¶ 187.
7 Id. ¶ 186; Verizon/MCI Merger Order ¶ 197.
communications infrastructure than could either company standing alone by combining AT&T's unique disaster recovery capabilities and assets developed to meet the needs of government and enterprise customers that demand extraordinary reliability and responsiveness for their networks with BellSouth's experience in responding to hurricanes and other disasters. The merger also will permit joint planning in advance of catastrophes, enabling faster deployment of personnel and equipment after disasters occur, more rapid restoration of critical communications capabilities, and more effective coordination with the Commission's newly established Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau, the National Communications System and other key government agencies.

Efficiencies/Consumer Benefits. In approving the SBC/AT&T merger, the Commission recognized that there would be significant efficiencies in combining the complementary operations of the two companies. The new AT&T is already significantly ahead of schedule in recognizing those efficiencies and bringing those benefits to its customers. The efficiencies from combining AT&T's and BellSouth's complementary wireless and wireline operations are equally significant. The Applicants estimate that this merger will produce total efficiencies of $18 billion, after accounting for the costs of integration and other implementation costs. Those cost savings will allow a stronger network, enable more research and development, enhance service quality and lower costs for consumers.

---

9 SBC/AT&T Merger Order ¶ 190-92.
Lack of Competitive Harms. While the merger will bring clear and specifically identifiable public interest benefits, it will not harm competition or consumers in any market. There is little competitive overlap between the two companies and, as the Commission concluded in the SBC/AT&T Merger Order and intervening market developments confirm, competition is well established in the markets where AT&T and BellSouth traditionally have operated. Indeed, the merger of AT&T and BellSouth involves virtually no increase in horizontal concentration in any relevant market.

Mass Market. The merger will in no way reduce mass market competition for the same reasons that underlay the Commission’s conclusion that the merger of AT&T and SBC would not adversely affect mass market competition. Mass market competition in BellSouth’s region has taken hold and is growing exponentially. Rapid advances in IP technology permit cable companies to offer voice services to their customers. By bundling telephone services with their dominant video and data services, cable companies have won approximately 5.5 million telephone customers, and their telephone subscribership is growing by more than 50 percent per year. Meanwhile, the number of wireless subscribers exceeds the number of wireline customers, wireless carriers have become leading long distance providers, many wireless customers are “cutting the cord” and giving up their landline phones altogether, and competitive local exchange carriers (“CLECs”) also continue to compete for mass market customers.

AT&T is not an active competitor in BellSouth’s region. Before its merger with SBC, AT&T Corp. made a unilateral business decision to dismantle its legacy mass market business, a process that has continued since the merger. In the last three years,
two-thirds of the legacy AT&T's mass market customers have found another provider. Thus, as the Commission found, “[r]egardless of what role AT&T played in the past, we conclude that AT&T’s actions to cease marketing and gradually withdraw from the mass market mean it is no longer a significant provider (or potential provider) of local service, long distance service, or bundled local and long distance service.”

The only arguable overlap between AT&T and BellSouth in the mass market involves the AT&T CallVantage VoIP service, and that overlap is not competitively significant. AT&T CallVantage is one of dozens of over-the-top VoIP services that can be provided interchangeably over DSL, cable modem or other broadband connections. The AT&T CallVantage service has less than one-tenth of the leading VoIP provider’s customer base nationwide and fewer than 14,000 customers in BellSouth’s region.

*Retail Enterprise Services.* There is likewise limited horizontal overlap in the provision of enterprise services. In BellSouth’s region, AT&T focuses mainly on serving the largest retail business customers. For its part, BellSouth lacks a national network and other assets required to provide integrated nationwide service to this market segment and has little organic ability to become a more serious competitor. In any event, as the Commission recently found in the *SBC/AT&T Merger Order*, the enterprise segment is populated by sophisticated customers and a wide and growing range of competitors that now includes national interexchange carriers, international carriers, CLECs, IP/data network providers, cable companies, VoIP providers, equipment vendors and systems

---

10 *SBC/AT&T Merger Order* ¶ 103.
integrators. There is no prospect that the merged company could dominate the fiercely competitive enterprise space.

**Wholesale Dedicated Access Services.** AT&T is a fringe supplier of wholesale dedicated access services in the BellSouth region. Its focus is almost entirely on serving retail commercial customers. The incidental wholesale local services that AT&T provides are declining and could readily be replaced by other facilities-based providers. AT&T has local fiber connections to more than ten buildings that are not already served by other CLECs in only two metropolitan areas in BellSouth’s ILEC territory. Those dense urban areas (Atlanta and Miami/Fort Lauderdale) are served by many other facilities-based CLECs, and in each of those MSAs, all but about 25 of AT&T’s fiber-connected buildings are competitively insignificant under criteria accepted in the SBC/AT&T and Verizon/MCI mergers.

**Internet.** In the *SEC/AT&T Merger Order*, the Commission concluded that the merger would not cause anticompetitive effects in the Internet backbone market. That analysis applies with at least equal force here. BellSouth is not a Tier 1 backbone competitor. Furthermore, as with the SBC/AT&T merger, the presence of numerous other Tier 1 providers, together with the ability of Internet service providers to switch backbone providers, dispel any competitive concerns.

**Size and Scope of Local Operations.** Finally, the combination of AT&T’s local telephone operations in the legacy SBC region, and BellSouth’s local operations in its region, raises no legitimate concerns. Regulatory conditions imposed on mergers in the immediate aftermath of the 1996 Act have proved unnecessary and costly and, in any
event, could not be justified in today's environment in which local markets are irreversibly open to competition and VoIP, cable, and wireless companies now provide vigorous intermodal competition across all services and market segments. Combining the non-overlapping local operations of AT&T and BellSouth will have straightforward results – efficiencies that better position the merged company to compete.

* * *

The full “Description of Transaction, Public Interest Showing and Related Demonstrations” may be found in the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System at http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/ecfs/ under WC Docket Number 06-74 and is incorporated herein by reference.