

Sandralyn Bailey

96-45

From: Anya Tillery [smalltownchick_at_021@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2006 4:37 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

RECEIVED

MAY - 5 2006

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Anya Tillery (smalltownchick_at_021@yahoo.com) writes:

I think that adding to the already out rageous telephone bills is just going to upset people more, it's rediculous, people get phones, tracfone's for example, because we are on limited or fixed income's, we can't afford to be paying \$50-\$100 a month on phone bills alone, some of us have children to take care of, and homes to pay for, WE CAN'T AFFORD IT! I completely disapprove of this new USF, it's very un-american, we are supposed to be able to afford things, it's not the citizens fault that the government is in debt, yet for some reason, we are the ones paying for it. If this bill passes, people are going to stop buying cell phones, and they are going to get mad. Some of us have these phones for emergencies, and if we can't afford the time for it, then we are, pardon my language, "Shit out of Luck"....I completely disapprove.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 68.12.255.140
Remote IP address: 68.12.255.140

No. of Copies rec'd 041
LIT ACODE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

04-115
RECEIVED

SandraLyn Bailey

From: OREMAC216@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2006 11:54 AM
To: Jonathan Adelstein
Subject: Universal Service Fund

MAY - 5 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.
Thank You, J. E. Marsh

No. of Copies rec'd 081
LIBRASCUE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

92-45

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Grandma and Grandpa [schekirke@cox.net]
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2006 12:22 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Universal service fund

RECEIVED

MAY - 5 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Chairman Martin,

I am very disturbed by your plan to change the way funds are collected for the Universal Services Fund. Your plan to change to a monthly flat fee would be a severe hardship to retirees, students and low income people. It is not fair to charge people who do not use the telephone often just as much as people or businesses that use the telephone a lot.

I do not see a single good reason to change the way the funds are collected now.

I will contact my representatives in Washington about your plan and urge them to oppose it by what ever means possible.

Daniel S. Schekirke

No. of Copies rec'd 081
List ABOVE

Sandralyn Bailey

96-45-

From: William T.Hall [billhall@wizards.net]
Sent: Saturday, April 08, 2006 5:19 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

RECEIVED

MAY - 5 2006

William T.Hall (billhall@wizards.net) writes:

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Dear Chairman Martin,

If I understand correctly, you are considering a change to a monthly flat-fee for USF money collection. I have a dial-up connection for internet useage. My ISP is within my state of Oregon but is 180 miles away from me. I spend 20 plus hours per month using internet connection. Would your proposal increase my USF fees? Thankyou for your response.
Sincerely, William Hall

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 64.28.54.78
Remote IP address: 64.28.54.78

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

No. of Copies rec'd 091
DATE

016-45

Sandra Lyn Bailey

From: Rockwell Richute [rrichute@nycap.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2006 8:19 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

RECEIVED

MAY - 5 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

Rockwell Richute (rrichute@nycap.rr.com) writes:

Please do not increase the Universal Service Fund fee. I'm a New Yorker and already pay way too much in taxes and fees as it is.

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 72.226.81.217
Remote IP address: 72.226.81.217

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

No. of Copies rec'd 041
US/ABODE

RECEIVED

MAY - 5 2006

96-45

SandraLyn Bailey

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

From: eldriverd@aol.com
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2006 12:36 PM
To: mpowell@fcc.gov; Kathleen Abernathy; Michael Copps; KJMWEB; ECFS
Cc: eldriverd@aol.com; senator@inouye.senate.gov; john_kerry@kerry.senate.gov;
 senator@breaux.senate.gov; senator@rockefeller.senate.gov; senator@dorgan.senate.gov;
 senator@boxer.senate.gov; senator_carnahan@carnahan.senate.gov;
 conrad_burns@burns.senate.gov; senatorlott@lott.senate.gov;
 senator@hutchison.senate.gov; olympia@snowe.senate.gov; oregon@gsmith.senate.gov;
 tellupton@mail.house.gov; christopher.cox@mail.house.gov; ask.heather@mail.house.gov;
 vito.fossella@mail.house.gov; blunt@mail.house.gov; tom.davis@mail.house.gov;
 ehrlich@mail.house.gov; talk2lee@mail.house.gov; ninthnet@mail.house.gov
Subject: Universal Service Fee Complaint

<PROCEEDING>96-45
 <DATE>04/17/06
 <NAME>Doreen Crocker
 <ADDRESS1>P.O. Box 116
 <ADDRESS2>
 <CITY>Winthrop
 <STATE>ME
 <ZIP>04364
 <LAW-FIRM>n/a
 <ATTORNEY>n/a
 <FILE-NUMBER>n/a
 <DOCUMENT-TYPE>CO
 <PHONE-NUMBER>207-377-3597
 <DESCRIPTION>Universal Service Fund Complaint <CONTACT-EMAIL>eldriverd@aol.com <TEXT>
 Federal Communications Commission
 445 12th Street, SW
 Room 8B201
 Washington, D.C. 20554
 (202) 418-1000 phone
 Chairman Michael K. Powell
 Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
 Commissioner Michael J. Copps
 Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Reference: FCC Docket Nos 96-45, 98-171, 90-571, 92-237, 99-200, 95-116, 98-170 and NSD File No. L-00-72.

Dear FCC:

I am writing to complain about the proposed changes to the Universal Service Fund and requesting that the FCC investigate this matter further before changing the current policy. Your proposed \$1.00 per month charge for all wireless phones will directly impact my ability to retain my wireless service.

I do not think it is fair to charge EVERYBODY \$1.00 dollar regardless of how they use their wireless phone, especially for a low-volume user that relies on wireless service for safety and security, not interstate calls. The current policy is fair, based on interstate usage, and should be left alone. Please do not penalize us. Keep this fair.

Some of us only use our phones in case of emergencies.

Please do not let them raise the cost anymore.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter. Please provide a written response indicating the status/resolution of this matter.

Very truly yours,

Doreen Crocker

No. of Copies rec'd 091
LWA:BCDE

P.O. Box 116

CC: FCC Subcommittee Members