

RECEIVED

MAY 15 2006

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20541

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/16/2006 11:31:25 AM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

DATE: April 16, 2006 11:10 AM  
NAME: eric melton  
ADDR1: 2432 seahorse st.  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: portage  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46368-6509  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: melton5@comcast.net  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

eric melton  
2432 seahorse st.  
portage, Indiana 46368  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 092  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/15/2006 1:31:42 PM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

RECEIVED  
MAY 15 2006  
Federal Communications Commission

DATE: April 15, 2006 1:13 PM  
NAME: robert rohe  
ADDR1: 410 westchester lane  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: valparaiso  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46385-8000  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: b.rohe@verizon.net  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

robert rohe  
410 westchester lane  
valparaiso, Indiana 46385-8000  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 092  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/14/2006 9:31:33 AM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

RECEIVED  
APR 15 2006  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

DATE: April 14, 2006 09:16 AM  
NAME: L Carter  
ADDR1: 452 Brookshire Dr  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: Valparaiso  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46385-1727  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: niteshirtllr@yahoo.com  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

L Carter  
452 Brookshire Dr  
Valparaiso, Indiana 46385  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 0 + 2  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/17/2006 3:02:29 PM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

APR 17 2006  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

DATE: April 17, 2006 2:37 PM  
NAME: Russell Minnich  
ADDR1: 44 Deerpath Rd  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: Merrillville  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46410-4707  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: RMin44@aol.com  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Russell Minnich  
44 Deerpath Rd  
Merrillville, Indiana 46410  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 04  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/17/2006 11:01:41 PM  
To: IN011MA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

RECEIVED  
MAY 15 2006  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20541

DATE: April 17, 2006 10:43 PM  
NAME: beverly springsteen  
ADDR1: 1625 graham  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: chesterton  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46304-1622  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: dbsprings@yahoo.com  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

beverly springsteen  
1625 graham  
chesterton, Indiana 46304  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 042  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/17/2006 11:31:53 PM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

APR 18 2006  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION  
UNIVERSAL SERVICE FUND

DATE: April 17, 2006 11:12 PM  
NAME: j anderko  
ADDR1: 916 west elm pl  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: griffith  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46319-2682  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: jandrko@sbcglobal.net  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

j anderko  
916 west elm pl  
griffith, Indiana 46319  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 0 + 2  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/18/2006 10:32:21 PM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

Handwritten notes and stamps in the top right corner, including a date stamp "April 18, 2006" and some illegible text.

DATE: April 18, 2006 10:22 PM  
NAME: Linda Andres  
ADDR1: 14347 Dragus Dr.  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: Cedar Lake  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46303-9681  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: andres@jorsm.com  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Linda Andres  
14347 Dragus Dr.  
Cedar Lake, Indiana 46303-9681  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 0+2  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/17/2006 11:01:41 PM  
To: INO1IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

RECEIVED  
MAY 15 2006  
Federal Communications Commission

DATE: April 17, 2006 10:44 PM  
NAME: Sandra Burke  
ADDR1: 8963 W 855 N  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: Earl Park  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 47942-8629  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: burke\_47942@yahoo.com  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Sandra Burke  
8963 W 855 N  
Earl Park, Indiana 47942-8629  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 042  
List ABCDE

RECEIVED  
MAY 15 2006  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/18/2006 7:32:21 PM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

DATE: April 18, 2006 7:26 PM  
NAME: MARY KAY RZEPKA  
ADDR1: 5084 INDEPENDENCE AVE  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: PORTAGE  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46368-2769  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: SEATACEA@HOTMAIL.COM  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

MARY KAY RZEPKA  
5084 INDEPENDENCE AVE  
PORTAGE, Indiana 46368  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 070  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/18/2006 11:01:38 AM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

DATE: April 18, 2006 10:41 AM  
NAME: Claus Kruse  
ADDR1: 1507 Lafayette Street  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: Valparaiso  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46383-3423  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: cakruse@comcast.net  
msg:

APR 18 2006  
10:41 AM  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Claus Kruse  
1507 Lafayette Street  
Valparaiso, Indiana 46383-3423  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 092  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/6/2006 12:32:42 PM  
To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

DATE: April 6, 2006 12:30 AM  
NAME: Dawn Baggech  
ADDR1: 320 Woodland CT.  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: Lowell  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46356-2349  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: castcars@aol.com  
msg:

APR 15 2006  
RECEIVED  
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Dawn Baggech  
320 Woodland CT.  
Lowell, Indiana 46356  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 0 + 2  
List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
 Date: 4/6/2006 11:02:59 AM  
 To: IN01IMA@mail.house.gov  
 Subject: WriteRep Responses

DATE: April 6, 2006 10:39 AM  
 NAME: Philip Meuzelaar  
 ADDR1: 1139 Stommel Place  
 ADDR2:  
 ADDR3:  
 CITY: Dyer  
 STATE: Indiana  
 ZIP: 46311-1658  
 PHONE: 219.865.8066  
 EMAIL: pmeuzelaar@netzero.net

msg:

I am writing about Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45.

I am confined to a wheelchair and must rely on a ventilator for breathing. Because of these health issues, I am on a fixed income. However, I do enjoy getting out of the house and riding in my wheelchair around the neighborhood. I rely on an inexpensive "pay-for-what-you-use" cell phone. Should I experience an emergency while riding around the neighborhood, I use this inexpensive "pay-for-what-you-use" cell phone to call either my wife or the local police.

Therefore, as someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,  
 Philip Meuzelaar

No. of Copies rec'd 012  
 List ABCDE

From: "Write your representative" <writerep@heoc-www6.house.gov>  
Date: 4/7/2006 10:01:49 AM  
To: IN011MA@mail.house.gov  
Subject: WriteRep Responses

RECEIVED  
MAY 15 2006  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

DATE: April 7, 2006 09:43 AM  
NAME: James Hilbrich  
ADDR1: 9536 Greenwood Avenue  
ADDR2:  
ADDR3:  
CITY: Munster  
STATE: Indiana  
ZIP: 46321-3715  
PHONE:  
EMAIL: brichfire@sbcglobal.net  
msg:

Representative Pete Visclosky  
U.S. House of Representatives  
2256 Rayburn House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Dear Representative Visclosky,

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

James Hilbrich  
9536 Greenwood Avenue  
Munster, Indiana 46321-3715  
cc:  
FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 0+2  
List ABCDE