

Paul O. Gagnier
Eliot J. Greenwald

Our File No.: 4889010001

May 17, 2006

Bingham McCutchen LLP
Suite 300
3000 K Street NW
Washington, DC
20007-5116

202.424.7500
202.424.7647 fax

bingham.com

Boston
Hartford
London
Los Angeles
New York
Orange County
San Francisco
Silicon Valley
Tokyo
Walnut Creek
Washington

Via Electronic Filing and E-Mail

Monica Desai
Chief, Consumer & Government Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington DC 20554

**Re: National Exchange Carrier Association (NECA) Submits the
Payment Formula and Fund Size Estimates for Interstate
Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS) Fund for the July
2006 Through June 2007 Fund Year
CG Docket No. 03-123**

Dear Ms. Desai:

On April 28, 2006, Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc. ("TDI"), through its undersigned counsel, National Association of the Deaf ("NAD"), Deaf and Hard of Hearing Consumer Advocacy Network ("DHHCAN") and California Coalition of Agencies Serving the Deaf and Hard of Hearing ("CCASDHH") (collectively, the "Consumer Groups") filed a letter in this docket to express their concerns regarding what appears to be a failure to factor in the access and functional equivalence requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") in determining the reimbursement rate for Video Relay Service ("VRS") and other Telecommunications Relay Services ("TRS"). The Consumer Groups are hereby attaching the April 28 letter and submitting it for consideration as comments filed in response to the Commission's May 2, 2006 and May 12, 2006 Public Notices, DA 06-970 and DA 06-1031, seeking comment on the TRS compensation rates proposed by the National Exchange Carrier Association ("NECA").

Very truly yours,



Paul O. Gagnier
Eliot J. Greenwald

Counsel to Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc.

Via Electronic Filing and E-Mail

Monica Desai
May 17, 2006
Page 2

Claude L. Stout
Executive Director
Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc.
8630 Fenton Street, Suite 604
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Bingham McCutchen LLP
bingham.com

Nancy J. Bloch
Chief Executive Officer
National Association of the Deaf
814 Thayer Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-4500

Cheryl Heppner
Vice Chair
Deaf and Hard of Hearing
Consumer Advocacy Network
3951 Pender Drive, Suite 130
Fairfax, VA 22030

Edward Kelly
Chair
California Coalition of Agencies Serving the
Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc.
OC DEAF
6022 Cerritos Avenue
Cyprus, CA 90630

enclosure

Paul O. Gagnier
Eliot J. Greenwald

Our File No.: 4889010001

April 28, 2006

Bingham McCutchen LLP
Suite 300
3000 K Street NW
Washington, DC
20007-5116

202.424.7500
202.424.7647 fax

bingham.com

Via Electronic Filing and E-Mail

Monica Desai
Chief, Consumer & Government Affairs Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington DC 20554

**Re: Video Relay Service Compensation Rates
CG Docket No. 03-123**

Dear Ms. Desai:

Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc. ("TDI"), through its undersigned counsel, National Association of the Deaf ("NAD"), Deaf and Hard of Hearing Consumer Advocacy Network ("DHHCAN") and California Coalition of Agencies Serving the Deaf and Hard of Hearing ("CCASDHH") (collectively, the "Consumer Groups") are filing this letter to express their concerns regarding what appears to be a failure to factor in the access and functional equivalence requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), PL 101-336, July 26, 1990, codified at 47 U.S.C. § 225, in determining the reimbursement rate for Video Relay Service (VRS) and other Telecommunications Relay Services (TRS). Those requirements were not appropriately addressed during discussion of the reimbursement rates at the recent National Exchange Carrier Association ("NECA") TRS council meeting.

The main purpose of the ADA was to facilitate the integration of people with disabilities into the mainstream of society so that no person would be left behind. The ADA mandates the provision of all TRS, including the newer technologies not available at the time when the ADA was adopted, such as VRS. Poor quality VRS would thus not comply with the functional equivalency requirements of the ADA.

While we are closer than in years past, we are still a long way from achieving functional equivalence. Many deaf or hard of hearing individuals do not yet have access to VRS, frequently because they do not know about the service, or if they know about the service, they do not know how to obtain the service. Effective marketing and outreach programs are essential to reach those people who are left out. For those who do have access to VRS, long waits, limited or erratic technology access, and low quality of sign language interpreters shows that functional equivalence has not yet been achieved.

Boston
Hartford
London
Los Angeles
New York
Orange County
San Francisco
Silicon Valley
Tokyo
Walnut Creek
Washington

Via Electronic Filing and E-Mail

Monica Desai
April 28, 2006
Page 2

Bingham McCutchen LLP
bingham.com

To ensure that deaf and hard of hearing individuals have functionally equivalent access to relay services, we ask the Commission to ensure that the compensation rates for TRS are at a level that would satisfy the FCC's obligations under the ADA, including true functional equivalence in services and strong outreach to those deaf and hard of hearing individuals who do not yet have access to all forms of TRS. Factors in calculating the appropriate compensation rates for relay services in order to satisfy the functional equivalence access requirement would include, for example, (1) ensuring interoperability and other technology developments, (2) satisfying current (and then increasing) speed of answer requirements, (3) recruiting and training interpreters, and (4) providing full and equivalent emergency/911 access.

Lastly, it has come to the attention of the Consumer Groups that the Commission may not permit NECA to allow costs for Certified Deaf Interpreters ("CDIs") to be recovered. CDIs work with interpreters who are hearing to enable comprehension of certain people who are deaf where it is difficult to understand their signing. This may include people who use American Sign Language in an unconventional way or have minimal or limited communication skills. Such people must not be left out of access to TRS, and if CDIs are essential to their having quality VRS conversations, then the ADA mandates that CDIs be used and that the use of the CDIs be compensated.

Very truly yours,

/s/

Paul O. Gagnier
Eliot J. Greenwald
Counsel to Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc.

Claude L. Stout
Executive Director
Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc.
8630 Fenton Street, Suite 604
Silver Spring, MD 20910

Nancy J. Bloch
Chief Executive Officer
National Association of the Deaf
814 Thayer Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-4500

Via Electronic Filing and E-Mail

Monica Desai
April 28, 2006
Page 3

Cheryl Heppner
Vice Chair
Deaf and Hard of Hearing
Consumer Advocacy Network
3951 Pender Drive, Suite 130
Fairfax, VA 22030

Bingham McCutchen LLP
bingham.com

Edward Kelly
Chair
California Coalition of Agencies Serving the
Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc.
OC DEAF
6022 Cerritos Avenue
Cyprus, CA 90630

cc: Jay Keithley
Thomas E. Chandler
Andrew Mulitz