

To the Commission:

This supplements my prior comments and reply to comments, and specifically replies to the comments of Mitchell Lazarus filed on behalf of the Fixed Wireless Communications Coalition. While, in general, I remain opposed to the waiver application, I like the suggested restrictions Mr. Lazarus recommends regarding synchronization, duty cycle, etc. Furthermore, I agree with his technical analysis on the impact of the proposed waiver to fixed service and Part 101 station operation. In toto, I could favor a grant of the waiver on the conditions stated by Mr. Lazarus; however, I would add two additional restrictions: that the devices operated under a waiver could not operate within a one hundred kilometer radius of any National Radio Astronomy Observatory receiver, and, the lower frequency limit be increased to 5975 MHz, with 5925-5975 MHz being a guard band. With these additional restrictions and with the conditions Mr. Lazarus recommends imposing, I could live with a Commission grant of the waiver application. Without the aforementioned restrictions and conditions, I remain opposed as previously stated.

Very truly yours,

/s./ James Edwin Whedbee, M.Ed.

FCC Licensee

4415 NE 55th Street

Kansas City, MO 64119-2848

COMMENTER - 13 JUNE 2006