

**SandraLyn Bailey**

05-171

**From:** Kevin Foley [kfoley@kefmedia.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 31, 2006 5:05 PM  
**To:** KJMWEB  
**Subject:** Comments to the Chairman

RECEIVED  
JUN 7 2006  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Kevin Foley (kfoley@kefmedia.com) writes:

Attention Commissioner Martin:

Las Vegas Review-Journal  
May 30, 2006

EDITORIAL : FCC should butt out

In the early days of television, some kids were so reluctant to miss a Saturday morning episode of "The Lone Ranger" or "The Cisco Kid" that they'd plopp themselves in front of the TV with their bowl of Cheerios while the test pattern was still on. Before the good stuff got started -- sometime around 7 in the morning -- the local affiliates would often fill half an hour with a canned public-relations documentary supplied by the U.S. Army or some corporate public relations department, titled "The Big Picture" or "Industry on Parade." Whether this actually spurred Army recruitment or drove any of those impressionable youths to seek careers in the ball bearing trade remains unknown. It's also unlikely the stations went to much trouble to warn their viewers that this stuff was "propaganda," not properly vetted by their news departments. Nor -- so far as is known -- did any government busybody much care. But nearly 50 years have passed. Today's government busybodies have grown much busier. The Federal Communications Commission announced last week it's investigating allegations that dozens of TV stations have aired corporate advertisements masquerading as news stories. Federal regulations, we're now informed, provide for a fine of up to \$32,500 each time a station airs a "video news release" without disclosing the corporate backer. Seems the Center for Media and Democracy, a self-appointed watchdog group headquartered in Wisconsin conducted a 10-month study of the airing of "video news releases" dressed up by corporate PR departments to look like regular news stories. The group found 98 such "video releases" aired on news programs on stations in 30 states. The group then asked FCC Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein to crack down. Yes, public relations has grown more sophisticated over the decades. And yes, stations should do a better job of disclosing the sources of what they broadcast. But once a government agency starts inserting itself into the editorial process -- waving the threat of a \$32,000 fine for each "violation" -- how long will it be before the script of every broadcast is submitted to a government censor for approval ... "just to be on the safe side"? Thanks to cable TV and the Internet, Americans now have more sources of news than ever before. If viewers believe a news source is slanting its coverage or purposely leaving out part of the story, they can and do flee in droves to other sources. The government has no role here. It's called "the free market." The FCC -- if we need the agency any more, at all -- should limit itself to making sure stations broadcast on their assigned frequencies, and give up playing Inspector Clouseau in the newsroom. This is the gang, after all, that recently required the addition of fine print to a TV laxative ad, assuring viewers that the stuff was not really used to keep the geysers of Yellowstone Park on a regular schedule. Oh, please.

-----  
Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 72.16.180.132  
Remote IP address: 72.16.180.132

No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List ABCDE

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Anthony Patrick Goode [anthonyppgoode@qwest.net]  
Sent: Sunday, May 28, 2006 5:03 PM  
To: KJMWEB  
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

RECEIVED  
JUN 7 2006  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Anthony Patrick Goode (anthonyppgoode@qwest.net) writes:

I support John McCain's net neutrality where the Internet is open except for VOIP for 911 calls technologies should not be neutral #1 priority Bill law. Also their Video technologies whether what they use now and in the future should have priority like VOIP (being the #1 priority protocol) on their FiOS TV Microsoft's IPTV technology (being the #2 priority protocol) for TV video/audio and VOD etc. should not be neutral because TV watchers like myself hate packet data loss in the hand shake parity protocol process when we watch anything. Their backbone technologies with two headends is robust and better than what today's cables uses and will compete by doing the same and to use a new IP technology in the future I bet sooner than later to keep up with this new technologies compete into the playing field in the Video/TV/VOIP sector. I hope this does not become an issue like VHS and BETA video technologies cost less in past history. I think should be done to protect jobs like outsourcing deals laws not to outsource jobs in other states and cities or especially other countries with FED and State tax breaks initiates. Like we use today in cities and states tax breaks for companies who do business in states and cities which gives them initiates to do so and no tax breaks if not in a fair game for all. This will make a fair playing deal to all Americans so this will not end up other corporations or companies like steel and automobiles sectors in history that hurts Americans and is unpatriotic to do so. If we had a fair patriotic government, microeconomic economy (where if companies do not grow in cash flows, profits, subscriptions, dividends etc. they cut jobs and when no competition in that sector where do they go for jobs?) with companies and corporations and the like we would not need Unions today as if there was a fair government that look out for their people like the Greeks did in history where direct democracy came from as well as we got it also from Rome and the voting system from the Roman Catholic Chur! ch! . Is this not true from history? This should make deals with in all states Verizon and the like do business with in states it offers this to and do not have jobs in cheaper labor offerings that does not offer these services in. Like those outsourcing jobs companies who make a profit on that. They should pay out the same like cable companies in franchise fees and offer all government and local groups TV channels for free by law uphold by cable companies. FTTH fiber to the home (cable companies call this "ground box") to CAD 5 cabling which gives it 100 Mbps or more at 100Mhz bandwidth from ground box to all inside connections in the house is the best technology out there with no unwanted signal noise on line (cable companies call this ingress). FTTH fiber to the home should be used by all telecos like QWEST, AT&T, etc. and cable companies should follow not FTTC where there will be by theory, a problem in phone wires with less bandwidth in the cross section area phone wire and as well as picking up other unwanted frequencies noise problems (interfering signals like TV broadcast, radio, CB, HAM, short wave, etc.). Phone wires are old and outdated anyways more so than cable companies Cable companies cry "wolf" (protectism) at this great progress like digital and I cry to them "chicken"! They all should follow suit or be left behind because innovation will prevail as other visionary innovation will prevail in future open competition playing field that evens out the playing field. Their internet like others have to have open net neutrality and no third party deals with any other company to make profit deals to give their bandwidth priority deals with a monopoly deals they might do to make internet portals like Google and Yahoo to pay priority bandwidths special priorities fees on their fiber optic network. I am a progressive and support Verizon's technology fiber to the house that I hope AT&T will follow as well as all Cable companies so that in the future we will have Internet2 IPv4 etc. new technologies hope! f! ully in my life time and this is my vision and I hope the government can see that hope that we will be #1 in the future I hope sooner than later, in the world as the fastest Internet with the best new technologies out there. This fiber optics with internet2 will have no latency well ok very low latency, which is time delay between source and receiver. This very low near none is way lower than satellite and more so than cable's Fiber/Coaxial or any other technology out there today. Digital TV (DTV) has sharper pictures and clearer sounds with no ghosting and HDTV with surround 5.1 surround sound is even better. Cable has data loss (lost packets) with digital TV signals over their signals in their networks that is why I want you to let those bits, bites, 0

packets of digital data have priority over Video/Audio and VIOP in their FIOS technologies but the internet should be net neutral as well as any third party that they want to make deals with have no priority profit deals in what they offer directly with their owned Verizon's FIOS Video/Audio and VIOP that they solely own like cable companies. I support Verizon in their quest to make this happen as fast as possible as it is in our best interest for the whole country. As others will follow as supporting and new technologies we have now or in the future and that is what makes us America, that is what makes us #1 in a fair playing field of innovation which creates jobs and new technologies with lower prices for consumers and better customer service and may cause another bubble in the economy which what makes us a great country. It is our interest to do so. It is good for the consumer where prices will go down for the poor, disable, and senior citizens (already go through Medicare medication costs and we have to fix this now but in the future because we all end up there someday). Other countries respect their elders better than we do and I would like to see cost breaks for them as well as the disable. It is their constitutional right to happiness as what are they!

g!

going to do in their spare time have no TV because of high costs. Today's telephone companies changed with competition changed with cable companies VOIP and cell phones, so monopoly does not apply any more and the rules are outdated as well as some of the technologies they use today and just like gas lights disappeared with Edison's light bulb so should some of these technologies. It fights monopoly when there are different companies in a given sector and makes our country great as we remember in history what broke up all the telephone companies when they over charged with no competition. It is now not a monopoly the way I see it in today's world economies (Market) as yesterdays technologies are outdated like the cables networks with Fiber/Coaxial and analog signals with ingress and one headend. Inform, Senators Barbara Boxer, Ted Stevens and Daniel Inouye, I am. Verizon supports Farm Aid, Environment, and has set aside \$25 Million aside for workers' kids for scholarships \$5,000 each now for more than 1,550 kids in 2006 and this budget is for 2007. I know this is PR but I love them for it as all corporations should help American kids if the government wants to cut our kids (tax cuts to rich on expense budget cuts to our kids future) who going to help them. I do know Time Warner's Ted Turner founded United Nations Foundation who is part of ONE and love him for it. Sorry to see him leave Time Warner, as we all make mistakes and hope the best for him in life. I loved TCM and other innovation TV channels he was a part of and will be missed and a loss to this industry. Like Open Source did open net neutrality will do the same. "Read my lips no more new taxes on Internet" Had to put that in, do not tax Internet in anyway to raise our costs with taxes. I support the future faster group they sound like me and I love them for it. I just signed their petition today I bet my local government seen while writing this putting together all my research on these facts as I did work for the cable company so I know the ins! id! er's view too. So all your special interests will be know to me as I know the truth and the truth will set us free for we the people. With companies and corporations and the like invest in new technologies with R&D and want as much profit they can squeeze out of it and only spend and invest in their new technologies only when competition comes into play and this is how domestic (micro) and world works (micro). If something is not right than write me back and I debate everything I written in here I believe to be true. I will turn the two-sided coin on its side and debate this issue unbiased in my special interest is in the best interest of this country and its people. Where is your special interest lie? The democrats for cable companies and the republicans for Telecos (easy to see that) that is why I support John McCain bipartisanship for letting in power lines and wireless services into the debate and other technologies that may be coming out in the future with the FCC selling future bandwidth in the auction they having now. We can pay down our debt with that and have our emergency services with their own bandwidth set aside in the US spectrum for reliable communications when we change all TV analog broadcast signals over the air waves to digital to free up their much needed uninterrupted shared public communications. I know satellite is sending up more satellites in space to compete directly with cable companies and now telephone companies with offering more HD channels. With cable with their VOD they had to come up with more channels to select from since they do not have switching video technologies like cable companies new technology. You can see how competition in good for the economy because in makes companies invest more which in turn creates jobs, which in turn grows in innervations, which grows the economy. We do not need for what happen 9-11 again. And again I support net neutrality for the internet but not for their IPTV and VOIP protocols because they need that to be reliable and be the best ! ma! king us #1 in all these technologies and ready for Internet2.

Sources of new technologies web sites: <http://www.internet2.edu/>  
<http://www.bigbandnet.com/> <http://www.entone.com/> <http://www.2wire.com/>

Anthony Patrick Goode

---

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1  
Remote host: 67.1.150.231  
Remote IP address: 67.1.150.231