



June 14, 2006

Tina M. Pidgeon
(202) 457-8812
tpidgeon@gci.com

EX PARTE – VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington D.C. 20554

***Re: WC Docket No. 96-45
Oral Ex Parte Presentation***

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 14, 2006, Rick Hitz and the undersigned of General Communication, Inc. (GCI), met separately with Michelle Carey, Senior Legal Advisor to Chairman Martin; Scott Bergmann, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Adelstein; Dana Shaffer, Legal Advisor to Commissioner McDowell; Scott Deutchman, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Copps; Ian Dillner, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Tate, and Tom Navin, Bureau Chief, Wireline Competition Bureau in connection with the above-captioned proceeding. In that meeting, GCI described that it provides circuit-switched interstate telecommunications services over both copper and cable plant, without any differentiation in treatment by method of delivery. Accordingly, GCI contributes to USF based on the actual revenues from these services, allocating revenues from bundled offerings by posted rates and on stand-alone interstate services by direct assignment of actual revenues for the service. GCI stated that its provision of services over cable plant would not be appropriately encompassed within a VoIP-specific ruling, and in any event, a safe harbor provision or alternative methodology should not supplant any use of actual revenues.

In accordance with the Commission's rules, a copy of this letter is being filed in the above-referenced docket.

Sincerely,

/s/
Tina M. Pidgeon
Vice President, Federal Regulatory Affairs