

I am writing to oppose any relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership.

Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system, and we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas, a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive.

Our democracy requires the free flow of information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Any public policy seeking to protect diversity in the media must recognize the simple fact that ownership matters.

A handful of huge companies already control nearly all of the media in America. Such concentration destroys local news, sidelines dissenting views, and stifles competition.

When we allow one company to own everything, we lose the diversity of views that is the lifeblood of our democracy.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television.

Permitting cross-ownership of newspapers and broadcast stations, or allowing further concentration in local television markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

When the FCC attempted to weaken and remove media ownership limits in 2003, millions of Americans rose up in protest. Congress and the courts ultimately intervened to turn back that misguided regulatory process.

Now that these same rules are being reconsidered, the FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few.

A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy.