

July 3, 2006

Marlene Dortch
Secretary, Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554



Re: Notice of *Ex Parte* Communication
ET Docket Nos. 05-247, 04-151
WT Docket Nos. 05-211, 06-49

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On June 29, 2006, Harold Feld and Jessica J. Gonzalez of Media Access Project (“MAP”) met with Fred Campbell, Chairman Kevin J. Martin’s Wireless Advisor, and Jennifer Holtz, intern.

Mr. Feld reiterated the arguments on the Continental *Petition*, Docket Number 05-247, made in previous filings. Specifically, grant of Continental’s *Petition* is necessary to establish access for competing broadband providers. If the Commission rejects the *Petition*, the restrictions should be narrowly limited to rest upon public safety needs.

Mr. Feld briefly restated that the 3650-3700 MHz *Petition for Reconsideration*, Docket Number 04-151, should be rejected expeditiously, especially since there are no new technological arguments that support it.

Mr. Feld also discussed the designated entity credit, Docket Number 05-211. He voiced concern that allowing wireline incumbents to participate in auctions could have negative consequences on competition in related markets.

Finally, Mr. Feld commented on the 900 MHz Part 90 Rules, Docket Number 06-49. Mr. Feld reiterated that NAF, et. al. is vehemently opposed to the Commission’s proposed rule-making due to concern for increased interference with unlicensed devices. Reiterating points from NAF, *et al.*’s comments, Mr. Feld observed that the general failure of M-LMS licensees to meet their build out requirements, the licensees apparently bid for their licenses in pure speculation that the Commission would subsequently change the rules, and that allowing licensees greater leeway would make it difficult for unlicensed devices to determine where their interference is coming from.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/

Harold Feld

CC: Fred Campbell
Jennifer Holtz