

SandraLyn Bailey

From: ANDRENE MURCHISON [ANDRENE0@HOTMAIL.COM]
Sent: Wednesday, June 07, 2006 7:18 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Comments to the Chairman

06-174
RECEIVED

JUL 7 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

ANDRENE MURCHISON (ANDRENE0@HOTMAIL.COM) writes:

PLEASE BLOCK THE AT&T MERGER IT LIMITS COMPETITION THAT HAS BEEN GREAT FOR CONSUMERS. BY ALLOWING THIS YOU ARE PUTTING US AT RISK OF HIGHER RATES AND LIMITED CHOICES FOR SERVICES

Server protocol: HTTP/1.1
Remote host: 71.227.186.191
Remote IP address: 71.227.186.191

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Sandralyn Bailey

06-74

RECEIVED

JUL 11 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

From: Joanne Travis [Joanne.Travis@mto.com]
Sent: Monday, June 12, 2006 3:11 PM
To: ASKDOJ@usdoj.gov; KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; Deborah Tate
Subject: Stop the AT&T Merger

Dear FCC Commissioners and Department of Justice ,
You must stop the merger of AT&T and BellSouth. This deal would leave consumers with fewer choices, higher prices and less control over communications.

AT&T and BellSouth have a poor track record serving the public. They have stifled competition, eliminating choices in the marketplace. They have not bridged the digital divide, leaving the United States far behind its global competitors. They have failed to protect network freedoms that keep the Internet an open road for all.

We cannot allow the future of communications to be controlled by companies that demonstrate little regard for the public interest.

Please oppose this merger.

Ms. Joanne Travis
Los Angeles, CA USA
Joanne.Travis@mto.com

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

06-14

RECEIVED

JUL 17 2006

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Michael Klein [mjklein@ameritech.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 11, 2006 11:09 PM
To: dtaylor@ataweb
Subject: oppose the ATT - Bell South merger

This is to request that you oppose the ATT- Bell South merger. This will be a further diminution of choice. When I can choose between Verizon, Bell South or ATT for one or all of my various phone services, then there will truly be choice. I am currently a customer of ATT-SBC. I used to have an independent LD carrier. They have been merged. I currently have DISH satellite television service. ATT-SBC also have DISH but they are putting pressure to purchase DISH through them or their pricing structure could become less favorable to me for DSL. Who can I go to as an alternative if this happens? The alternative phone companies are a joke. They are almost shells unable to operate independently. True competition will be when these behemoth companies compete nationally head to head, not in their protected regions. Three or more of these large telcos would be true competition. Give us this, not another merger and further consolidation. Is ATT-SBC on its way back to being "the phone company", MA Bell?

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE