

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Michael Klein [mjklein@ameritech.net]
Sent: Sunday, June 11, 2006 11:08 PM
To: Michael Copps
Subject: oppose the ATT - Bell South merger

REC'D
JUL 11 2006
Forwarded from
C... ..

This is to request that you oppose the ATT- Bell South merger. This will be a further diminution of choice. When I can choose between Verizon, Bell South or ATT for one or all of my various phone services, then there will truly be choice. I am currently a customer of ATT-SBC. I used to have an independent LD carrier. They have been merged. I currently have DISH satellite television service. ATT-SBC also have DISH but they are putting pressure to purchase DISH through them or their pricing structure could become less favorable to me for DSL. Who can I go to as an alternative if this happens? The alternative phone companies are a joke. They are almost shells unable to operate independently. True competition will be when these behemoth companies compete nationally head to head, not in their protected regions. Three or more of these large telcos would be true competition. Give us this, not another merger and further consolidation. Is ATT-SBC on its way back to being "the phone company", MA Bell?

... .. 0
... ..

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Nicole Stevens [cyber_chic26@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 21, 2006 12:48 PM
To: KJMWEB; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; dtayloratateweb; Robert McDowell
Subject: Internet Phone Fees

RECEIVED
JUL 11 2006
Federal Communications Commission

Hello,

I want to voice my problem with the new rules that make internet phones pay the fees. I have vonage and I already pay the fees through my cable provider. You are double charging me. It is unfair.

-Nicole Stevens

Yahoo! Sports Fantasy Football '06 - Go with the leader. Start your league today!

No. of Copies to/d _____ 0 _____
List ABCDE