

Direct Phone: (202) 373-6117  
Direct Fax: (202) 373-6001  
tamar.finn@bingham.com  
Our File No.: 4153280006

August 31, 2006

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20554

Bingham McCutchen LLP  
2020 K Street NW  
Washington, DC  
20006-1806

202.373.6000  
202.373.6001 fax

[bingham.com](http://bingham.com)

Boston  
Hartford  
London  
Los Angeles  
New York  
Orange County  
San Francisco  
Silicon Valley  
Tokyo  
Walnut Creek  
Washington

**Re: Ex Parte Notice – CC Docket No. 01-92**

Dear Secretary Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, this will provide notice that on August 30, 2006, John Sumpter, Vice President Regulatory of Pac-West Telecomm, Inc. ("Pac-West") and the undersigned met with Ian Dillner, Legal Advisor to Commissioner Deborah Taylor Tate, concerning Pac-West's opposition to the Missoula Intercarrier Compensation Reform Plan ("Missoula Plan").

Pac-West's discussions on the Missoula Plan were consistent with the points outlined in the attached Joint Press Release statement, a copy of which was provided to Mr. Dillner.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Tamar E. Finn  
Richard M. Rindler  
Tamar E. Finn

Enclosure

cc: Ian Dillner, Legal Advisor

**REPRESENTATIVES FROM MANY SECTORS OF THE  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY JOIN WITH NATIONAL CONSUMER  
GROUP TO OPPOSE THE MISSOULA GROUP INTERCARRIER  
COMPENSATION FILING**

This joint statement responds to today's filing with the Federal Communications Commission by advocates of the "Missoula Plan" seeking changes to the intercarrier compensation and universal service systems. The parties listed below have participated in or followed the efforts of the NARUC Intercarrier Compensation Task Force, and appreciate the valuable work of the state commissions that volunteered resources to this difficult task. NARUC has not taken a position on the substance of the Missoula Plan. While the undersigned organizations and entities may not agree on every aspect of intercarrier compensation reform, they oppose the Missoula Plan. The undersigned parties agree that the Missoula Plan does not serve the interests of consumers because it does not adequately address and in many cases would exacerbate problems with the current intercarrier compensation and universal service systems -- *e.g.*, uneconomic regulatory distinctions and incentives for inefficiency. The wide diversity of stakeholders listed below demonstrates that, as filed, the Missoula Plan is not the appropriate vehicle for reforming the intercarrier compensation system and should not serve as the framework for future discussions. We look forward to the opportunity to participate in the FCC process to develop a plan that results in pro-competitive, pro-consumer intercarrier compensation reform.

Alltel Communications, Inc.

Cavalier Communications

Charter Communications

Comcast

COMPTEL

Cost Based Intercarrier Compensation Coalition

Cox Communications, Inc.

CTIA-The Wireless Association®

General Communications, Inc. (GCI)

McLeodUSA Telecommunications Services, Inc.

National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates

National Cable & Telecommunications Association

Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.

PaeTec Communications Inc.

RCN Telecom Services Inc.

Time Warner Cable

Tracfone

US LEC Corp.

XO Communications, LLC

Xspedius Communications, LLC

Media Contacts: CBICC: John Sumpter at (203) 926-3136; COMPTEL: Margaret Boles at (202) 296-6650; CTIA: Joseph Farren at (202) 736-3207; NASUCA: Billy Jack Gregg at (304) 558-0526; NCTA: Roy Lathrop at (202) 775-1026.