

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Carrie Foster [carrietwinkle@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2006 3:52 PM
To: dtayloratateweb
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20541

August 24, 2006
Deborah Taylor Tate

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Carrie Foster
PO Box 605
Waterbury, VT 05676-0605

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Patricia Burke [pataladda@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 23, 2006 10:42 AM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231

FILED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20541

August 23, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Patricia Burke
918 Grant Ave
Duquesne, PA 15110-1650

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Rene Pellerin [Renegp@adelphia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, August 22, 2006 3:12 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 22, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

I am Deaf and vision impaired and expressed concern of my needs in event of emergency.

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

It is important to treat us as a first class citizens and emergency broadcast must be accessible in order to obtain emergency information as equal as my hearing peers.

Sincerely,

Rene Pellerin
65 Lakeview Terrace
Waterbury Center, VT 05677-8015

Sandralyn Bailey

From: John Roberson [jdk-jpr@comcast.net]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 6:42 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: *Don't roll back on emergency captioning!*

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 21, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

John Roberson
4703 Tara Woods Drive East
Jacksonville, FL 32210-7971

SandraLyn Bailey

From: June Harper [junebug6549@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 5:42 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231

FILED
AUG 20 2006
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

August 21, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

June Harper
12761 Maricopa Way
Jacksonville, FL 32246-4166

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Susan Hernandez [sjcsue@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 5:32 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

August 21, 2006
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
445 12th St SW
445 12th St SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin J. Martin,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Susan Hernandez
121 Raleigh Ln
West Islip, NY 11795-2224

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Angela Johnson [angelajohnson@tmail.com]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 3:02 PM
To: dtayloratateweb
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

August 21, 2006
Deborah Taylor Tate

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Angela Johnson
7728 Plumwood Dr
Jacksonville, FL 32256-1532

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Donald Rull [donrull@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 8:32 AM
To: dtayloratateweb
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission

August 21, 2006
Deborah Taylor Tate

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Donald Rull
5481 101st St.
Jacksonville, FL 32210-8912

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Michael Stanley [mikes@cij.com]
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2006 7:32 AM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission

August 21, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Michael Stanley
5026 Lofty Pines Cir E
Jacksonville, FL 32210-7910

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Robert Hames [robbie2yk@clearwire.net]
Sent: Sunday, August 20, 2006 11:12 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission

August 20, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Robert Hames
5026 Lofty Pines Circle E
Jacksonville, FL 32210-7910

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Eileen Semrau [esemrau002@student.monroecc.edu]
Sent: Sunday, August 20, 2006 8:42 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

August 20, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. If a Hearing person must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster, then it is only fair that a D/deaf person should.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Eileen Semrau
214 Rockway Dr
Rochester, NY 14612-1612

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Benjamin Jarashow [JokerBen@aol.com]
Sent: Saturday, August 19, 2006 12:42 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of Consumer Affairs

August 19, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Jarashow
800 Florida Ave NE # 1143
Washington, DC 20002-3600

SandraLyn Bailey

From: JoAnn Gutz [gutzjo@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, August 18, 2006 12:52 PM
To: dtayloratateweb
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05/231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Consumer Protection Division

August 18, 2006
Deborah Taylor Tate

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Gutz
1027 Renee Ct NW
Bemidji, MN 56601-9959

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Susie Lai [suzmoon2000@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2006 12:12 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: *Don't roll back on emergency captioning!*

05-231

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

August 17, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Susie Lai
587 14th Avenue
San Francisco, NY 94118-3552

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Roy Abueg [Roy.Abueg@dca.org]
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2006 12:02 PM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 17, 2006
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
445 12th St SW
445 12th St SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin J. Martin,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Roy Abueg
568 Parrott St
San Leandro, CA 94577-4435

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Audrey Dunn [Ladyfirstcavalry@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2006 11:22 AM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 17, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Audrey Dunn
620 Terrace Way
Space 16
Bakersfield, CA 93304-3800

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Marta Ordaz [MartaOrdaz@juno.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2006 11:22 AM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 17, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Marta Ordaz
456 Adelle St
Livermore, CA 94551-6362

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Todd Higgins [toddh@sfsu.edu]
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2006 12:22 AM
To: KJMWEB
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 16, 2006
Commissioner Kevin J. Martin
445 12th St SW
445 12th St SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Kevin J. Martin,

I am writing to state that the FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements is wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions; to do otherwise, you are placing my life in a jeopardy. As a Deaf individual, I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster through captioning.

Therefore, I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231. I respectfully urge you to reconsider your request and continue your efforts in ensuring that emergency broadcasts are captioned for deaf and hard-of-hearing Americans.

Respectfully submitted,

Todd Higgins
2370 Market Street
PMB 511
San Francisco, CA 94114-1575

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Garry Margolis [garrym@panix.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 10:52 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Consumer Bureau

August 16, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I am not hearing impaired but have many associates in the deaf community who must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Garry Margolis
3283 Tilden Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90034-3030

05-231

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Anna Leach-Proffer [anna.leach-proffer@deaflaw.org]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 5:37 PM
To: Kevin Martin
Cc: Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Monica Desai; Thomas Chandler
Subject: CCASDHH Comments RE: Closed Captioning of Emergency Information

FILED
AUG 30 2006
FEDERAL COURTS
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



final CCASDHH
Caption Comment..

Attached please find the comments of the California Coalition of Agencies Serving the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (CCASDHH) in Support of the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning. Comments were filed today in Docket 05-231.

Best,

Anna Leach-Proffer

Staff Attorney

California Center for Law and the Deaf

14895 E. 14th St., Suite 220

San Leandro, CA 94578

510.483.0962 (voice/TTY)

anna.leach-proffer@deaflaw.org

This e-mail message and any attachments are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message and attachments.

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Lucille (Lucy) Miller [drlucy99@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 4:12 PM
To: dtayloratateweb
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20541

August 16, 2006
Deborah Taylor Tate

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong and discriminatory. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I, a deaf citizen, depend on captions to be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lucille (Lucy) Miller
2506 Kanio St
Lihue, HI 96766-8805

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Ian Lazarus [masterdanger@optonline.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 3:52 PM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION

August 16, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Ian Lazarus
230 Derrom Ave
Paterson, NJ 07504-1026

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Nancy Rarus [nbrarus@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 2:52 PM
To: dtayloratateweb
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 16 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20541

August 16, 2006
Deborah Taylor Tate

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate,

Good grief!! How can you possibly..humanely..logically...psychologically entertain the possibility of doing what I understand you are going to do as reads below.

I have been a tax payer all these years, fighting for equal accessibility... and you go do this. Gimme a break.

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Nancy Rarus
403 Greear Pl
Herndon, VA 20170-5312

SandraLyn Bailey

From: Kelby Brick [kelby@pursuedreams.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 9:52 AM
To: dtayloratateweb
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECORDED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

August 16, 2006
Deborah Taylor Tate

Dear Deborah Taylor Tate,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong. All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster.

My wife and I are deaf and we depend on captioning for access to emergency information. Your clarification in effect gave tv stations permission to exclude me from access to emergency information. This is unacceptable.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by the National Association of the Deaf on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Kelby Brick
2118 Stonewall Rd
Catonsville, MD 21228-5426

Sandralyn Bailey

From: Anita Farb [abfarb@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, August 16, 2006 8:22 AM
To: Robert McDowell
Subject: Don't roll back on emergency captioning!

05-231
RECEIVED
AUG 30 2006
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20541

August 16, 2006
Robert McDowell

Dear Robert McDowell,

The FCC clarification of the emergency captioning requirements was wrong!

All emergency information must be captioned with no exceptions. I must be aware of emergency situations such as a terror alert or impending natural disaster. The lives of deaf and hard of hearing people are just as valuable as those of our hearing peers.

I support the Application for Review of Clarification of Obligation of Video Programming Distributors to Make Emergency Information Accessible to Persons with Hearing Disabilities Using Closed Captioning, sent by seven national consumer organizations on August 14, 2006, CG-Docket # 05-231.

Sincerely,

Anita Farb
5713 Newington Rd
Bethesda, MD 20816-1281