An act to amend Section 401 of, to add Article 4 (commencing with Section 440) to Chapter 2.5 of Part 1 of Division 1 of, and to add Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 5800) to the Public Utilities Code, and to amend Section 107.7 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, relating to cable and video service.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2987, as amended, Nunez Cable and video service.

(1) Existing law provides that any city, county, or city and county may authorize by franchise or license the construction and operation of a community antenna television system and prescribe rules and regulations to protect the subscribers. Existing law requires that cable and video service providers comply with specified customer service standards and performance standards.

This bill would enact the Digital Infrastructure and Video Competition Act of 2006 and would establish a procedure for the issuance of state franchises for the provision of video service, which would be defined to include cable service and open-video systems, that would be administered by the Public Utilities Commission. The commission would be the sole franchising authority for state franchises to provide video services. The bill would require any person or corporation that seeks to provide video service in this state to file an application with the commission for a state franchise with specified information, signed under penalty of perjury. By creating a new crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The bill would provide that cities, counties, cities and counties, or joint powers authorities would receive state franchise fees in exchange for the use of public rights-of-way for the delivery of video services provided within their jurisdictions, based on gross revenues, pursuant to a specified formula. The bill would also authorize local entities to establish a fee to support the capital—costs of public, educational, and governmental access (PEG) channel facilities, in the amount of 1% of gross revenues, or more in specified circumstances.

The bill would also require these local agencies to permit the
entity from mutually agreeing to an extension of any time limit provided by this section.

(d) A local entity may not enforce against the holder of a state franchise any rule, regulation, or ordinance that purports to allow the local entity to purchase or force the sale of a network.

5890. (a) A cable operator or video service provider that has been granted a state franchise under this division may not discriminate against or deny access to service to any group of potential residential subscribers because of the income of the residents in the local area in which the group resides.

(b) Holders or their affiliates with more than 1,000,000 telephone customers in California satisfy subdivision (a) if all of the following conditions are met:

1. Within three years after it begins providing video service under this division, at least 25 percent of households with access to the holder's video service are low-income households.

2. Within five years after it begins providing video service under this division and continuing thereafter, at least 30 percent of the households with access to the holder's video service are low-income households.

3. Holders provide service to community centers in underserved areas, as determined by the holder, without charge, at a ratio of one community center for every 10,000 video customers. The holder shall not be required to take its facilities beyond the appropriate demarcation point outside the community center building or perform any inside wiring. The community center may not receive service from more than one state franchise holder at a time under this section. For purposes of this section, "community center" means any facility run by an organization that has qualified for the California Teleconnect Fund, as established in Section 280 and that will make the holder's service available to the community.

(c) Holders or their affiliates with fewer than 1,000,000 telephone customers in California satisfy this section if they offer video service to all customers within their telephone service area within a reasonable time, as determined by the commission. However, the commission shall not require the holder to offer video service when the cost to provide video service is substantially above the average cost of providing video service in that telephone service area.

(d) When a holder provides video service outside of its telephone service area or when a holder area, is not a telephone corporation, or offers video service in an area where no other video service is being offered, other than direct-to-home satellite service, there is a rebuttable presumption that discrimination in providing service has not occurred within those areas. The commission may review the holder's proposed video service area to ensure that the area is not drawn in a discriminatory manner.

(e) For holders or their affiliates with more than 1,000,000 telephone customers in California, either of the following shall apply:

1. If the holder is predominantly deploying fiber optic facilities to the customer's premise, the holder shall provide access to its video service to a number of households at least equal to 25 percent of the customer households in the holder's telephone service area.
area within two years after it begins providing video service under this division, and to a number at least equal to 40 percent of those households within five years.

(2) If the holder is not predominantly deploying fiber optic facilities to the customer's premises, the holder shall provide access to its video service to a number of households at least equal to 35 percent of the households in the holder's telephone service area within three years after it begins providing video service under this division, and to a number at least equal to 50 percent of these households within five years.

(3) A holder shall not be required to meet the 40 percent requirement in paragraph (1) or the 50 percent requirement in paragraph (2) until two years after at least 30 percent of the households with access to the holder's video service subscribe to it for six consecutive months.

(4) If 30 percent of the households with access to the holder's video service have not subscribed to the holder's video service for six consecutive months within three years after it begins providing video service, the holder may submit validating documentation to the commission. If the commission finds that the documentation validates the holder's claim, then the commission shall permit a delay in meeting the 40-percent requirement in paragraph (1) or the 50-percent requirement in paragraph (2) until the time that the holder does provide service to 30 percent of the households for six consecutive months.

(f) (1) After two years of providing service under this division, the holder may apply to the state franchising authority for an extension to meet the requirements of subdivision (b), (c), or (e). Notice of this application shall also be provided to the telephone customers of the holder, the Secretary of the Senate, and the Chief Clerk of the Assembly.

(2) Upon application, the franchising authority shall hold public hearings in the telephone service area of the applicant.

(3) In reviewing the failure to satisfy the obligations contained in subdivision (b), (c), or (e), the franchising authority shall consider factors that are beyond the control of the holder, including, but not limited to, the following:

(i) The ability of the holder to obtain access to rights-of-way under reasonable terms and conditions.

(ii) The degree to which developments or buildings are subject to competition because of existing exclusive arrangements.

(iii) The degree to which developments or buildings are inaccessible using reasonable technical solutions under commercially reasonable terms and conditions.

(iv) Natural disasters.

(4) The franchising authority may grant the extension only if the holder has made substantial and continuous effort to meet the requirements of subdivision (b), (c), or (e). If an extension is granted the franchising authority shall establish a new compliance deadline.

(g) Local governments may bring complaints to the state franchising authority that a holder is not offering video service as required by this division, section, or the state franchising authority may open an investigation on its own motion. The state franchising authority shall hold public hearings before issuing a decision. The commission may suspend or revoke the franchise if the holder fails to comply with the provisions of this
division.

(h) If the state franchising authority finds that the holder is in violation of this section, it may, in addition to any other remedies provided by law, impose a fine not to exceed 1 percent of the holder's total monthly gross revenue received from provision of video service in the state each month from the date of the decision until the date that compliance is achieved.

(1) If a court finds that the holder of the state franchise is in violation of this section, the court may immediately terminate the holder's state franchise, and the court shall, in addition to any other remedies provided by law, impose a fine not to exceed 1 percent of the holder's total gross revenue of its entire cable and service footprint in the state in the full calendar month immediately prior to the decision.

(1) As used in this section, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) "Household" means consistent with the United States Census Bureau, as a house, an apartment, a mobile home, a group of rooms, or a single room that is intended for occupancy as separate living quarters. Separate living quarters are those in which the occupants live and eat separately from any other persons in the building and which have direct access from the outside of the building or through a common hall.

(2) "Low income household" means those residential households located within the holder's existing telephone service area where the average annual household income is less than $35,000 based on the United States Census Bureau estimates adjusted annually to reflect rates of change and distribution through January 1, 2007.

(3) "Customer's household" means those residential households located within the holder's existing telephone service area that are customers of the service by which that telephone service area is defined.

(4) "Access" means that the holder is capable of providing video service at the household address using any technology, other than direct-to-home satellite service, providing two-way broadband Internet capability and video programming, content, and functionality, regardless of whether any customer has ordered service or whether the owner or landlord or other responsible person has granted access to the household. If more than one technology is utilized, the technologies shall provide similar two-way broadband Internet accessibility and similar video programming.

(k) Nothing in this section shall be construed to require a holder to provide video service outside its wireline footprint or to match the existing cable franchise territory of any cable provider.

5900. (a) The holder of a state franchise shall comply with the provisions of Sections 53055, 53055.1, 53055.2, and 53088.2 of the Government Code, and any other customer service standards pertaining to the provision of video service established by federal law or regulation or adopted by subsequent enactment of the Legislature. All customer service and consumer protection standards under this section shall be interpreted and applied to accommodate newer or different technologies while meeting or exceeding the goals of the standards.

(b) The holder of a state franchise shall comply with provisions of Section 637.5 of the Penal Code and the privacy standards contained in Section 631 of the federal Cable Act (47 U.S.C. Sec. 551 et. seq.).