

**Pam Gregory**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** Barbara Palmento [bpalmento@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 23, 2006 11:42 AM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Re: DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

OCT 19 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

September 23, 2006  
FCC Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

I protest the FCC approval of almost 300 requests for new programs to be shown without closed captions.

The FCC weakened the closed captioning rules, and appears to have created new standards and new rules for permitting programs to be televised without closed captions. I believe the FCC violated the closed captioning rules.

People who are deaf or hard of hearing want access to televised information and entertainment, just like everyone else.

Closed captioning is an essential part of any televised program. Captioning is not too difficult or too expensive.

I cannot watch television programs that are not captioned.

Please reconsider these FCC decisions and support closed captioning.

Sincerely,

Barbara Palmento  
131 Starmount Cir  
Morganton, NC 28655-8273

**Pam Gregory**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** troy4faye [troy4faye@new.rr.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, September 28, 2006 8:39 AM

**To:** Monica Desai

**Subject:**

Re: DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**OCT 19 2006**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Message:**

I protest the FCC approval of almost 300 requests for new programs to be shown without closed captions.

The FCC weakened the closed captioning rules, and appears to have created new standards and new rules for permitting programs to be televised without closed captions. I believe the FCC violated the closed captioning rules.

People who are deaf or hard of hearing want access to televised information and entertainment, just like everyone else.

Closed captioning is an essential part of any televised program. Captioning is not too difficult or too expensive.

I cannot watch television programs that are not captioned.

Please reconsider these FCC decisions and support closed captioning.

troy peters  
n154 hopfensperger rd. Appleton, wi. 54915

**Pam Gregory**

Docket No 06-181

**From:** Melanie Prine [teachthebears@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 30, 2006 1:52 AM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Re: DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**OCT 19 2006**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

September 29, 2006  
FCC Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

I protest the FCC approval of almost 300 requests for new programs to be shown without closed captions.

The FCC weakened the closed captioning rules, and appears to have created new standards and new rules for permitting programs to be televised without closed captions. I believe the FCC violated the closed captioning rules.

People who are deaf or hard of hearing want access to televised information and entertainment, just like everyone else.

Closed captioning is an essential part of any televised program. Captioning is not too difficult or too expensive.

I cannot watch television programs that are not captioned.

Please reconsider these FCC decisions and support closed captioning.

Sincerely,

Melanie Prine  
1009 Ridgefield  
Plano, TX 75075-8720

**Pam Gregory**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** Debbie Peterson [debbielynn09@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 01, 2006 9:01 PM  
**To:** Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Micael.Copps@fcc.gov; Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Keep closed captioning  
In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0007

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**OCT 19 2006**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear FCC Commissioners,

I am deeply disturbed exemptions for closed captioned TV and beyond is being considered. The FCC has created a loophole that makes it too easy for exemptions because of cost. There are too many people that depend on this service that helps them participate as full members of our society. This needs to be stopped.

Debbie Peterson

---

Get your own web address for just \$1.99/1st yr. We'll help. Yahoo! Small Business.

**Pam Gregory**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** Chuck Pittock [cepittock@frii.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 26, 2006 9:47 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Closed Captioning  
"In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007"

**FILED/ACCEPTED****OCT 19 2006**Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear FCC Chairman, I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB. These Orders appear to create a new regulation, Making a new exemption basis. This is not in keeping with current regulations and the consumers need. I am outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We need our closed captioning! I use the closed captioning due to a profound loss of hearing, that I got while serving in the Air Force.

Name : Charles Pittock  
Address: 413 Camino Del Mundo, Fort Collins, CO. 80524

10/11/2006

OCT 19 2006

**Pam Gregory**

Docket No. 06-181

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**From:** IGBOLarry@aol.com

**Sent:** Sunday, September 17, 2006 11:25 PM

**To:** Kevin Martin; Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Monica Desai; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein

**Subject:** "In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

"In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

Dear FCC Chairman, I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB.

These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We need our closed captioning!

Catherine Pike  
1191 Grape Street  
Denver CO 80220

9/19/2006

OCT 19 2006

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** IGBOLarry@aol.com

**Sent:** Sunday, September 17, 2006 11:22 PM

**To:** Kevin Martin; Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Monica Desai; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein

**Subject:** "In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

"In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

Dear FCC Chairman, I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB.

These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We need our closed captioning!

Larry Pike  
1191 Grape Street  
Denver CO 80220

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Pam Gregory**

*Docket No. 06-181*

**From:** Janice Pawloski [jpawloski@wowway.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, September 17, 2006 10:52 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007  
Dear FCC Chairman, I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB. These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We need our closed captioning!

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**OCT 19 2006**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

If you will not stand by us who will?

Janice Pawloski  
Chestnut Intermediate PTA President  
&  
**Creative Memories Consultant**  
***Helping to Make and Preserve Memories***

“A good education is the next best thing to a pushy mother.” —Charles Schulz, cartoonist

**Pam Gregory**

*Docket No 06-181*

**From:** Cdapagliari@aol.com  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 16, 2006 7:57 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Closed captioning

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**OCT 19 2006**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

"In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007  
Dear Ms. DeSai, I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB. These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We need our closed captioning!  
Doreen Pagliaro  
cdapagliari@aol.com

**Pam Gregory**

Docket No. 06-181

---

**From:** Atpop24@aol.com  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 14, 2006 11:18 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** No Subject

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**OCT 19 2006**

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear Ms. Desai,

I am writing to protest the FCC decision to exempt religious organizations from captioning regulations. Does the FCC believe that the 31 million Americans with hearing loss do not deserve to have access to religious broadcasts? Does the FCC believe that it doesn't need to follow its own regulations with regard to giving affected parties an opportunity to voice their opinions? Is the FCC trying to put those of us who struggle to hear at a disadvantage once again? Why are those seeking waivers given consideration while those of us affected by the decision are not?

I am completely dismayed by this unprecedented and discriminatory action.

Anne Pope  
President  
Hearing Loss Association of America

FILED/ACCEPTED

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 06-181

OCT 19 2006

**From:** DebbiPrinc@aol.com**Sent:** Saturday, September 16, 2006 1:54 PM**To:** Kevin Martin; Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; Monica Desai**Subject:** Closed Captioned ... please save CC!!!Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

Dear FCC Chairman, I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB.

These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We need our closed captioning!

Debra L Prince

333 Liberty St. #19

Little Ferry, NJ 07643

9/19/2006

Pam Gregory

Docket No. 06-181

FILED/ACCEPTED

OCT 19 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary**From:** Marjie Page [marjiepage@verizon.net]**Sent:** Thursday, September 14, 2006 12:26 AM**To:** Monica Desai; Robert McDowell; Deborah Tate; Jonathan Adelstein; Michael**Subject:** Captioning Exemptions

Dear Chairman and Commissioners:

I am extremely disappointed in the number of waivers on captioning still being handed out. It has been 10 years that I have been looking forward to being able to turn on any television show and be able to understand what is happening; unfortunately that is still not the case.

I no longer have to buy a special captioning decoder, except for televisions under 13 inches. Now that the rules for 100% captioning are in effect I can watch any show, except for 4 hours in the middle of the night, and except for (oh my) almost 1000 other shows that you are granting exemptions to them. I used to be able to put in any new VHS video and know it would have captioning. I put in a DVD and take my chances, so now I have choices like should I watch uncaptioned television or uncaptioned DVDs. I am not happy with these exceptions or choices.

I would like you to join my experience for the next 30 days. Please turn the volume off on your own television every day between 2 AM and 6 AM. Also please turn the volume off for the next 800 shows you watch and for half of the DVDs you watch. After this trial period, if you still feel that it would be a hardship to caption for those people spending all that money to make shows and movies, continue to grant waivers, but if, after having experienced what I experience, you think that understanding and being included and informed is important, please please don't grant any waiver for longer than 6 months and don't grant them without very compelling reasons. Next, start issuing fines for programming that does not have a waiver and isn't 100% captioned. What good are captioning rules if there are more exceptions than rules themselves?

Marjie Page  
Tampa Bay, FL

9/19/2006

**Pam Gregory**

*Docket No. 06-181*

---

**From:** Dave Pearson [dkl.pearson@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 14, 2006 12:12 AM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Captioning should not be exempt from any television show

Dear Monica Desai,

Hearing impaired people need captioning on all shows and no show should be exempt from captioning. Believe it or not. people with hearing loss like to watch religious shows too.

--  
David Pearson

---

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**OCT 19 2006**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Pam Gregory**

*Docket No. 06-181*

**FILED/ACCEPTED**

**OCT 19 2006**

*Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary*

**From:** Cecilia M Proulx [ceciliaproulx@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 15, 2006 4:27 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai; Michael Copps; Robert McDowell; Deborah Tate; Kevin Martin  
**Subject:** Closed captioning

Dear FCC Chairs:

I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB. These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We (myself and the HOH/ Deaf communit--along with our friends and family) are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use.

Please reconsider immediately. We need our closed captioning.

-Cecilia Proulx

892 North Stillman Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19130

---

Get your email and more, right on the [new Yahoo.com](http://new.Yahoo.com)

**Kenneth L. Hill**

Docket No. 06-141

FILED/ACCEPTED

OCT 19 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**From:** Cindi Pichler [cpichler@independencefirst.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 26, 2006 12:38 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** exemptions for closed captioning

I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB. These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We need our closed captioning! It not only benefits the Deaf, but people who are hard of hearing, people learning English, and children with a variety of other disabilities. This is not a small group of people!

Cindi Pichler  
Assistive Technology Specialist  
IndependenceFirst  
600 W Virginia Street, Ste 400  
Milwaukee, WI 53204  
414-226-8385  
[www.independencefirst.org](http://www.independencefirst.org)  
Noah's Ark was built by amateurs,  
the Titanic was built by professionals

**Kenneth L. Hill**

Docket No. 06-14

**From:** kay Parsons [ekwp@webtv.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 26, 2006 12:43 AM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Fw: closed captions

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**OCT 19 2006**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**From:** kay Parsons  
**Sent:** Monday, September 25, 2006 11:39 PM  
**To:** Kevin.Martin@fcc.gov  
**Cc:** Deborah.Tate@fcc.gov, Robert.McDowell@fcc.gov, Michael.Copps@fcc.gov, Jonathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov  
**Subject:** closed captions

mr. martin, the recent exemptions to television closed captioning is upsetting beyond expression. if you wish to know what "undue burden" really is, try going through life severely or profoundly hearing impaired. i have given up teaching college english literature, plays, taking courses, lectures, movies (unless they later come out on captioned dvd's), church, visits with friends, understanding my grandchildren, community work, listening to the radio, music.

fortunately, i can still read, so i have books and captioned tv. these captions are a lifeline. a miracle.

the hearing impaired and the deaf are not activists because two-way communication is so difficult, but they are involved, pay taxes, vote, and are americans. please reconsider, please do not isolate us from the world.

i send this e-mail with tears in my eyes and heaviness in my heart.

kay parsons  
702 village green drive  
desoto, tx 75115

**Kenneth L. Hill**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** Kathy Patrick [kate2880@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 25, 2006 5:17 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** "In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007"

"In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

Dear FCC Chairman, I protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB. These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We NEED our closed captioning!

Kathy Patrick  
3163 Wellington Way  
Arnold, MO. 63010

**Kathy Patrick # 5911**  
**Independent Distributor**  
*Homemade Gourmet*

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**OCT 19 2006**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Kenneth L. Hill**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** Phyllis Pendley [pendleypepci@sbcglobal.net]  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 23, 2006 9:48 AM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Closed captioning

FILED/ACCEPTED  
OCT 19 2006  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Chairman, I

protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB. These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. We are outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Please reconsider immediately! We need our closed captioning!

Your name---Phyllis and Dan Pendley

Your address." ---5266 OakPark, Clarkston, MI

I have 2 deaf grandchildren-----isn't it bad enough that they cannot hear!!!

**Kenneth L. Hill**

*Docket No. 06-181*

**From:** Thomas Posedly [tposedly@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, September 25, 2006 7:12 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Re: DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**OCT 19 2006**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

*September 25, 2006*  
*FCC Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Monica Desai*

*Dear Monica Desai,*

*I protest the FCC approval of almost 300 requests for new programs to be shown without closed captions.*

*The FCC weakened the closed captioning rules, and appears to have created new standards and new rules for permitting programs to be televised without closed captions. I believe the FCC violated the closed captioning rules.*

*People who are deaf or hard of hearing want access to televised information and entertainment, just like everyone else.*

*Closed captioning is an essential part of any televised program. Captioning is not too difficult or too expensive.*

*I cannot watch television programs that are not captioned.*

*Please reconsider these FCC decisions and support closed captioning.*

*Sincerely,*

*Thomas Posedly*  
*207 W Clarendon Ave Unit 3H*  
*Phoenix, AZ 85013-3406*

Docket No. 06-181

captnorm@comcast.net wrote on 9/25/2006 6:43:39 AM :

----- Forwarded Message: -----

From: captnorm@comcast.net

To: Kevin.Martin@fcc.gov; Michael.Copps@fcc.gov; Jonathan.Adelstein@fcc.gov; Deborah.Tate@fcc.gov;  
Robert.McDowell@fcc.gov

Cc: Monica.Desai@fcc.gov

Subject: Closed Captioning

Date: Sun, 24 Sep 2006 13:05:25 +0000

> Dear Chairman and Commissioners,

> It has been ten years now since the closed captioning rules were put in  
> place. More than enough time for programmers to find funding and set up to  
> caption their programs. The decision to grant a large number of captioning  
> exemptions sets a very bad precedent. A) It is counter to the regulations at 47  
> CFR Part 79.1(d) that allow for certain exemptions; and (b) it is de facto  
> rulemaking without notice. They are creating new regulations without a process.  
> This FCC interpretation could have far reaching effects that go well beyond  
> religious programming. The entities who asked for waivers are given  
> consideration while those of use who will be affected are not. These decisions  
> to offer exemptions should not stand. They should be reversed immediately.

> Without Closed Captioning, I'm unable to watch television or movies and  
> understand what is being said. I will be unable to be enriched by the many  
> programming choices offered today because government is beholden to corporations  
> requesting exemption and not the individuals the government should be watching  
> out for in the first place!

>

> Jeff Petterson

FILED/ACCEPTED

OCT 19 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

**Kenneth L. Hill**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** Brian Peters [bpeters@independencefirst.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 19, 2006 7:04 PM  
**To:** Kevin Martin; Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein  
**Cc:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Closed Captioning Requirements-Exemptions

FILED/ACCEPTED  
OCT 19 2006  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

Dear Chairman and Commissioners;

As a deaf person who uses closed-captioning daily, I am very concerned by your recent ruling granting exemptions to two faith groups. While I am not opposed to allowing case-by-case exemptions for undue burdens, what has been done here apparently is to create a new rule beyond the existing ones that will open the door open for more exemptions.

Please review the decision, found at [http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs\\_public/attachmatch/DA-06-1802A1.pdf](http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-06-1802A1.pdf). From what I understand, this decision creates a loophole for any organizations to claim they cannot afford captioning, solely on the basis of not receiving compensation from video distributors, regardless of their actual resources.

Incidentally, you may want to ask those organizations if they are saying that deaf people are not worthy of hearing the word of God through their programs.

**Brian Peters**  
**Housing Program Coordinator**  
IndependenceFirst  
600 W Virginia Street, 4th Floor  
Milwaukee, WI 53204  
414-291-7520 (V/Relay:711)  
414-226-8338 Direct TTY  
414-226-8155 FAX

Are you on Housing For All at Yahoo! Groups? Ask for me for details!

9/21/2006

**Kenneth L. Hill**

Docket No 06-181

**From:** Lmpesh@aol.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 20, 2006 2:44 PM  
**To:** Kevin Martin; Deborah Tate; Robert McDowell; Michael Copps; Jonathan Adelstein; Monica Desai  
**Cc:** jwyant@bitstream.net  
**Subject:** letter to FCC protesting captioning regulation exemption

"In Re DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

Dear FCC Chairman,  
I would like to protest the recent Orders granting exemptions from closed captioning issued by CGB. These Orders appear to create a new regulation, carving out a new exemption basis, not in keeping with current regulations. As a parent of two children who are hearing impaired and who rely on captioning I am outraged and believe the FCC has created a loophole that almost any entity crying "it costs too much" can now use. Your lax attitude in allowing these regulations to be watered down will cause a great deal of inconvenience and personal frustration to my children who already have enough to deal with every day. Please reconsider immediately! My children need closed captioning!  
Linda Peshek,  
4347 Red Fox Dr. N.W. Massillon, OH 44646

**FILED/ACCEPTED**  
**OCT 19 2006**  
Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

9/21/2006

**Kenneth L. Hill**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** Timothy Pardi [Meowcat57@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 22, 2006 9:02 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Re: DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

FILED/ACCEPTED

OCT 19 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

September 22, 2006

FCC Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

*I protest the FCC approval of almost 300 requests for new programs to be shown without closed captions.*

*The FCC weakened the closed captioning rules, and appears to have created new standards and new rules for permitting programs to be televised without closed captions. I believe the FCC violated the closed captioning rules.*

*People who are deaf or hard of hearing want access to televised information and entertainment, just like everyone else.*

*Closed captioning is an essential part of any televised program. Captioning is not too difficult or too expensive.*

*I cannot watch television programs that are not captioned.*

*Please reconsider these FCC decisions and support closed captioning.*

*Sincerely,*

*Timothy Pardi  
210 Willis Ave  
Herkimer, NY 13350-1026*

**Kenneth L. Hill**

Docket No. 06-181

**From:** Lisa Price [kprice@codie.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, September 22, 2006 6:52 PM  
**To:** Monica Desai  
**Subject:** Re: DA 06-1802, CGB-CC-0005 and CGB-CC-0007

FILED/ACCEPTED

OCT 19 2006

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

September 22, 2006  
FCC Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau Monica Desai

Dear Monica Desai,

*I protest the FCC approval of almost 300 requests for new programs to be shown without closed captions.*

*The FCC weakened the closed captioning rules, and appears to have created new standards and new rules for permitting programs to be televised without closed captions. I believe the FCC violated the closed captioning rules.*

*People who are deaf or hard of hearing want access to televised information and entertainment, just like everyone else.*

*Closed captioning is an essential part of any televised program. Captioning is not too difficult or too expensive.*

*I cannot watch television programs that are not captioned.*

*Please reconsider these FCC decisions and support closed captioning.*

*Sincerely,*

*Lisa Price  
3576 Arlington Ave  
#211  
Riverside, CA 92506-3943*