413-585-8904

Alfred Scarpinato
48 Albany Turnpike , Old Chatham, NY 12136

December 10, 2006 4:42 PM

Representative John Sweeney
U.S. House of Representatives
416 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alfred Scarpinato
48 Albany Turnpike
Old Chatham, NY 12136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alfred Scarpinato
48 Albany Turnpike , Old Chatham, NY 12136

December 10, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alfred Scarpinato
48 Albany Turnpike
Old Chatham, NY 12136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter Apt I - 306, San Diego, CA 92122-6527

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter
Apt I - 306
San Diego, CA 92122-6527

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive , San Jose, CA 95134

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive
San Jose, CA 95134

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter Apt I - 306, San Diego, CA 92122-6527

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter
Apt I - 306
San Diego, CA 92122-6527

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive , San Jose, CA 95134

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Representative Zoe Lofgren
U.S. House of Representatives
102 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lofgren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive
San Jose, CA 95134

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter Apt I - 306, San Diego, CA 92122-6527

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter
Apt I - 306
San Diego, CA 92122-6527

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive , San Jose, CA 95134

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive
San Jose, CA 95134

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive , San Jose, CA 95134

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Representative Zoe Lofgren
U.S. House of Representatives
102 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lofgren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive
San Jose, CA 95134

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter Apt I - 306, San Diego, CA 92122-6527

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter
Apt I - 306
San Diego, CA 92122-6527

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive , San Jose, CA 95134

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Bail
132 El Bosque Drive
San Jose, CA 95134

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter Apt I - 306, San Diego, CA 92122-6527

December 10, 2006 4:41 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Chazin
5260 Fiore Ter
Apt I - 306
San Diego, CA 92122-6527

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr. , Beavercreek, OH 45434

December 10, 2006 4:40 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr.
Beavercreek, OH 45434

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr. , Beavercreek, OH 45434

December 10, 2006 4:40 PM

Representative David Hobson
U.S. House of Representatives
2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hobson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr.
Beavercreek, OH 45434

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr. , Beavercreek, OH 45434

December 10, 2006 4:40 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr.
Beavercreek, OH 45434

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr. , Beavercreek, OH 45434

December 10, 2006 4:40 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr.
Beavercreek, OH 45434

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr. , Beavercreek, OH 45434

December 10, 2006 4:40 PM

Representative David Hobson
U.S. House of Representatives
2346 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hobson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Cheers
420 Colonial Dr.
Beavercreek, OH 45434

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW , Massillon, OH 44647

December 10, 2006 4:39 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW
Massillon, OH 44647

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW , Massillon, OH 44647

December 10, 2006 4:39 PM

Representative Ralph Regula
U.S. House of Representatives
2306 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Regula,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW
Massillon, OH 44647

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW , Massillon, OH 44647

December 10, 2006 4:39 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW
Massillon, OH 44647

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW , Massillon, OH 44647

December 10, 2006 4:39 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW
Massillon, OH 44647

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW , Massillon, OH 44647

December 10, 2006 4:39 PM

Representative Ralph Regula
U.S. House of Representatives
2306 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Regula,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thomas Baughman
116 11th Street SW
Massillon, OH 44647

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603 , New York, NY 10025

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet , Independence, VA 24348

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Representative Rick Boucher
U.S. House of Representatives
2187 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boucher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet
Independence, VA 24348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet , Independence, VA 24348

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet
Independence, VA 24348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603 , New York, NY 10025

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet , Independence, VA 24348

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet
Independence, VA 24348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603 , New York, NY 10025

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr , Edmonds, WA 98020

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr
Edmonds, WA 98020

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603 , New York, NY 10025

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet , Independence, VA 24348

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet
Independence, VA 24348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet , Independence, VA 24348

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Representative Rick Boucher
U.S. House of Representatives
2187 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boucher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mac L. Ricketts
212 W. Main Streeet
Independence, VA 24348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr , Edmonds, WA 98020

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr
Edmonds, WA 98020

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr , Edmonds, WA 98020

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr
Edmonds, WA 98020

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr , Edmonds, WA 98020

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Representative Jay Inslee
U.S. House of Representatives
403 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inslee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr
Edmonds, WA 98020

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr , Edmonds, WA 98020

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Representative Jay Inslee
U.S. House of Representatives
403 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inslee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr
Edmonds, WA 98020

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr , Edmonds, WA 98020

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Green
18707 Olympic View Dr
Edmonds, WA 98020

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603 , New York, NY 10025

December 10, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christie Zimmerman
PO Box 20603
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marilyn Fraley
529 Mallard , Perrysburg, OH 43551

December 10, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Fraley
529 Mallard
Perrysburg, OH 43551

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marilyn Fraley
529 Mallard , Perrysburg, OH 43551

December 10, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Fraley
529 Mallard
Perrysburg, OH 43551

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marilyn Fraley
529 Mallard , Perrysburg, OH 43551

December 10, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative Paul Gillmor
U.S. House of Representatives
1203 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gillmor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Fraley
529 Mallard
Perrysburg, OH 43551

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Talano
1047 West Washington Street , Springfield, IL 62702

December 10, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative Ray LaHood
U.S. House of Representatives
1424 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative LaHood,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Talano
1047 West Washington Street
Springfield, IL 62702

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Talano
1047 West Washington Street , Springfield, IL 62702

December 10, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Talano
1047 West Washington Street
Springfield, IL 62702

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Talano
1047 West Washington Street , Springfield, IL 62702

December 10, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Talano
1047 West Washington Street
Springfield, IL 62702

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Talano
1047 West Washington Street , Springfield, IL 62702

December 10, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative Ray LaHood
U.S. House of Representatives
1424 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative LaHood,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Talano
1047 West Washington Street
Springfield, IL 62702

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marilyn Fraley
529 Mallard , Perrysburg, OH 43551

December 10, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Fraley
529 Mallard
Perrysburg, OH 43551

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Reaves
4800 Everglade Dr. , Austin, TX 78745

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Representative Lamar Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
2184 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Reaves
4800 Everglade Dr.
Austin, TX 78745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Reaves
4800 Everglade Dr. , Austin, TX 78745

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Reaves
4800 Everglade Dr.
Austin, TX 78745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Reaves
4800 Everglade Dr. , Austin, TX 78745

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Reaves
4800 Everglade Dr.
Austin, TX 78745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Reaves
4800 Everglade Dr. , Austin, TX 78745

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Reaves
4800 Everglade Dr.
Austin, TX 78745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Young
611 Kings Mountain Dr , Longview, TX 75601

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Representative Louie Gohmert
U.S. House of Representatives
508 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gohmert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Young
611 Kings Mountain Dr
Longview, TX 75601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Young
611 Kings Mountain Dr , Longview, TX 75601

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Young
611 Kings Mountain Dr
Longview, TX 75601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ruth Berger
1341SW Dickinson Lane , Portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ruth Berger
1341SW Dickinson Lane
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ruth Berger
1341SW Dickinson Lane , Portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ruth Berger
1341SW Dickinson Lane
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Young
611 Kings Mountain Dr , Longview, TX 75601

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Young
611 Kings Mountain Dr
Longview, TX 75601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ruth Berger
1341SW Dickinson Lane , Portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ruth Berger
1341SW Dickinson Lane
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ruth Berger
1341SW Dickinson Lane , Portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ruth Berger
1341SW Dickinson Lane
Portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Young
611 Kings Mountain Dr , Longview, TX 75601

December 10, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Young
611 Kings Mountain Dr
Longview, TX 75601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Hunsberger
1209 West 22 1/2 St Apt C , Austin, TX 78705

December 10, 2006 4:35 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Hunsberger
1209 West 22 1/2 St Apt C
Austin, TX 78705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Hunsberger
1209 West 22 1/2 St Apt C , Austin, TX 78705

December 10, 2006 4:35 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Hunsberger
1209 West 22 1/2 St Apt C
Austin, TX 78705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Hunsberger
1209 West 22 1/2 St Apt C , Austin, TX 78705

December 10, 2006 4:35 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Hunsberger
1209 West 22 1/2 St Apt C
Austin, TX 78705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa porter
214 revere road , dewitt, NY 13214

December 10, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa porter
214 revere road
dewitt, NY 13214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa porter
214 revere road , dewitt, NY 13214

December 10, 2006 4:31 PM

Representative James Walsh
U.S. House of Representatives
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa porter
214 revere road
dewitt, NY 13214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa porter
214 revere road , dewitt, NY 13214

December 10, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa porter
214 revere road
dewitt, NY 13214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa porter
214 revere road , dewitt, NY 13214

December 10, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa porter
214 revere road
dewitt, NY 13214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

lisa porter
214 revere road , dewitt, NY 13214

December 10, 2006 4:31 PM

Representative James Walsh
U.S. House of Representatives
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
lisa porter
214 revere road
dewitt, NY 13214

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd. , Amherst, NY 14226

December 10, 2006 4:27 PM

Representative Thomas Reynolds
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reynolds,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd.
Amherst, NY 14226

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd. , Amherst, NY 14226

December 10, 2006 4:27 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd.
Amherst, NY 14226

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd. , Amherst, NY 14226

December 10, 2006 4:27 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd.
Amherst, NY 14226

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd. , Amherst, NY 14226

December 10, 2006 4:27 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd.
Amherst, NY 14226

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd. , Amherst, NY 14226

December 10, 2006 4:27 PM

Representative Thomas Reynolds
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reynolds,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elisse Antczak
577 Sweethome Rd.
Amherst, NY 14226

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd. , Lyndeborough, NH 03082

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Representative Charles Bass
U.S. House of Representatives
2421 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bass,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd.
Lyndeborough, NH 03082

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Arbour
4733 Bleigh Avenue , Philadelphia, PA 19136

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Arbour
4733 Bleigh Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Arbour
4733 Bleigh Avenue , Philadelphia, PA 19136

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Representative Allyson Schwartz
U.S. House of Representatives
423 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwartz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Arbour
4733 Bleigh Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd. , Lyndeborough, NH 03082

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd.
Lyndeborough, NH 03082

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Arbour
4733 Bleigh Avenue , Philadelphia, PA 19136

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Arbour
4733 Bleigh Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd. , Lyndeborough, NH 03082

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Senator Judd Gregg
U.S. Senate
393 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Gregg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd.
Lyndeborough, NH 03082

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd. , Lyndeborough, NH 03082

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd.
Lyndeborough, NH 03082

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd. , Lyndeborough, NH 03082

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Representative Charles Bass
U.S. House of Representatives
2421 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bass,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jill Landshof
140 Johnson Corner Rd.
Lyndeborough, NH 03082

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Arbour
4733 Bleigh Avenue , Philadelphia, PA 19136

December 10, 2006 4:26 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Arbour
4733 Bleigh Avenue
Philadelphia, PA 19136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Mason
38 Iron Hill Road , Chatham, NY 12037

December 10, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Mason
38 Iron Hill Road
Chatham, NY 12037

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Mason
38 Iron Hill Road , Chatham, NY 12037

December 10, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Mason
38 Iron Hill Road
Chatham, NY 12037

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Mason
38 Iron Hill Road , Chatham, NY 12037

December 10, 2006 4:24 PM

Representative John Sweeney
U.S. House of Representatives
416 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Mason
38 Iron Hill Road
Chatham, NY 12037

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Mason
38 Iron Hill Road , Chatham, NY 12037

December 10, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Mason
38 Iron Hill Road
Chatham, NY 12037

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave P.O. Box 1412, Manhattan, KS 66505

December 10, 2006 4:23 PM

Representative Jim Ryun
U.S. House of Representatives
1110 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryun,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave
P.O. Box 1412
Manhattan, KS 66505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave P.O. Box 1412, Manhattan, KS 66505

December 10, 2006 4:23 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave
P.O. Box 1412
Manhattan, KS 66505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave P.O. Box 1412, Manhattan, KS 66505

December 10, 2006 4:23 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave
P.O. Box 1412
Manhattan, KS 66505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave P.O. Box 1412, Manhattan, KS 66505

December 10, 2006 4:23 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave
P.O. Box 1412
Manhattan, KS 66505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave P.O. Box 1412, Manhattan, KS 66505

December 10, 2006 4:23 PM

Representative Jim Ryun
U.S. House of Representatives
1110 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryun,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave
P.O. Box 1412
Manhattan, KS 66505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave P.O. Box 1412, Manhattan, KS 66505

December 10, 2006 4:23 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ana Franklin
321 Poyntz Ave
P.O. Box 1412
Manhattan, KS 66505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302 , Seattle, WA 98133

December 10, 2006 4:21 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302 , Seattle, WA 98133

December 10, 2006 4:21 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302 , Seattle, WA 98133

December 10, 2006 4:21 PM

Representative Jay Inslee
U.S. House of Representatives
403 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inslee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302 , Seattle, WA 98133

December 10, 2006 4:21 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302 , Seattle, WA 98133

December 10, 2006 4:21 PM

Representative Jay Inslee
U.S. House of Representatives
403 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inslee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302 , Seattle, WA 98133

December 10, 2006 4:21 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Glenham
13410 Greenwood Ave. N. #302
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bill ingram
132 echo dr , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bill ingram
132 echo dr
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Schade
1316 n. 89th e. ave #203, Tulsa, OK 74115

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Senator Tom Coburn
U.S. Senate
172 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Schade
1316 n. 89th e. ave
#203
Tulsa, OK 74115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bill ingram
132 echo dr , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bill ingram
132 echo dr
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bill ingram
132 echo dr , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Representative William Jenkins
U.S. House of Representatives
1207 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jenkins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bill ingram
132 echo dr
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Schade
1316 n. 89th e. ave #203, Tulsa, OK 74115

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Schade
1316 n. 89th e. ave
#203
Tulsa, OK 74115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Schade
1316 n. 89th e. ave #203, Tulsa, OK 74115

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Schade
1316 n. 89th e. ave
#203
Tulsa, OK 74115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bill ingram
132 echo dr , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bill ingram
132 echo dr
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bill ingram
132 echo dr , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bill ingram
132 echo dr
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bill ingram
132 echo dr , elizabethton, TN 37643

December 10, 2006 4:16 PM

Representative William Jenkins
U.S. House of Representatives
1207 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jenkins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bill ingram
132 echo dr
elizabethton, TN 37643

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 4:15 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 4:15 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 4:15 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 4:15 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 4:15 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wat Stearns
414 Prospect Avenue, #2L
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phyllis Thomas
812 E. Sharpnack Street , Philadlphia, PA 19119-1537

December 10, 2006 4:13 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Thomas
812 E. Sharpnack Street
Philadlphia, PA 19119-1537

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phyllis Thomas
812 E. Sharpnack Street , Philadlphia, PA 19119-1537

December 10, 2006 4:13 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Thomas
812 E. Sharpnack Street
Philadlphia, PA 19119-1537

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phyllis Thomas
812 E. Sharpnack Street , Philadlphia, PA 19119-1537

December 10, 2006 4:13 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phyllis Thomas
812 E. Sharpnack Street
Philadlphia, PA 19119-1537

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Haddleton
270 College St. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Haddleton
270 College St.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Mangun
PO Box 17331 , Urbana, IL 61803

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Representative Timothy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1229 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Mangun
PO Box 17331
Urbana, IL 61803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Mangun
PO Box 17331 , Urbana, IL 61803

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Mangun
PO Box 17331
Urbana, IL 61803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Haddleton
270 College St. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Haddleton
270 College St.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Mangun
PO Box 17331 , Urbana, IL 61803

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Mangun
PO Box 17331
Urbana, IL 61803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Haddleton
270 College St. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Haddleton
270 College St.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Mangun
PO Box 17331 , Urbana, IL 61803

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Representative Timothy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1229 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Mangun
PO Box 17331
Urbana, IL 61803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Haddleton
270 College St. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Haddleton
270 College St.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Haddleton
270 College St. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Haddleton
270 College St.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Haddleton
270 College St. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 4:12 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Haddleton
270 College St.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr , Denver, CO 80231-7661

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr
Denver, CO 80231-7661

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr , Denver, CO 80231-7661

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr
Denver, CO 80231-7661

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr , Denver, CO 80231-7661

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Representative Diana DeGette
U.S. House of Representatives
1527 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeGette,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr
Denver, CO 80231-7661

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr , Denver, CO 80231-7661

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr
Denver, CO 80231-7661

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tara Downer
1012 Bluebird Dr. , Manchaca, TX 78652

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tara Downer
1012 Bluebird Dr.
Manchaca, TX 78652

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr , Denver, CO 80231-7661

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr
Denver, CO 80231-7661

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr , Denver, CO 80231-7661

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Representative Diana DeGette
U.S. House of Representatives
1527 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeGette,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cheryl Jones
7988 E Vassar Dr
Denver, CO 80231-7661

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tara Downer
1012 Bluebird Dr. , Manchaca, TX 78652

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Representative Lamar Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
2184 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tara Downer
1012 Bluebird Dr.
Manchaca, TX 78652

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tara Downer
1012 Bluebird Dr. , Manchaca, TX 78652

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tara Downer
1012 Bluebird Dr.
Manchaca, TX 78652

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tara Downer
1012 Bluebird Dr. , Manchaca, TX 78652

December 10, 2006 4:10 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tara Downer
1012 Bluebird Dr.
Manchaca, TX 78652

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kent gallaway
709 thorne , ripon, WI 54971

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kent gallaway
709 thorne
ripon, WI 54971

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kent gallaway
709 thorne , ripon, WI 54971

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kent gallaway
709 thorne
ripon, WI 54971

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kent gallaway
709 thorne , ripon, WI 54971

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Representative Tom Petri
U.S. House of Representatives
2462 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Petri,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kent gallaway
709 thorne
ripon, WI 54971

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave. , orange, NJ 07050

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave.
orange, NJ 07050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave. , orange, NJ 07050

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave.
orange, NJ 07050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave. , orange, NJ 07050

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Representative Donald Payne
U.S. House of Representatives
2209 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Payne,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave.
orange, NJ 07050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave. , orange, NJ 07050

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave.
orange, NJ 07050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave. , orange, NJ 07050

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave.
orange, NJ 07050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave. , orange, NJ 07050

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Representative Donald Payne
U.S. House of Representatives
2209 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Payne,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ruth livingston
608 Walsh Ave.
orange, NJ 07050

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kent gallaway
709 thorne , ripon, WI 54971

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kent gallaway
709 thorne
ripon, WI 54971

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kent gallaway
709 thorne , ripon, WI 54971

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kent gallaway
709 thorne
ripon, WI 54971

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kent gallaway
709 thorne , ripon, WI 54971

December 10, 2006 4:03 PM

Representative Tom Petri
U.S. House of Representatives
2462 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Petri,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kent gallaway
709 thorne
ripon, WI 54971

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Will
339 Brightwood Ave , Chula Vista, CA 91910

December 10, 2006 4:01 PM

Representative Bob Filner
U.S. House of Representatives
2428 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Filner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Will
339 Brightwood Ave
Chula Vista, CA 91910

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Will
339 Brightwood Ave , Chula Vista, CA 91910

December 10, 2006 4:01 PM

Representative Bob Filner
U.S. House of Representatives
2428 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Filner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Will
339 Brightwood Ave
Chula Vista, CA 91910

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

laura arvidson
1010westbrooke way apt.1 hopkins MN 55343, hopkins, MN 55343

December 10, 2006 4:01 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
laura arvidson
1010westbrooke way apt.1
hopkins MN 55343
hopkins, MN 55343

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

laura arvidson
1010westbrooke way apt.1 hopkins MN 55343, hopkins, MN 55343

December 10, 2006 4:01 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
laura arvidson
1010westbrooke way apt.1
hopkins MN 55343
hopkins, MN 55343

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Will
339 Brightwood Ave , Chula Vista, CA 91910

December 10, 2006 4:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Will
339 Brightwood Ave
Chula Vista, CA 91910

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Will
339 Brightwood Ave , Chula Vista, CA 91910

December 10, 2006 4:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Will
339 Brightwood Ave
Chula Vista, CA 91910

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

laura arvidson
1010westbrooke way apt.1 hopkins MN 55343, hopkins, MN 55343

December 10, 2006 4:01 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
laura arvidson
1010westbrooke way apt.1
hopkins MN 55343
hopkins, MN 55343

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Will
339 Brightwood Ave , Chula Vista, CA 91910

December 10, 2006 4:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Will
339 Brightwood Ave
Chula Vista, CA 91910

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd , santa Barbara, CA 93105

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd
santa Barbara, CA 93105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd , santa Barbara, CA 93105

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd
santa Barbara, CA 93105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock , Springfield, MO 65802

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock
Springfield, MO 65802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock , Springfield, MO 65802

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Representative Roy Blunt
U.S. House of Representatives
217 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock
Springfield, MO 65802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock , Springfield, MO 65802

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock
Springfield, MO 65802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road , Millersville, MD 21108

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road
Millersville, MD 21108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road , Millersville, MD 21108

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road
Millersville, MD 21108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road , Millersville, MD 21108

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Representative Benjamin Cardin
U.S. House of Representatives
2207 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cardin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road
Millersville, MD 21108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd , santa Barbara, CA 93105

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd
santa Barbara, CA 93105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd , santa Barbara, CA 93105

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd
santa Barbara, CA 93105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road , Millersville, MD 21108

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road
Millersville, MD 21108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road , Millersville, MD 21108

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Representative Benjamin Cardin
U.S. House of Representatives
2207 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cardin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road
Millersville, MD 21108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road , Millersville, MD 21108

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Johnson
536 Old Mill Road
Millersville, MD 21108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd , santa Barbara, CA 93105

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
caroline macDougall
1720 Las Canoas Rd
santa Barbara, CA 93105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock , Springfield, MO 65802

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock
Springfield, MO 65802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock , Springfield, MO 65802

December 10, 2006 4:00 PM

Representative Roy Blunt
U.S. House of Representatives
217 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Martin
814 S Hemlock
Springfield, MO 65802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln , portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln
portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road , Richmond, VA 23228

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Representative Eric Cantor
U.S. House of Representatives
329 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cantor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road
Richmond, VA 23228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road , Richmond, VA 23228

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road
Richmond, VA 23228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln , portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln
portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road , Richmond, VA 23228

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road
Richmond, VA 23228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln , portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln
portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road , Richmond, VA 23228

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road
Richmond, VA 23228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road , Richmond, VA 23228

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Representative Eric Cantor
U.S. House of Representatives
329 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cantor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Newman
10124 Purcell Road
Richmond, VA 23228

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln , portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln
portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln , portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln
portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln , portland, OR 97219

December 10, 2006 3:59 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
steve berent
1341 sw dickinson ln
portland, OR 97219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd. , Los Angeles, CA 90005

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd. , Los Angeles, CA 90005

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Representative Diane Watson
U.S. House of Representatives
125 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Watson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd. , Los Angeles, CA 90005

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd. , Hutchinson, KS 6750

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd.
Hutchinson, KS 6750

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd. , Los Angeles, CA 90005

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Representative Diane Watson
U.S. House of Representatives
125 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Watson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd. , Los Angeles, CA 90005

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monte McConnell
772 S. Windsor Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd. , Hutchinson, KS 6750

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Representative Jerry Moran
U.S. House of Representatives
2443 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd.
Hutchinson, KS 6750

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd. , Hutchinson, KS 6750

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd.
Hutchinson, KS 6750

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd. , Hutchinson, KS 6750

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd.
Hutchinson, KS 6750

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd. , Hutchinson, KS 6750

December 10, 2006 3:55 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Houston Hubbard
5318 Frank rd.
Hutchinson, KS 6750

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd , Missoula, MT 59808

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd
Missoula, MT 59808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd , Missoula, MT 59808

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg
U.S. House of Representatives
516 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd
Missoula, MT 59808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd , Missoula, MT 59808

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Senator Max Baucus
U.S. Senate
511 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Baucus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd
Missoula, MT 59808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd , Missoula, MT 59808

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd
Missoula, MT 59808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd , Missoula, MT 59808

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg
U.S. House of Representatives
516 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brandon Newbury
5575 Mullan Rd
Missoula, MT 59808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st. , Trona, CA 93562

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st.
Trona, CA 93562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st. , Trona, CA 93562

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Representative Buck McKeon
U.S. House of Representatives
2351 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKeon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st.
Trona, CA 93562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st. , Trona, CA 93562

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st.
Trona, CA 93562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st. , Trona, CA 93562

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st.
Trona, CA 93562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st. , Trona, CA 93562

December 10, 2006 3:54 PM

Representative Buck McKeon
U.S. House of Representatives
2351 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKeon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Davis
13300 Wildrose st.
Trona, CA 93562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20 , Seattle, WA 98107-4137

December 10, 2006 3:52 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20
Seattle, WA 98107-4137

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20 , Seattle, WA 98107-4137

December 10, 2006 3:52 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20
Seattle, WA 98107-4137

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20 , Seattle, WA 98107-4137

December 10, 2006 3:52 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20
Seattle, WA 98107-4137

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20 , Seattle, WA 98107-4137

December 10, 2006 3:52 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20
Seattle, WA 98107-4137

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20 , Seattle, WA 98107-4137

December 10, 2006 3:52 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20
Seattle, WA 98107-4137

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20 , Seattle, WA 98107-4137

December 10, 2006 3:52 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Greiner
2442 NW Market Street #20
Seattle, WA 98107-4137

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Henry M. Hills, III
458 Godby Bottom Rd. , Chapmanville, WV 25508

December 10, 2006 3:47 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Henry M. Hills, III
458 Godby Bottom Rd.
Chapmanville, WV 25508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Henry M. Hills, III
458 Godby Bottom Rd. , Chapmanville, WV 25508

December 10, 2006 3:47 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Henry M. Hills, III
458 Godby Bottom Rd.
Chapmanville, WV 25508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Henry M. Hills, III
458 Godby Bottom Rd. , Chapmanville, WV 25508

December 10, 2006 3:47 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Henry M. Hills, III
458 Godby Bottom Rd.
Chapmanville, WV 25508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Henry M. Hills, III
458 Godby Bottom Rd. , Chapmanville, WV 25508

December 10, 2006 3:47 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Henry M. Hills, III
458 Godby Bottom Rd.
Chapmanville, WV 25508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nicole Walker
2739 Holly Point Blvd , Chesapeake, VA 23325

December 10, 2006 3:43 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nicole Walker
2739 Holly Point Blvd
Chesapeake, VA 23325

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nicole Walker
2739 Holly Point Blvd , Chesapeake, VA 23325

December 10, 2006 3:43 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nicole Walker
2739 Holly Point Blvd
Chesapeake, VA 23325

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nicole Walker
2739 Holly Point Blvd , Chesapeake, VA 23325

December 10, 2006 3:43 PM

Representative Randy Forbes
U.S. House of Representatives
307 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Forbes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nicole Walker
2739 Holly Point Blvd
Chesapeake, VA 23325

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nicole Walker
2739 Holly Point Blvd , Chesapeake, VA 23325

December 10, 2006 3:43 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nicole Walker
2739 Holly Point Blvd
Chesapeake, VA 23325

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave. , cypress, CA 90630

December 10, 2006 3:42 PM

Representative Ed Royce
U.S. House of Representatives
2202 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Royce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave.
cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave. , cypress, CA 90630

December 10, 2006 3:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave.
cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave. , cypress, CA 90630

December 10, 2006 3:42 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave.
cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave. , cypress, CA 90630

December 10, 2006 3:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave.
cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave. , cypress, CA 90630

December 10, 2006 3:42 PM

Representative Ed Royce
U.S. House of Representatives
2202 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Royce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Landry
6141 Ferne Ave.
cypress, CA 90630

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219 , Woodland, CA 95695

December 10, 2006 3:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219
Woodland, CA 95695

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219 , Woodland, CA 95695

December 10, 2006 3:41 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219
Woodland, CA 95695

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219 , Woodland, CA 95695

December 10, 2006 3:41 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219
Woodland, CA 95695

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219 , Woodland, CA 95695

December 10, 2006 3:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219
Woodland, CA 95695

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219 , Woodland, CA 95695

December 10, 2006 3:41 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
S. Carpenter
436 Main Street #219
Woodland, CA 95695

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e. , slc, UT 84105

December 10, 2006 3:36 PM

Senator Robert Bennett
U.S. Senate
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e.
slc, UT 84105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e. , slc, UT 84105

December 10, 2006 3:36 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch
U.S. Senate
104 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e.
slc, UT 84105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e. , slc, UT 84105

December 10, 2006 3:36 PM

Representative Jim Matheson
U.S. House of Representatives
1222 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matheson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e.
slc, UT 84105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e. , slc, UT 84105

December 10, 2006 3:36 PM

Senator Robert Bennett
U.S. Senate
431 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bennett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e.
slc, UT 84105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e. , slc, UT 84105

December 10, 2006 3:36 PM

Senator Orrin Hatch
U.S. Senate
104 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hatch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e.
slc, UT 84105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e. , slc, UT 84105

December 10, 2006 3:36 PM

Representative Jim Matheson
U.S. House of Representatives
1222 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matheson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andrew peggs
1934 s. 1000 e.
slc, UT 84105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct. , Cedar Crest, NM 87008

December 10, 2006 3:33 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct.
Cedar Crest, NM 87008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct. , Cedar Crest, NM 87008

December 10, 2006 3:33 PM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct.
Cedar Crest, NM 87008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct. , Cedar Crest, NM 87008

December 10, 2006 3:33 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct.
Cedar Crest, NM 87008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct. , Cedar Crest, NM 87008

December 10, 2006 3:33 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct.
Cedar Crest, NM 87008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct. , Cedar Crest, NM 87008

December 10, 2006 3:33 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct.
Cedar Crest, NM 87008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct. , Cedar Crest, NM 87008

December 10, 2006 3:33 PM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Bortz
8 Twin Tree Ct.
Cedar Crest, NM 87008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Allyson Pease
715 E 50th , Austin, TX 78751

December 10, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Allyson Pease
715 E 50th
Austin, TX 78751

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Allyson Pease
715 E 50th , Austin, TX 78751

December 10, 2006 3:32 PM

Representative Lloyd Doggett
U.S. House of Representatives
201 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doggett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Allyson Pease
715 E 50th
Austin, TX 78751

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Allyson Pease
715 E 50th , Austin, TX 78751

December 10, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Allyson Pease
715 E 50th
Austin, TX 78751

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Allyson Pease
715 E 50th , Austin, TX 78751

December 10, 2006 3:32 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Allyson Pease
715 E 50th
Austin, TX 78751

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Allyson Pease
715 E 50th , Austin, TX 78751

December 10, 2006 3:32 PM

Representative Lloyd Doggett
U.S. House of Representatives
201 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doggett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Allyson Pease
715 E 50th
Austin, TX 78751

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jim anderson
849 e washington ave , madison, WI 53703

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jim anderson
849 e washington ave
madison, WI 53703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd , Green Bay, WI 54311

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd
Green Bay, WI 54311

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd , Green Bay, WI 54311

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Representative Mark Green
U.S. House of Representatives
1314 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Green,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd
Green Bay, WI 54311

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jim anderson
849 e washington ave , madison, WI 53703

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jim anderson
849 e washington ave
madison, WI 53703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jim anderson
849 e washington ave , madison, WI 53703

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jim anderson
849 e washington ave
madison, WI 53703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd , Green Bay, WI 54311

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd
Green Bay, WI 54311

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd , Green Bay, WI 54311

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd
Green Bay, WI 54311

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jim anderson
849 e washington ave , madison, WI 53703

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jim anderson
849 e washington ave
madison, WI 53703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd , Green Bay, WI 54311

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd
Green Bay, WI 54311

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jim anderson
849 e washington ave , madison, WI 53703

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jim anderson
849 e washington ave
madison, WI 53703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd , Green Bay, WI 54311

December 10, 2006 3:31 PM

Representative Mark Green
U.S. House of Representatives
1314 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Green,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Gina Rollins
2686-13 Humboldt Rd
Green Bay, WI 54311

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove , Round Rock, TX 78664

December 10, 2006 3:30 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove
Round Rock, TX 78664

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove , Round Rock, TX 78664

December 10, 2006 3:30 PM

Representative John Carter
U.S. House of Representatives
408 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove
Round Rock, TX 78664

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove , Round Rock, TX 78664

December 10, 2006 3:30 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove
Round Rock, TX 78664

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove , Round Rock, TX 78664

December 10, 2006 3:30 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove
Round Rock, TX 78664

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove , Round Rock, TX 78664

December 10, 2006 3:30 PM

Representative John Carter
U.S. House of Representatives
408 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Raymond Jr Malkowski
1009 Long Cove
Round Rock, TX 78664

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive , Fort Bragg, CA 95437

December 10, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive , Fort Bragg, CA 95437

December 10, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive , Fort Bragg, CA 95437

December 10, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive , Fort Bragg, CA 95437

December 10, 2006 3:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive , Fort Bragg, CA 95437

December 10, 2006 3:29 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Toni Rizzo
14795 Mitchell Creek Drive
Fort Bragg, CA 95437

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gwynne Chesher
12344 Quercus Lane , Wellington, FL 33414

December 10, 2006 3:28 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gwynne Chesher
12344 Quercus Lane
Wellington, FL 33414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gwynne Chesher
12344 Quercus Lane , Wellington, FL 33414

December 10, 2006 3:28 PM

Representative Vacant Vacant
U.S. House of Representatives
104 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Vacant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gwynne Chesher
12344 Quercus Lane
Wellington, FL 33414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gwynne Chesher
12344 Quercus Lane , Wellington, FL 33414

December 10, 2006 3:28 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gwynne Chesher
12344 Quercus Lane
Wellington, FL 33414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gwynne Chesher
12344 Quercus Lane , Wellington, FL 33414

December 10, 2006 3:28 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gwynne Chesher
12344 Quercus Lane
Wellington, FL 33414

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Allen
906 S. East Ave , Tahlequah, OK 74464

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Representative Dan Boren
U.S. House of Representatives
216 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Allen
906 S. East Ave
Tahlequah, OK 74464

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Allen
906 S. East Ave , Tahlequah, OK 74464

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Allen
906 S. East Ave
Tahlequah, OK 74464

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave. , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave.
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave. , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Representative James Walsh
U.S. House of Representatives
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave.
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave. , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave.
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Allen
906 S. East Ave , Tahlequah, OK 74464

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Senator Tom Coburn
U.S. Senate
172 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Allen
906 S. East Ave
Tahlequah, OK 74464

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave. , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave.
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave. , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Representative James Walsh
U.S. House of Representatives
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita O'Brien
872 Washington Ave.
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Allen
906 S. East Ave , Tahlequah, OK 74464

December 10, 2006 3:25 PM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Allen
906 S. East Ave
Tahlequah, OK 74464

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way , Long Beach, CA 90803

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way
Long Beach, CA 90803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way , Long Beach, CA 90803

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Representative Dana Rohrabacher
U.S. House of Representatives
2338 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rohrabacher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way
Long Beach, CA 90803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way , Long Beach, CA 90803

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Representative Dana Rohrabacher
U.S. House of Representatives
2338 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rohrabacher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way
Long Beach, CA 90803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street , Wantagh, NY 11793

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street
Wantagh, NY 11793

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street , Wantagh, NY 11793

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Representative Peter King
U.S. House of Representatives
436 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative King,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street
Wantagh, NY 11793

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way , Long Beach, CA 90803

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way
Long Beach, CA 90803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way , Long Beach, CA 90803

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marisela Mendoza
5760 E. Appian Way
Long Beach, CA 90803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street , Wantagh, NY 11793

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street
Wantagh, NY 11793

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street , Wantagh, NY 11793

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street
Wantagh, NY 11793

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street , Wantagh, NY 11793

December 10, 2006 3:24 PM

Representative Peter King
U.S. House of Representatives
436 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative King,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Danielle Rosenstein
2045 Pine street
Wantagh, NY 11793

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nicolas Lopez
4700 N. Racine Ave., #305 , Chicago, IL 60640

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger is critically important to protect consumers.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which shows a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives Mr. Dingell (D-Mich.) and Mr. Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Mr. Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Large issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Mr. Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Lopez
4700 N. Racine Ave., #305
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nicolas Lopez
4700 N. Racine Ave., #305 , Chicago, IL 60640

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger is critically important to protect consumers.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which shows a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives Mr. Dingell (D-Mich.) and Mr. Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Mr. Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Large issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Mr. Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Lopez
4700 N. Racine Ave., #305
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nicolas Lopez
4700 N. Racine Ave., #305 , Chicago, IL 60640

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger is critically important to protect consumers.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which shows a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives Mr. Dingell (D-Mich.) and Mr. Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Mr. Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Large issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Mr. Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Lopez
4700 N. Racine Ave., #305
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive , Oregon, WI 53575

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive
Oregon, WI 53575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive , Oregon, WI 53575

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive
Oregon, WI 53575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive , Oregon, WI 53575

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive
Oregon, WI 53575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave. PO Box 647, Estancia, NM 87016

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave.
PO Box 647
Estancia, NM 87016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave. PO Box 647, Estancia, NM 87016

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave.
PO Box 647
Estancia, NM 87016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave. PO Box 647, Estancia, NM 87016

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave.
PO Box 647
Estancia, NM 87016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nicolas Lopez
4700 N. Racine Ave., #305 , Chicago, IL 60640

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger is critically important to protect consumers.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which shows a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives Mr. Dingell (D-Mich.) and Mr. Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Mr. Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Large issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Mr. Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nicolas Lopez
4700 N. Racine Ave., #305
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave. PO Box 647, Estancia, NM 87016

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave.
PO Box 647
Estancia, NM 87016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave. PO Box 647, Estancia, NM 87016

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave.
PO Box 647
Estancia, NM 87016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave. PO Box 647, Estancia, NM 87016

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roy Pearson
905 Highland Ave.
PO Box 647
Estancia, NM 87016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive , Oregon, WI 53575

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive
Oregon, WI 53575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive , Oregon, WI 53575

December 10, 2006 3:20 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam Balin
859 Pleasant Oak Drive
Oregon, WI 53575

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd , Londonderry, NH 03053

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator Judd Gregg
U.S. Senate
393 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Gregg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd , Londonderry, NH 03053

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Representative Jeb Bradley
U.S. House of Representatives
1218 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bradley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle , Gardnerville, NV 89460

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle
Gardnerville, NV 89460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle , Gardnerville, NV 89460

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle
Gardnerville, NV 89460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle , Gardnerville, NV 89460

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle
Gardnerville, NV 89460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW , Albuquerque, NM 87120

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW , Albuquerque, NM 87120

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW , Albuquerque, NM 87120

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd , Londonderry, NH 03053

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd , Londonderry, NH 03053

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd , Londonderry, NH 03053

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Representative Jeb Bradley
U.S. House of Representatives
1218 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bradley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Thibault
489 Mammoth Rd
Londonderry, NH 03053

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW , Albuquerque, NM 87120

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW , Albuquerque, NM 87120

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW , Albuquerque, NM 87120

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candice Rood
7604 Basin Creek Ct. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle , Gardnerville, NV 89460

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle
Gardnerville, NV 89460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle , Gardnerville, NV 89460

December 10, 2006 3:18 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norah gastelum
970 Bollen Circle
Gardnerville, NV 89460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paula Beneke
1101 Cordova St. #136 , Anchorage, AK 99501

December 10, 2006 3:16 PM

Senator Lisa Murkowski
U.S. Senate
709 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paula Beneke
1101 Cordova St. #136
Anchorage, AK 99501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paula Beneke
1101 Cordova St. #136 , Anchorage, AK 99501

December 10, 2006 3:16 PM

Senator Ted Stevens
U.S. Senate
522 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stevens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paula Beneke
1101 Cordova St. #136
Anchorage, AK 99501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paula Beneke
1101 Cordova St. #136 , Anchorage, AK 99501

December 10, 2006 3:16 PM

Representative Don Young
U.S. House of Representatives
2111 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Young,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paula Beneke
1101 Cordova St. #136
Anchorage, AK 99501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paula Beneke
1101 Cordova St. #136 , Anchorage, AK 99501

December 10, 2006 3:16 PM

Senator Lisa Murkowski
U.S. Senate
709 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paula Beneke
1101 Cordova St. #136
Anchorage, AK 99501

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael thoma
N6722 cty hwy G N6722 cty hwy G, Neillsville, WI 54456

December 10, 2006 3:10 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael thoma
N6722 cty hwy G
N6722 cty hwy G
Neillsville, WI 54456

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael thoma
N6722 cty hwy G N6722 cty hwy G, Neillsville, WI 54456

December 10, 2006 3:10 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael thoma
N6722 cty hwy G
N6722 cty hwy G
Neillsville, WI 54456

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael thoma
N6722 cty hwy G N6722 cty hwy G, Neillsville, WI 54456

December 10, 2006 3:10 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael thoma
N6722 cty hwy G
N6722 cty hwy G
Neillsville, WI 54456

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael thoma
N6722 cty hwy G N6722 cty hwy G, Neillsville, WI 54456

December 10, 2006 3:10 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael thoma
N6722 cty hwy G
N6722 cty hwy G
Neillsville, WI 54456

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cherri L Nelson
21487 Old Mine Road , Los Gatos, CA 95033

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cherri L Nelson
21487 Old Mine Road
Los Gatos, CA 95033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cherri L Nelson
21487 Old Mine Road , Los Gatos, CA 95033

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cherri L Nelson
21487 Old Mine Road
Los Gatos, CA 95033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cherri L Nelson
21487 Old Mine Road , Los Gatos, CA 95033

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cherri L Nelson
21487 Old Mine Road
Los Gatos, CA 95033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cherri L Nelson
21487 Old Mine Road , Los Gatos, CA 95033

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Representative Mike Honda
U.S. House of Representatives
1713 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Honda,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cherri L Nelson
21487 Old Mine Road
Los Gatos, CA 95033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle , Pendleton, SC 29670

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Representative Gresham Barrett
U.S. House of Representatives
1523 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Barrett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle
Pendleton, SC 29670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle , Pendleton, SC 29670

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Senator Jim Demint
U. S. Senate
340 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle
Pendleton, SC 29670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle , Pendleton, SC 29670

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle
Pendleton, SC 29670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle , Pendleton, SC 29670

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle
Pendleton, SC 29670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle , Pendleton, SC 29670

December 10, 2006 3:09 PM

Representative Gresham Barrett
U.S. House of Representatives
1523 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Barrett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Duffy
514 Woodland Circle
Pendleton, SC 29670

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Betty Rood
5450 West Riverside Lane , Ludington, MI 49431

December 10, 2006 3:08 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Betty Rood
5450 West Riverside Lane
Ludington, MI 49431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Betty Rood
5450 West Riverside Lane , Ludington, MI 49431

December 10, 2006 3:08 PM

Representative Pete Hoekstra
U.S. House of Representatives
2234 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hoekstra,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Betty Rood
5450 West Riverside Lane
Ludington, MI 49431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Betty Rood
5450 West Riverside Lane , Ludington, MI 49431

December 10, 2006 3:08 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Betty Rood
5450 West Riverside Lane
Ludington, MI 49431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Betty Rood
5450 West Riverside Lane , Ludington, MI 49431

December 10, 2006 3:08 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Betty Rood
5450 West Riverside Lane
Ludington, MI 49431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Peggy Marrin
2240 Woodland Avenue , Duluth, MN 55803

December 10, 2006 3:06 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Peggy Marrin
2240 Woodland Avenue
Duluth, MN 55803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Peggy Marrin
2240 Woodland Avenue , Duluth, MN 55803

December 10, 2006 3:06 PM

Representative James Oberstar
U.S. House of Representatives
2365 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Oberstar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Peggy Marrin
2240 Woodland Avenue
Duluth, MN 55803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Peggy Marrin
2240 Woodland Avenue , Duluth, MN 55803

December 10, 2006 3:06 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Peggy Marrin
2240 Woodland Avenue
Duluth, MN 55803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Peggy Marrin
2240 Woodland Avenue , Duluth, MN 55803

December 10, 2006 3:06 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Peggy Marrin
2240 Woodland Avenue
Duluth, MN 55803

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Niki & Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr. , Healdsburg, CA 95448

December 10, 2006 3:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Niki %pa_first_name% Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr.
Healdsburg, CA 95448

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Niki & Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr. , Healdsburg, CA 95448

December 10, 2006 3:04 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Niki %pa_first_name% Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr.
Healdsburg, CA 95448

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Niki & Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr. , Healdsburg, CA 95448

December 10, 2006 3:04 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Niki %pa_first_name% Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr.
Healdsburg, CA 95448

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Niki & Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr. , Healdsburg, CA 95448

December 10, 2006 3:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Niki %pa_first_name% Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr.
Healdsburg, CA 95448

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Niki & Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr. , Healdsburg, CA 95448

December 10, 2006 3:04 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Niki %pa_first_name% Mr. Rebel Fagin
245 Alexandria Dr.
Healdsburg, CA 95448

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct. , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 10, 2006 3:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct.
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct. , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 10, 2006 3:01 PM

Representative Dan Lungren
U. S. House of Representatives
2448 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lungren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct.
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct. , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 10, 2006 3:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct.
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct. , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 10, 2006 3:01 PM

Representative Dan Lungren
U. S. House of Representatives
2448 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lungren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct.
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct. , Sacramento, CA 95842

December 10, 2006 3:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Kreutzer
4819 Acclaim Ct.
Sacramento, CA 95842

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave. , Milwaukee, WI 53202

December 10, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave.
Milwaukee, WI 53202

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave. , Milwaukee, WI 53202

December 10, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave.
Milwaukee, WI 53202

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave. , Milwaukee, WI 53202

December 10, 2006 2:59 PM

Representative Gwen Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1408 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave.
Milwaukee, WI 53202

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave. , Milwaukee, WI 53202

December 10, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave.
Milwaukee, WI 53202

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave. , Milwaukee, WI 53202

December 10, 2006 2:59 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave.
Milwaukee, WI 53202

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave. , Milwaukee, WI 53202

December 10, 2006 2:59 PM

Representative Gwen Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1408 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lynn Connolly
1034 E. Ogden Ave.
Milwaukee, WI 53202

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Ames
8579 Walnut Drive , Los Angeles, CA 90046

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Ames
8579 Walnut Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90046

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave. , Sabina, OH 45169

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Representative Michael Turner
U.S. House of Representatives
1740 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Turner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave.
Sabina, OH 45169

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave. , Batesville, IN 47006

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave.
Batesville, IN 47006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave. , Sabina, OH 45169

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave.
Sabina, OH 45169

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave. , Batesville, IN 47006

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave.
Batesville, IN 47006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave. , Batesville, IN 47006

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Representative Mike Sodrel
U.S. House of Representatives
1508 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sodrel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave.
Batesville, IN 47006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave. , Batesville, IN 47006

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave.
Batesville, IN 47006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave. , Batesville, IN 47006

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Janet Born
326 N. Park Ave.
Batesville, IN 47006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave. , Sabina, OH 45169

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave.
Sabina, OH 45169

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave. , Sabina, OH 45169

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Representative Michael Turner
U.S. House of Representatives
1740 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Turner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave.
Sabina, OH 45169

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Ames
8579 Walnut Drive , Los Angeles, CA 90046

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Ames
8579 Walnut Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90046

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave. , Sabina, OH 45169

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Teresa Sanderson
320 Rose Ave.
Sabina, OH 45169

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patrick MacRoy
1431 W. Summerdale Ave #3B, Chicago, IL 60640

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patrick MacRoy
1431 W. Summerdale Ave
#3B
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patrick MacRoy
1431 W. Summerdale Ave #3B, Chicago, IL 60640

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patrick MacRoy
1431 W. Summerdale Ave
#3B
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patrick MacRoy
1431 W. Summerdale Ave #3B, Chicago, IL 60640

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patrick MacRoy
1431 W. Summerdale Ave
#3B
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Ames
8579 Walnut Drive , Los Angeles, CA 90046

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Ames
8579 Walnut Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90046

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neal Ames
8579 Walnut Drive , Los Angeles, CA 90046

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Representative Henry Waxman
U.S. House of Representatives
2204 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Waxman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neal Ames
8579 Walnut Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90046

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patrick MacRoy
1431 W. Summerdale Ave #3B, Chicago, IL 60640

December 10, 2006 2:58 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patrick MacRoy
1431 W. Summerdale Ave
#3B
Chicago, IL 60640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William & Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle , East Lansing, MI 48823

December 10, 2006 2:56 PM

Representative Mike Rogers
U.S. House of Representatives
133 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rogers,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William %pa_first_name% Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle
East Lansing, MI 48823

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William & Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle , East Lansing, MI 48823

December 10, 2006 2:56 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William %pa_first_name% Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle
East Lansing, MI 48823

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William & Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle , East Lansing, MI 48823

December 10, 2006 2:56 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William %pa_first_name% Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle
East Lansing, MI 48823

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William & Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle , East Lansing, MI 48823

December 10, 2006 2:56 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William %pa_first_name% Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle
East Lansing, MI 48823

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William & Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle , East Lansing, MI 48823

December 10, 2006 2:56 PM

Representative Mike Rogers
U.S. House of Representatives
133 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rogers,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William %pa_first_name% Emily Haggerty
313 Brookfield Circle
East Lansing, MI 48823

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205 , Los Angeles, CA 90038

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205
Los Angeles, CA 90038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Tamargo
600 sw 78 ave , Miami, FL 33144

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Tamargo
600 sw 78 ave
Miami, FL 33144

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205 , Los Angeles, CA 90038

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Representative Diane Watson
U.S. House of Representatives
125 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Watson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205
Los Angeles, CA 90038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Tamargo
600 sw 78 ave , Miami, FL 33144

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Tamargo
600 sw 78 ave
Miami, FL 33144

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205 , Los Angeles, CA 90038

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205
Los Angeles, CA 90038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205 , Los Angeles, CA 90038

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Representative Diane Watson
U.S. House of Representatives
125 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Watson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205
Los Angeles, CA 90038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205 , Los Angeles, CA 90038

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Olson
1230 N June St #205
Los Angeles, CA 90038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Tamargo
600 sw 78 ave , Miami, FL 33144

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Representative Ileana Ros-Lehtinen
U.S. House of Representatives
2160 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ros-Lehtinen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Tamargo
600 sw 78 ave
Miami, FL 33144

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Tamargo
600 sw 78 ave , Miami, FL 33144

December 10, 2006 2:54 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Tamargo
600 sw 78 ave
Miami, FL 33144

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct. , Bakersfield, CA 93309

December 10, 2006 2:53 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct.
Bakersfield, CA 93309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct. , Bakersfield, CA 93309

December 10, 2006 2:53 PM

Representative Bill Thomas
U.S. House of Representatives
2208 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thomas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct.
Bakersfield, CA 93309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct. , Bakersfield, CA 93309

December 10, 2006 2:53 PM

Representative Bill Thomas
U.S. House of Representatives
2208 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thomas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct.
Bakersfield, CA 93309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct. , Bakersfield, CA 93309

December 10, 2006 2:53 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct.
Bakersfield, CA 93309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct. , Bakersfield, CA 93309

December 10, 2006 2:53 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christian Vazquez
3716 Halford Ct.
Bakersfield, CA 93309

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Giovanni Prinzivalli
2604 Larch Way , Antioch, CA 94509

December 10, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Giovanni Prinzivalli
2604 Larch Way
Antioch, CA 94509

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Giovanni Prinzivalli
2604 Larch Way , Antioch, CA 94509

December 10, 2006 2:52 PM

Representative Ellen Tauscher
U.S. House of Representatives
1034 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tauscher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Giovanni Prinzivalli
2604 Larch Way
Antioch, CA 94509

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Giovanni Prinzivalli
2604 Larch Way , Antioch, CA 94509

December 10, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Giovanni Prinzivalli
2604 Larch Way
Antioch, CA 94509

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Giovanni Prinzivalli
2604 Larch Way , Antioch, CA 94509

December 10, 2006 2:52 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Giovanni Prinzivalli
2604 Larch Way
Antioch, CA 94509

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT , NEW BERN, NC 28562

December 10, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT
NEW BERN, NC 28562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT , NEW BERN, NC 28562

December 10, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT
NEW BERN, NC 28562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT , NEW BERN, NC 28562

December 10, 2006 2:50 PM

Representative Walter Jones
U.S. House of Representatives
422 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jones,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT
NEW BERN, NC 28562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT , NEW BERN, NC 28562

December 10, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT
NEW BERN, NC 28562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT , NEW BERN, NC 28562

December 10, 2006 2:50 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT
NEW BERN, NC 28562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT , NEW BERN, NC 28562

December 10, 2006 2:50 PM

Representative Walter Jones
U.S. House of Representatives
422 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jones,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BARBARA WILLIAMS
203 NYON COURT
NEW BERN, NC 28562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr. , West Bloomfield, MI 48322

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Representative Joe Knollenberg
U.S. House of Representatives
2349 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Knollenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr.
West Bloomfield, MI 48322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr. , West Bloomfield, MI 48322

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr.
West Bloomfield, MI 48322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr. , West Bloomfield, MI 48322

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr.
West Bloomfield, MI 48322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr. , West Bloomfield, MI 48322

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr.
West Bloomfield, MI 48322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr. , West Bloomfield, MI 48322

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Representative Joe Knollenberg
U.S. House of Representatives
2349 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Knollenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Frank Bologna
7623 Watford Dr.
West Bloomfield, MI 48322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Van Heertum
2318 28th Street, #B , Santa Monica, CA 90405

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Van Heertum
2318 28th Street, #B
Santa Monica, CA 90405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jibraeel Zaidi
2713 York Court , Southlake, TX 76092

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jibraeel Zaidi
2713 York Court
Southlake, TX 76092

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Locke
2282 Stow St. , Simi Valley, CA 93063-3510

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Locke
2282 Stow St.
Simi Valley, CA 93063-3510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jibraeel Zaidi
2713 York Court , Southlake, TX 76092

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jibraeel Zaidi
2713 York Court
Southlake, TX 76092

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Van Heertum
2318 28th Street, #B , Santa Monica, CA 90405

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Van Heertum
2318 28th Street, #B
Santa Monica, CA 90405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Van Heertum
2318 28th Street, #B , Santa Monica, CA 90405

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Representative Henry Waxman
U.S. House of Representatives
2204 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Waxman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Van Heertum
2318 28th Street, #B
Santa Monica, CA 90405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jibraeel Zaidi
2713 York Court , Southlake, TX 76092

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Representative Kenny Marchant
U.S. House of Representatives
501 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Marchant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jibraeel Zaidi
2713 York Court
Southlake, TX 76092

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jibraeel Zaidi
2713 York Court , Southlake, TX 76092

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jibraeel Zaidi
2713 York Court
Southlake, TX 76092

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Van Heertum
2318 28th Street, #B , Santa Monica, CA 90405

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Van Heertum
2318 28th Street, #B
Santa Monica, CA 90405

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St. , Seattle, WA 98115

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St.
Seattle, WA 98115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St. , Seattle, WA 98115

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St.
Seattle, WA 98115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St. , Seattle, WA 98115

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St.
Seattle, WA 98115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Locke
2282 Stow St. , Simi Valley, CA 93063-3510

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Representative Elton Gallegly
U.S. House of Representatives
2427 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gallegly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Locke
2282 Stow St.
Simi Valley, CA 93063-3510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Locke
2282 Stow St. , Simi Valley, CA 93063-3510

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Locke
2282 Stow St.
Simi Valley, CA 93063-3510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Locke
2282 Stow St. , Simi Valley, CA 93063-3510

December 10, 2006 2:48 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Locke
2282 Stow St.
Simi Valley, CA 93063-3510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St. , Seattle, WA 98115

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St.
Seattle, WA 98115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St. , Seattle, WA 98115

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St.
Seattle, WA 98115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St. , Seattle, WA 98115

December 10, 2006 2:46 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Blumenthal
2812 NE 62nd St.
Seattle, WA 98115

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

timothy mills
1674scurrypike same, bloomington, IN 47403

December 10, 2006 2:43 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
timothy mills
1674scurrypike
same
bloomington, IN 47403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

timothy mills
1674scurrypike same, bloomington, IN 47403

December 10, 2006 2:43 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
timothy mills
1674scurrypike
same
bloomington, IN 47403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

timothy mills
1674scurrypike same, bloomington, IN 47403

December 10, 2006 2:43 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
timothy mills
1674scurrypike
same
bloomington, IN 47403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

timothy mills
1674scurrypike same, bloomington, IN 47403

December 10, 2006 2:43 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
timothy mills
1674scurrypike
same
bloomington, IN 47403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Haroun Serang
1150 Vancouver Ave , Burlingame, CA 94010

December 10, 2006 2:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Haroun Serang
1150 Vancouver Ave
Burlingame, CA 94010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Haroun Serang
1150 Vancouver Ave , Burlingame, CA 94010

December 10, 2006 2:42 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Haroun Serang
1150 Vancouver Ave
Burlingame, CA 94010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Haroun Serang
1150 Vancouver Ave , Burlingame, CA 94010

December 10, 2006 2:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Haroun Serang
1150 Vancouver Ave
Burlingame, CA 94010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Haroun Serang
1150 Vancouver Ave , Burlingame, CA 94010

December 10, 2006 2:42 PM

Representative Tom Lantos
U.S. House of Representatives
2413 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lantos,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Haroun Serang
1150 Vancouver Ave
Burlingame, CA 94010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Bunch
5814 Jason , Houston, TX 77074

December 10, 2006 2:40 PM

Representative John Culberson
U.S. House of Representatives
1728 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Culberson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Bunch
5814 Jason
Houston, TX 77074

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Bunch
5814 Jason , Houston, TX 77074

December 10, 2006 2:40 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Bunch
5814 Jason
Houston, TX 77074

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Bunch
5814 Jason , Houston, TX 77074

December 10, 2006 2:40 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Bunch
5814 Jason
Houston, TX 77074

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Bunch
5814 Jason , Houston, TX 77074

December 10, 2006 2:40 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Bunch
5814 Jason
Houston, TX 77074

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Rounds
PO Box 275 , Lyon Mountain, NY 12952

December 10, 2006 2:28 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Rounds
PO Box 275
Lyon Mountain, NY 12952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Rounds
PO Box 275 , Lyon Mountain, NY 12952

December 10, 2006 2:28 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Rounds
PO Box 275
Lyon Mountain, NY 12952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Rounds
PO Box 275 , Lyon Mountain, NY 12952

December 10, 2006 2:28 PM

Representative John McHugh
U.S. House of Representatives
2333 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McHugh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Rounds
PO Box 275
Lyon Mountain, NY 12952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Rounds
PO Box 275 , Lyon Mountain, NY 12952

December 10, 2006 2:28 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Rounds
PO Box 275
Lyon Mountain, NY 12952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road , Huber Heights, OH 45424

December 10, 2006 2:27 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road
Huber Heights, OH 45424

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road , Huber Heights, OH 45424

December 10, 2006 2:27 PM

Representative John Boehner
U.S. House of Representatives
1011 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boehner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road
Huber Heights, OH 45424

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road , Huber Heights, OH 45424

December 10, 2006 2:27 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road
Huber Heights, OH 45424

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road , Huber Heights, OH 45424

December 10, 2006 2:27 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road
Huber Heights, OH 45424

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road , Huber Heights, OH 45424

December 10, 2006 2:27 PM

Representative John Boehner
U.S. House of Representatives
1011 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boehner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Moore
8787 Taylorsville Road
Huber Heights, OH 45424

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue , Fresno, CA 93710

December 10, 2006 2:26 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue
Fresno, CA 93710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue , Fresno, CA 93710

December 10, 2006 2:26 PM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue
Fresno, CA 93710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue , Fresno, CA 93710

December 10, 2006 2:26 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue
Fresno, CA 93710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue , Fresno, CA 93710

December 10, 2006 2:26 PM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue
Fresno, CA 93710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue , Fresno, CA 93710

December 10, 2006 2:26 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Baranoff Eugenie
5487 North Millbrook Avenue
Fresno, CA 93710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave , Portland, OR 97202-2604

December 10, 2006 2:25 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-2604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave , Portland, OR 97202-2604

December 10, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-2604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave , Portland, OR 97202-2604

December 10, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-2604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave , Portland, OR 97202-2604

December 10, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-2604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave , Portland, OR 97202-2604

December 10, 2006 2:25 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-2604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave , Portland, OR 97202-2604

December 10, 2006 2:25 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith K. Litt
3115 SE 6th Ave
Portland, OR 97202-2604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D , Williamstown, MA 01267

December 10, 2006 2:22 PM

Representative John Olver
U.S. House of Representatives
1111 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D
Williamstown, MA 01267

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D , Williamstown, MA 01267

December 10, 2006 2:22 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D
Williamstown, MA 01267

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D , Williamstown, MA 01267

December 10, 2006 2:22 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D
Williamstown, MA 01267

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D , Williamstown, MA 01267

December 10, 2006 2:22 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D
Williamstown, MA 01267

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D , Williamstown, MA 01267

December 10, 2006 2:22 PM

Representative John Olver
U.S. House of Representatives
1111 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher Liguori
2902 Baxter Hall Building D
Williamstown, MA 01267

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street , Burien, WA 98166

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street
Burien, WA 98166

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

john wiborg
2606 se 19th st. , Des Moines, IA 50320

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Senator Tom Harkin
U.S. Senate
731 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
john wiborg
2606 se 19th st.
Des Moines, IA 50320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

john wiborg
2606 se 19th st. , Des Moines, IA 50320

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Representative Leonard Boswell
U.S. House of Representatives
1427 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boswell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
john wiborg
2606 se 19th st.
Des Moines, IA 50320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street , Burien, WA 98166

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street
Burien, WA 98166

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street , Burien, WA 98166

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Representative Adam Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
227 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street
Burien, WA 98166

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

john wiborg
2606 se 19th st. , Des Moines, IA 50320

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
john wiborg
2606 se 19th st.
Des Moines, IA 50320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

john wiborg
2606 se 19th st. , Des Moines, IA 50320

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
john wiborg
2606 se 19th st.
Des Moines, IA 50320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

john wiborg
2606 se 19th st. , Des Moines, IA 50320

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Representative Leonard Boswell
U.S. House of Representatives
1427 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boswell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
john wiborg
2606 se 19th st.
Des Moines, IA 50320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street , Burien, WA 98166

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street
Burien, WA 98166

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street , Burien, WA 98166

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street
Burien, WA 98166

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street , Burien, WA 98166

December 10, 2006 2:19 PM

Representative Adam Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
227 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elliott Hindman
1310 SW 147th Street
Burien, WA 98166

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n , SEATTLE, WA 98103

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n
SEATTLE, WA 98103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Brossia
300 4th St. , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Brossia
300 4th St.
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Brossia
300 4th St. , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Brossia
300 4th St.
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Brossia
300 4th St. , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Brossia
300 4th St.
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n , SEATTLE, WA 98103

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n
SEATTLE, WA 98103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n , SEATTLE, WA 98103

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n
SEATTLE, WA 98103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Brossia
300 4th St. , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Brossia
300 4th St.
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Brossia
300 4th St. , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Brossia
300 4th St.
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Brossia
300 4th St. , Lake Oswego, OR 97034

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Brossia
300 4th St.
Lake Oswego, OR 97034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n , SEATTLE, WA 98103

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n
SEATTLE, WA 98103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n , SEATTLE, WA 98103

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n
SEATTLE, WA 98103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n , SEATTLE, WA 98103

December 10, 2006 2:18 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
PATRICK HART
3727 bagley ave n
SEATTLE, WA 98103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St , Los Osos, CA 934002

December 10, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

NET NEUTRALITY IS THE THREAD THAT WILL BRING AMERICANS TOGETHER.
TO CLASSIFY USERS AS TO THEIR FINANCIAL STANDING WILL ONLY DIVIDE THE HAVE NOTS FROM THE HAVE IT ALLS.
The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St
Los Osos, CA 934002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St , Los Osos, CA 934002

December 10, 2006 2:16 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

NET NEUTRALITY IS THE THREAD THAT WILL BRING AMERICANS TOGETHER.
TO CLASSIFY USERS AS TO THEIR FINANCIAL STANDING WILL ONLY DIVIDE THE HAVE NOTS FROM THE HAVE IT ALLS.
The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St
Los Osos, CA 934002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St , Los Osos, CA 934002

December 10, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

NET NEUTRALITY IS THE THREAD THAT WILL BRING AMERICANS TOGETHER.
TO CLASSIFY USERS AS TO THEIR FINANCIAL STANDING WILL ONLY DIVIDE THE HAVE NOTS FROM THE HAVE IT ALLS.
The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St
Los Osos, CA 934002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St , Los Osos, CA 934002

December 10, 2006 2:16 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

NET NEUTRALITY IS THE THREAD THAT WILL BRING AMERICANS TOGETHER.
TO CLASSIFY USERS AS TO THEIR FINANCIAL STANDING WILL ONLY DIVIDE THE HAVE NOTS FROM THE HAVE IT ALLS.
The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St
Los Osos, CA 934002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St , Los Osos, CA 934002

December 10, 2006 2:16 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

NET NEUTRALITY IS THE THREAD THAT WILL BRING AMERICANS TOGETHER.
TO CLASSIFY USERS AS TO THEIR FINANCIAL STANDING WILL ONLY DIVIDE THE HAVE NOTS FROM THE HAVE IT ALLS.
The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and

Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Eckkardt
1312 8th St
Los Osos, CA 934002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S , Birmingham, AL 35205

December 10, 2006 2:11 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S
Birmingham, AL 35205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S , Birmingham, AL 35205

December 10, 2006 2:11 PM

Representative Artur Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
208 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S
Birmingham, AL 35205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S , Birmingham, AL 35205

December 10, 2006 2:11 PM

Senator Jeff Sessions
U.S. Senate
335 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S
Birmingham, AL 35205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S , Birmingham, AL 35205

December 10, 2006 2:11 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S
Birmingham, AL 35205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S , Birmingham, AL 35205

December 10, 2006 2:11 PM

Representative Artur Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
208 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tania Adams
1724 11th Pl S
Birmingham, AL 35205

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Melissa Garrison
PO Box 163 , Welches, OR 97067

December 10, 2006 2:07 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Melissa Garrison
PO Box 163
Welches, OR 97067

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Melissa Garrison
PO Box 163 , Welches, OR 97067

December 10, 2006 2:07 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Melissa Garrison
PO Box 163
Welches, OR 97067

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Melissa Garrison
PO Box 163 , Welches, OR 97067

December 10, 2006 2:07 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Melissa Garrison
PO Box 163
Welches, OR 97067

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Diehl
5 Mill Rd , Fairport, NY 14450-3113

December 10, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Diehl
5 Mill Rd
Fairport, NY 14450-3113

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 7:53 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 7:53 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl. , Burlington, VT 05401

December 10, 2006 7:53 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne LaFreniere
50 Fletcher Pl.
Burlington, VT 05401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462 , Fox Lake, WI 53933

December 10, 2006 7:51 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462
Fox Lake, WI 53933

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462 , Fox Lake, WI 53933

December 10, 2006 7:51 PM

Representative Tom Petri
U.S. House of Representatives
2462 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Petri,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462
Fox Lake, WI 53933

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462 , Fox Lake, WI 53933

December 10, 2006 7:51 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462
Fox Lake, WI 53933

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462 , Fox Lake, WI 53933

December 10, 2006 7:51 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462
Fox Lake, WI 53933

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462 , Fox Lake, WI 53933

December 10, 2006 7:51 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462
Fox Lake, WI 53933

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462 , Fox Lake, WI 53933

December 10, 2006 7:51 PM

Representative Tom Petri
U.S. House of Representatives
2462 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Petri,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Samuel Shanklin
PO Box 462
Fox Lake, WI 53933

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road , Yonges Island, SC 29449

December 10, 2006 7:50 PM

Representative Henry Brown
U.S. House of Representatives
1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road
Yonges Island, SC 29449

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road , Yonges Island, SC 29449

December 10, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road
Yonges Island, SC 29449

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road , Yonges Island, SC 29449

December 10, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Jim Demint
U. S. Senate
340 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road
Yonges Island, SC 29449

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road , Yonges Island, SC 29449

December 10, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road
Yonges Island, SC 29449

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road , Yonges Island, SC 29449

December 10, 2006 7:50 PM

Representative Henry Brown
U.S. House of Representatives
1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Lutz
6534 Beagle Club Road
Yonges Island, SC 29449

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave. , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brad Miller
316 S. Madison , Anthony, KS 67003

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brad Miller
316 S. Madison
Anthony, KS 67003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brad Miller
316 S. Madison , Anthony, KS 67003

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Representative Todd Tiahrt
U.S. House of Representatives
2441 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tiahrt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brad Miller
316 S. Madison
Anthony, KS 67003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA 256, LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA
256
LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA 256, LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA
256
LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA 256, LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Representative Jon Porter
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Porter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA
256
LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave. , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave. , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brad Miller
316 S. Madison , Anthony, KS 67003

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brad Miller
316 S. Madison
Anthony, KS 67003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brad Miller
316 S. Madison , Anthony, KS 67003

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brad Miller
316 S. Madison
Anthony, KS 67003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brad Miller
316 S. Madison , Anthony, KS 67003

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brad Miller
316 S. Madison
Anthony, KS 67003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brad Miller
316 S. Madison , Anthony, KS 67003

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Representative Todd Tiahrt
U.S. House of Representatives
2441 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tiahrt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brad Miller
316 S. Madison
Anthony, KS 67003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA 256, LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA
256
LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA 256, LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Representative Jon Porter
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Porter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
BILL DIMICK
6300 WEST TROPICANA
256
LAS VEGAS, NV 89103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave. , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave. , Brooklyn, NY 11215

December 10, 2006 7:45 PM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Stevens
350 6th Ave.
Brooklyn, NY 11215

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE , BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

December 10, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

By not acting on this (following) merger, you may be allowing the industry to regulate my access to the internet. This is wrong. Please act to disallow ISP regulation of my content access. and address the ethnic issues mentioned below.

==========

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE
BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Geraldine Allen
806 Alhambra court , Sugar Land, TX 77478-4003

December 10, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Geraldine Allen
806 Alhambra court
Sugar Land, TX 77478-4003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE , BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

December 10, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

By not acting on this (following) merger, you may be allowing the industry to regulate my access to the internet. This is wrong. Please act to disallow ISP regulation of my content access. and address the ethnic issues mentioned below.

==========

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE
BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE , BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

December 10, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Jim Ramstad
U.S. House of Representatives
103 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ramstad,

By not acting on this (following) merger, you may be allowing the industry to regulate my access to the internet. This is wrong. Please act to disallow ISP regulation of my content access. and address the ethnic issues mentioned below.

==========

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE
BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Geraldine Allen
806 Alhambra court , Sugar Land, TX 77478-4003

December 10, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Geraldine Allen
806 Alhambra court
Sugar Land, TX 77478-4003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE , BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

December 10, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

By not acting on this (following) merger, you may be allowing the industry to regulate my access to the internet. This is wrong. Please act to disallow ISP regulation of my content access. and address the ethnic issues mentioned below.

==========

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE
BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE , BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

December 10, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative Jim Ramstad
U.S. House of Representatives
103 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ramstad,

By not acting on this (following) merger, you may be allowing the industry to regulate my access to the internet. This is wrong. Please act to disallow ISP regulation of my content access. and address the ethnic issues mentioned below.

==========

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Conrad
10132 BROOKSIDE AVE
BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Geraldine Allen
806 Alhambra court , Sugar Land, TX 77478-4003

December 10, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Geraldine Allen
806 Alhambra court
Sugar Land, TX 77478-4003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julia Gilden
29 Hayes St , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 10, 2006 7:39 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julia Gilden
29 Hayes St
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julia Gilden
29 Hayes St , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 10, 2006 7:39 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julia Gilden
29 Hayes St
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julia Gilden
29 Hayes St , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 10, 2006 7:39 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julia Gilden
29 Hayes St
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julia Gilden
29 Hayes St , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 10, 2006 7:39 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julia Gilden
29 Hayes St
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way , Ashland, OR 97520

December 10, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way , Ashland, OR 97520

December 10, 2006 7:34 PM

Representative Greg Walden
U.S. House of Representatives
1210 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way , Ashland, OR 97520

December 10, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way , Ashland, OR 97520

December 10, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way , Ashland, OR 97520

December 10, 2006 7:34 PM

Representative Greg Walden
U.S. House of Representatives
1210 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way , Ashland, OR 97520

December 10, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Abigail Lewis
68 Woolen Way
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203 , Seattle, WA 98116

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203
Seattle, WA 98116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St , Hammond, IN 46327

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Representative Pete Visclosky
U.S. House of Representatives
2256 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Visclosky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St
Hammond, IN 46327

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St , Hammond, IN 46327

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St
Hammond, IN 46327

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St , Hammond, IN 46327

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St
Hammond, IN 46327

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203 , Seattle, WA 98116

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203
Seattle, WA 98116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203 , Seattle, WA 98116

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203
Seattle, WA 98116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St , Hammond, IN 46327

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St
Hammond, IN 46327

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St , Hammond, IN 46327

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St
Hammond, IN 46327

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St , Hammond, IN 46327

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Representative Pete Visclosky
U.S. House of Representatives
2256 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Visclosky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Thompson
511 E 141st St
Hammond, IN 46327

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203 , Seattle, WA 98116

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203
Seattle, WA 98116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203 , Seattle, WA 98116

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203
Seattle, WA 98116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203 , Seattle, WA 98116

December 10, 2006 7:30 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kim Sherman
4405 SW Alaska #203
Seattle, WA 98116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anna buxton
376 Highland Ave. , winchester, MA 01890

December 10, 2006 7:28 PM

Representative Ed Markey
U.S. House of Representatives
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anna buxton
376 Highland Ave.
winchester, MA 01890

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anna buxton
376 Highland Ave. , winchester, MA 01890

December 10, 2006 7:28 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anna buxton
376 Highland Ave.
winchester, MA 01890

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anna buxton
376 Highland Ave. , winchester, MA 01890

December 10, 2006 7:28 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anna buxton
376 Highland Ave.
winchester, MA 01890

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anna buxton
376 Highland Ave. , winchester, MA 01890

December 10, 2006 7:28 PM

Representative Ed Markey
U.S. House of Representatives
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anna buxton
376 Highland Ave.
winchester, MA 01890

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

anna buxton
376 Highland Ave. , winchester, MA 01890

December 10, 2006 7:28 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
anna buxton
376 Highland Ave.
winchester, MA 01890

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mark wichterman
1055 logan 602 , denver, CO 80203

December 10, 2006 7:27 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mark wichterman
1055 logan 602
denver, CO 80203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mark wichterman
1055 logan 602 , denver, CO 80203

December 10, 2006 7:27 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mark wichterman
1055 logan 602
denver, CO 80203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mark wichterman
1055 logan 602 , denver, CO 80203

December 10, 2006 7:27 PM

Representative Diana DeGette
U.S. House of Representatives
1527 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeGette,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mark wichterman
1055 logan 602
denver, CO 80203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mark wichterman
1055 logan 602 , denver, CO 80203

December 10, 2006 7:27 PM

Representative Diana DeGette
U.S. House of Representatives
1527 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeGette,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mark wichterman
1055 logan 602
denver, CO 80203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mark wichterman
1055 logan 602 , denver, CO 80203

December 10, 2006 7:27 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mark wichterman
1055 logan 602
denver, CO 80203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mark wichterman
1055 logan 602 , denver, CO 80203

December 10, 2006 7:27 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mark wichterman
1055 logan 602
denver, CO 80203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 7:24 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 7:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 7:24 PM

Representative James Walsh
U.S. House of Representatives
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 7:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 10, 2006 7:24 PM

Representative James Walsh
U.S. House of Representatives
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deb McAllister
412 Rock Beach Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St. , portland, OR 97212

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St.
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St. , portland, OR 97212

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St.
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Wieldt
1914 Cordova Rd. , Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Wieldt
1914 Cordova Rd.
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St. , portland, OR 97212

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St.
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Wieldt
1914 Cordova Rd. , Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Wieldt
1914 Cordova Rd.
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Wieldt
1914 Cordova Rd. , Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Representative Clay Shaw
U.S. House of Representatives
1236 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shaw,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Wieldt
1914 Cordova Rd.
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St. , portland, OR 97212

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St.
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St. , portland, OR 97212

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St.
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Wieldt
1914 Cordova Rd. , Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Wieldt
1914 Cordova Rd.
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St. , portland, OR 97212

December 10, 2006 7:23 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
josie koehne
37 NE Fargo St.
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steve Weiner
64 MacDougal Street , New York, NY 10012

December 10, 2006 7:21 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steve Weiner
64 MacDougal Street
New York, NY 10012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steve Weiner
64 MacDougal Street , New York, NY 10012

December 10, 2006 7:21 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steve Weiner
64 MacDougal Street
New York, NY 10012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steve Weiner
64 MacDougal Street , New York, NY 10012

December 10, 2006 7:21 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steve Weiner
64 MacDougal Street
New York, NY 10012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steve Weiner
64 MacDougal Street , New York, NY 10012

December 10, 2006 7:21 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steve Weiner
64 MacDougal Street
New York, NY 10012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Nelson
1 Ft. Griswold La. , Mansfield Center, CT 06250

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Nelson
1 Ft. Griswold La.
Mansfield Center, CT 06250

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Brodie
147 Dunn Rd , Coventry, CT 06238

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Brodie
147 Dunn Rd
Coventry, CT 06238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bob glass
125 second rd , summertown, TN 38483

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bob glass
125 second rd
summertown, TN 38483

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Brodie
147 Dunn Rd , Coventry, CT 06238

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Brodie
147 Dunn Rd
Coventry, CT 06238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Brodie
147 Dunn Rd , Coventry, CT 06238

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Brodie
147 Dunn Rd
Coventry, CT 06238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Nelson
1 Ft. Griswold La. , Mansfield Center, CT 06250

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Nelson
1 Ft. Griswold La.
Mansfield Center, CT 06250

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Nelson
1 Ft. Griswold La. , Mansfield Center, CT 06250

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Nelson
1 Ft. Griswold La.
Mansfield Center, CT 06250

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Nelson
1 Ft. Griswold La. , Mansfield Center, CT 06250

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Representative Rob Simmons
U.S. House of Representatives
215 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simmons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Nelson
1 Ft. Griswold La.
Mansfield Center, CT 06250

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bob glass
125 second rd , summertown, TN 38483

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Representative Lincoln Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
410 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bob glass
125 second rd
summertown, TN 38483

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bob glass
125 second rd , summertown, TN 38483

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bob glass
125 second rd
summertown, TN 38483

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Brodie
147 Dunn Rd , Coventry, CT 06238

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Representative Rob Simmons
U.S. House of Representatives
215 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simmons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Brodie
147 Dunn Rd
Coventry, CT 06238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bob glass
125 second rd , summertown, TN 38483

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bob glass
125 second rd
summertown, TN 38483

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bob glass
125 second rd , summertown, TN 38483

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bob glass
125 second rd
summertown, TN 38483

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bob glass
125 second rd , summertown, TN 38483

December 10, 2006 7:17 PM

Representative Lincoln Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
410 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bob glass
125 second rd
summertown, TN 38483

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd. , Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

December 10, 2006 7:14 PM

Representative Joe Schwarz
U. S. House of Representatives
128 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwarz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd.
Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd. , Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

December 10, 2006 7:14 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd.
Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd. , Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

December 10, 2006 7:14 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd.
Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd. , Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

December 10, 2006 7:14 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd.
Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd. , Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

December 10, 2006 7:14 PM

Representative Joe Schwarz
U. S. House of Representatives
128 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwarz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Angell
1539 Morse Rd.
Battle Creek, MI 49014-9775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court , Tampa, FL 33614-4305

December 10, 2006 7:13 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court
Tampa, FL 33614-4305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court , Tampa, FL 33614-4305

December 10, 2006 7:13 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court
Tampa, FL 33614-4305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court , Tampa, FL 33614-4305

December 10, 2006 7:13 PM

Representative Jim Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
409 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court
Tampa, FL 33614-4305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court , Tampa, FL 33614-4305

December 10, 2006 7:13 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court
Tampa, FL 33614-4305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court , Tampa, FL 33614-4305

December 10, 2006 7:13 PM

Representative Jim Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
409 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kirk Butler
2705 Armenia Court
Tampa, FL 33614-4305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive , Centerville, MN 55038

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive
Centerville, MN 55038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road , Florence, MA 01062

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road
Florence, MA 01062

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road , Florence, MA 01062

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Representative Richard Neal
U.S. House of Representatives
2266 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Neal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road
Florence, MA 01062

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive , Centerville, MN 55038

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive
Centerville, MN 55038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road , Florence, MA 01062

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road
Florence, MA 01062

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive , Centerville, MN 55038

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Representative Mark Kennedy
U.S. House of Representatives
1415 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive
Centerville, MN 55038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive , Centerville, MN 55038

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive
Centerville, MN 55038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road , Florence, MA 01062

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road
Florence, MA 01062

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road , Florence, MA 01062

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Representative Richard Neal
U.S. House of Representatives
2266 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Neal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zbigniew Kosior
1269 Westhampton Road
Florence, MA 01062

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive , Centerville, MN 55038

December 10, 2006 7:10 PM

Representative Mark Kennedy
U.S. House of Representatives
1415 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terry Johnson
1784 Peltier Lake Drive
Centerville, MN 55038

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive , boulder, CO 80305

December 10, 2006 7:04 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive
boulder, CO 80305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive , boulder, CO 80305

December 10, 2006 7:04 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive
boulder, CO 80305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive , boulder, CO 80305

December 10, 2006 7:04 PM

Representative Mark Udall
U.S. House of Representatives
240 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive
boulder, CO 80305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive , boulder, CO 80305

December 10, 2006 7:04 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive
boulder, CO 80305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive , boulder, CO 80305

December 10, 2006 7:04 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ilene Kilbride
3150 Lafayette Drive
boulder, CO 80305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd , Towson, MD 21286

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Representative Wayne Gilchrest
U.S. House of Representatives
2245 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gilchrest,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Representative Jon Porter
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Porter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd , Towson, MD 21286

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd , Towson, MD 21286

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd , Towson, MD 21286

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd , Towson, MD 21286

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd , Towson, MD 21286

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Representative Wayne Gilchrest
U.S. House of Representatives
2245 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gilchrest,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Conkwright Jr
1312 Milldam Rd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 10, 2006 7:03 PM

Representative Jon Porter
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Porter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david hann
30066 holdridge , porterville, CA 93257

December 10, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david hann
30066 holdridge
porterville, CA 93257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david hann
30066 holdridge , porterville, CA 93257

December 10, 2006 7:02 PM

Representative Devin Nunes
U.S. House of Representatives
1013 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nunes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david hann
30066 holdridge
porterville, CA 93257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david hann
30066 holdridge , porterville, CA 93257

December 10, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david hann
30066 holdridge
porterville, CA 93257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david hann
30066 holdridge , porterville, CA 93257

December 10, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david hann
30066 holdridge
porterville, CA 93257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david hann
30066 holdridge , porterville, CA 93257

December 10, 2006 7:02 PM

Representative Devin Nunes
U.S. House of Representatives
1013 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nunes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david hann
30066 holdridge
porterville, CA 93257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd , san Gabriel, CA 91775

December 10, 2006 7:00 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd
san Gabriel, CA 91775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd , san Gabriel, CA 91775

December 10, 2006 7:00 PM

Representative David Dreier
U.S. House of Representatives
233 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dreier,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd
san Gabriel, CA 91775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd , san Gabriel, CA 91775

December 10, 2006 7:00 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd
san Gabriel, CA 91775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd , san Gabriel, CA 91775

December 10, 2006 7:00 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd
san Gabriel, CA 91775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd , san Gabriel, CA 91775

December 10, 2006 7:00 PM

Representative David Dreier
U.S. House of Representatives
233 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dreier,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gaurav Walia
8522 Duarte Rd
san Gabriel, CA 91775

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Krystal Marquez
3500 Buchanan St. #2 , Riverside, CA 92503

December 10, 2006 6:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Krystal Marquez
3500 Buchanan St. #2
Riverside, CA 92503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Krystal Marquez
3500 Buchanan St. #2 , Riverside, CA 92503

December 10, 2006 6:58 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Krystal Marquez
3500 Buchanan St. #2
Riverside, CA 92503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Krystal Marquez
3500 Buchanan St. #2 , Riverside, CA 92503

December 10, 2006 6:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Krystal Marquez
3500 Buchanan St. #2
Riverside, CA 92503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Krystal Marquez
3500 Buchanan St. #2 , Riverside, CA 92503

December 10, 2006 6:58 PM

Representative Ken Calvert
U.S. House of Representatives
2201 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Calvert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Krystal Marquez
3500 Buchanan St. #2
Riverside, CA 92503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct. , San Diego, CA 92126

December 10, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct.
San Diego, CA 92126

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct. , San Diego, CA 92126

December 10, 2006 6:56 PM

Representative Brian Bilbray
U.S. House of Representatives
2350 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilbray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct.
San Diego, CA 92126

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct. , San Diego, CA 92126

December 10, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct.
San Diego, CA 92126

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct. , San Diego, CA 92126

December 10, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct.
San Diego, CA 92126

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct. , San Diego, CA 92126

December 10, 2006 6:56 PM

Representative Brian Bilbray
U.S. House of Representatives
2350 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilbray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurie Elms
8503 Duncannon Ct.
San Diego, CA 92126

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336 , Leakey, TX 78873

December 10, 2006 6:53 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Dawn Meyer 750 RR 336 Leakey, TX 78873

Sincerely,
Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336
Leakey, TX 78873

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336 , Leakey, TX 78873

December 10, 2006 6:53 PM

Representative Henry Bonilla
U.S. House of Representatives
2458 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bonilla,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Dawn Meyer 750 RR 336 Leakey, TX 78873

Sincerely,
Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336
Leakey, TX 78873

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336 , Leakey, TX 78873

December 10, 2006 6:53 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Dawn Meyer 750 RR 336 Leakey, TX 78873

Sincerely,
Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336
Leakey, TX 78873

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336 , Leakey, TX 78873

December 10, 2006 6:53 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Dawn Meyer 750 RR 336 Leakey, TX 78873

Sincerely,
Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336
Leakey, TX 78873

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336 , Leakey, TX 78873

December 10, 2006 6:53 PM

Representative Henry Bonilla
U.S. House of Representatives
2458 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bonilla,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Dawn Meyer 750 RR 336 Leakey, TX 78873

Sincerely,
Dawn Meyer
750 RR 336
Leakey, TX 78873

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvia Schleimer
1777 N. Allen Ave. , Pasadena, CA 91104-1612

December 10, 2006 6:52 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Schleimer
1777 N. Allen Ave.
Pasadena, CA 91104-1612

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvia Schleimer
1777 N. Allen Ave. , Pasadena, CA 91104-1612

December 10, 2006 6:52 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Schleimer
1777 N. Allen Ave.
Pasadena, CA 91104-1612

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvia Schleimer
1777 N. Allen Ave. , Pasadena, CA 91104-1612

December 10, 2006 6:52 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Schleimer
1777 N. Allen Ave.
Pasadena, CA 91104-1612

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd , Vienna, ME 04360

December 10, 2006 6:50 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe
U.S. Senate
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd
Vienna, ME 04360

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd , Vienna, ME 04360

December 10, 2006 6:50 PM

Senator Susan Collins
U.S. Senate
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd
Vienna, ME 04360

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd , Vienna, ME 04360

December 10, 2006 6:50 PM

Representative Tom Allen
U.S. House of Representatives
1127 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd
Vienna, ME 04360

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd , Vienna, ME 04360

December 10, 2006 6:50 PM

Senator Susan Collins
U.S. Senate
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd
Vienna, ME 04360

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd , Vienna, ME 04360

December 10, 2006 6:50 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe
U.S. Senate
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd
Vienna, ME 04360

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd , Vienna, ME 04360

December 10, 2006 6:50 PM

Representative Tom Allen
U.S. House of Representatives
1127 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phikip Gregory
95 Townhouse Rd
Vienna, ME 04360

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Foster
151 Dupier Road , Ghent, NY 12075

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Foster
151 Dupier Road
Ghent, NY 12075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Foster
151 Dupier Road , Ghent, NY 12075

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Representative John Sweeney
U.S. House of Representatives
416 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Foster
151 Dupier Road
Ghent, NY 12075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Foster
151 Dupier Road , Ghent, NY 12075

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Foster
151 Dupier Road
Ghent, NY 12075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER , MIAMI, FL 33176

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER
MIAMI, FL 33176

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Foster
151 Dupier Road , Ghent, NY 12075

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Foster
151 Dupier Road
Ghent, NY 12075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506 , Flagstaff, AZ 86002

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506
Flagstaff, AZ 86002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506 , Flagstaff, AZ 86002

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506
Flagstaff, AZ 86002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506 , Flagstaff, AZ 86002

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Representative Rick Renzi
U.S. House of Representatives
418 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Renzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506
Flagstaff, AZ 86002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER , MIAMI, FL 33176

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER
MIAMI, FL 33176

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506 , Flagstaff, AZ 86002

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506
Flagstaff, AZ 86002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER , MIAMI, FL 33176

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER
MIAMI, FL 33176

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER , MIAMI, FL 33176

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Representative Mario Diaz-Balart
U.S. House of Representatives
313 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Diaz-Balart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER
MIAMI, FL 33176

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506 , Flagstaff, AZ 86002

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Representative Rick Renzi
U.S. House of Representatives
418 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Renzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506
Flagstaff, AZ 86002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506 , Flagstaff, AZ 86002

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvan Grey
PO Box 506
Flagstaff, AZ 86002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER , MIAMI, FL 33176

December 10, 2006 6:49 PM

Representative Mario Diaz-Balart
U.S. House of Representatives
313 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Diaz-Balart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
CARMEN LLANO
13981 SW 160 TER
MIAMI, FL 33176

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arthur House
HC 72 Box 29G , Franklin, WV 26807-9307

December 10, 2006 6:48 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arthur House
HC 72 Box 29G
Franklin, WV 26807-9307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arthur House
HC 72 Box 29G , Franklin, WV 26807-9307

December 10, 2006 6:48 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arthur House
HC 72 Box 29G
Franklin, WV 26807-9307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arthur House
HC 72 Box 29G , Franklin, WV 26807-9307

December 10, 2006 6:48 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arthur House
HC 72 Box 29G
Franklin, WV 26807-9307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arthur House
HC 72 Box 29G , Franklin, WV 26807-9307

December 10, 2006 6:48 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arthur House
HC 72 Box 29G
Franklin, WV 26807-9307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Dolbeare
505 Kenilworth Dr. , Schaumburg, IL 60193

December 10, 2006 6:45 PM

Representative Melissa Bean
U. S. House of Representatives
512 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bean,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Dolbeare
505 Kenilworth Dr.
Schaumburg, IL 60193

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Dolbeare
505 Kenilworth Dr. , Schaumburg, IL 60193

December 10, 2006 6:45 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Dolbeare
505 Kenilworth Dr.
Schaumburg, IL 60193

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Dolbeare
505 Kenilworth Dr. , Schaumburg, IL 60193

December 10, 2006 6:45 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Dolbeare
505 Kenilworth Dr.
Schaumburg, IL 60193

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Dolbeare
505 Kenilworth Dr. , Schaumburg, IL 60193

December 10, 2006 6:45 PM

Representative Melissa Bean
U. S. House of Representatives
512 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bean,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Dolbeare
505 Kenilworth Dr.
Schaumburg, IL 60193

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave. Apartment 1, Glendive, MT 59330

December 10, 2006 6:44 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg
U.S. House of Representatives
516 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave.
Apartment 1
Glendive, MT 59330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave. Apartment 1, Glendive, MT 59330

December 10, 2006 6:44 PM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave.
Apartment 1
Glendive, MT 59330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave. Apartment 1, Glendive, MT 59330

December 10, 2006 6:44 PM

Senator Max Baucus
U.S. Senate
511 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Baucus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave.
Apartment 1
Glendive, MT 59330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave. Apartment 1, Glendive, MT 59330

December 10, 2006 6:44 PM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave.
Apartment 1
Glendive, MT 59330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave. Apartment 1, Glendive, MT 59330

December 10, 2006 6:44 PM

Representative Denny Rehberg
U.S. House of Representatives
516 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Simpson
307 S. Nowlan Ave.
Apartment 1
Glendive, MT 59330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street , Greenwood, IN 46142

December 10, 2006 6:40 PM

Representative Steve Buyer
U.S. House of Representatives
2230 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Buyer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street
Greenwood, IN 46142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street , Greenwood, IN 46142

December 10, 2006 6:40 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street
Greenwood, IN 46142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street , Greenwood, IN 46142

December 10, 2006 6:40 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street
Greenwood, IN 46142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street , Greenwood, IN 46142

December 10, 2006 6:40 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street
Greenwood, IN 46142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street , Greenwood, IN 46142

December 10, 2006 6:40 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street
Greenwood, IN 46142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street , Greenwood, IN 46142

December 10, 2006 6:40 PM

Representative Steve Buyer
U.S. House of Representatives
2230 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Buyer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joni Metcalf-Kemp
840 West Main Street
Greenwood, IN 46142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Handler
205 West End Ave, apt 9M, New York, NY 10023

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Handler
205 West End Ave,
apt 9M
New York, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd. , La Mesa, NM 88044

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd.
La Mesa, NM 88044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd. , La Mesa, NM 88044

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Representative Steve Pearce
U.S. House of Representatives
1607 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pearce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd.
La Mesa, NM 88044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Handler
205 West End Ave, apt 9M, New York, NY 10023

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Handler
205 West End Ave,
apt 9M
New York, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd. , La Mesa, NM 88044

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd.
La Mesa, NM 88044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Handler
205 West End Ave, apt 9M, New York, NY 10023

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Handler
205 West End Ave,
apt 9M
New York, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd. , La Mesa, NM 88044

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd.
La Mesa, NM 88044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd. , La Mesa, NM 88044

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Halla
401 E. Sloan Rd.
La Mesa, NM 88044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Handler
205 West End Ave, apt 9M, New York, NY 10023

December 10, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Handler
205 West End Ave,
apt 9M
New York, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Conger
1005 Argyle St , St Paul, MN 55103

December 10, 2006 6:37 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Conger
1005 Argyle St
St Paul, MN 55103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Conger
1005 Argyle St , St Paul, MN 55103

December 10, 2006 6:37 PM

Representative Betty McCollum
U.S. House of Representatives
1029 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McCollum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Conger
1005 Argyle St
St Paul, MN 55103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Conger
1005 Argyle St , St Paul, MN 55103

December 10, 2006 6:37 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Conger
1005 Argyle St
St Paul, MN 55103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathleen Conger
1005 Argyle St , St Paul, MN 55103

December 10, 2006 6:37 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Conger
1005 Argyle St
St Paul, MN 55103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St , Hoboken, NJ 07030

December 10, 2006 6:35 PM

Representative Vacant Vacant
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Vacant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your

colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St
Hoboken, NJ 07030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St , Hoboken, NJ 07030

December 10, 2006 6:35 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St
Hoboken, NJ 07030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St , Hoboken, NJ 07030

December 10, 2006 6:35 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St
Hoboken, NJ 07030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St , Hoboken, NJ 07030

December 10, 2006 6:35 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St
Hoboken, NJ 07030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St , Hoboken, NJ 07030

December 10, 2006 6:35 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Marlowe
1218 Washington St
Hoboken, NJ 07030

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd. , Lemon Grove, CA 91945

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd.
Lemon Grove, CA 91945

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Peden
12272 Jerri , Glen Ellen, CA 95442

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Peden
12272 Jerri
Glen Ellen, CA 95442

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd. , Lemon Grove, CA 91945

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd.
Lemon Grove, CA 91945

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Peden
12272 Jerri , Glen Ellen, CA 95442

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Peden
12272 Jerri
Glen Ellen, CA 95442

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Peden
12272 Jerri , Glen Ellen, CA 95442

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Peden
12272 Jerri
Glen Ellen, CA 95442

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd. , Lemon Grove, CA 91945

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd.
Lemon Grove, CA 91945

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann Peden
12272 Jerri , Glen Ellen, CA 95442

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann Peden
12272 Jerri
Glen Ellen, CA 95442

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd. , Lemon Grove, CA 91945

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd.
Lemon Grove, CA 91945

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd. , Lemon Grove, CA 91945

December 10, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Margarita Moore
2431 Glebe Rd.
Lemon Grove, CA 91945

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave , Brackenridge, PA 15014

December 10, 2006 6:30 PM

Representative Melissa Hart
U.S. House of Representatives
1024 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave
Brackenridge, PA 15014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave , Brackenridge, PA 15014

December 10, 2006 6:30 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave
Brackenridge, PA 15014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave , Brackenridge, PA 15014

December 10, 2006 6:30 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave
Brackenridge, PA 15014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave , Brackenridge, PA 15014

December 10, 2006 6:30 PM

Representative Melissa Hart
U.S. House of Representatives
1024 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave
Brackenridge, PA 15014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave , Brackenridge, PA 15014

December 10, 2006 6:30 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joseph Gumbosky
1079 Ninth Ave
Brackenridge, PA 15014

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Graziosa
PO Box 388 , East Canaan, CT 06024

December 10, 2006 6:28 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Graziosa
PO Box 388
East Canaan, CT 06024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Graziosa
PO Box 388 , East Canaan, CT 06024

December 10, 2006 6:28 PM

Representative Nancy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
2409 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Graziosa
PO Box 388
East Canaan, CT 06024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Graziosa
PO Box 388 , East Canaan, CT 06024

December 10, 2006 6:28 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Graziosa
PO Box 388
East Canaan, CT 06024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Graziosa
PO Box 388 , East Canaan, CT 06024

December 10, 2006 6:28 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Graziosa
PO Box 388
East Canaan, CT 06024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Mortenson
1180 Park Ave. #2 , Ketchikan, AK 99901

December 10, 2006 6:26 PM

Senator Ted Stevens
U.S. Senate
522 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stevens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

The FCC is charged by Congress NOT with facilitating big-money mergers in the interests of the merging corporations, but with protecting the critical democratic interests of the citizens of this country in access to freely available and unbiased information on topics that affect their role as citizens.

The Congress-mandated right or the citizenry to good, accurate, and timely information has the guiding principle of the FCC since its inception. Maintaining the balance of citizen needs versus the desires of media owners has always been a challeng, but the originally-constituted FCC could always be counted on to strike a workable balance.

However, the current FCC, starting with Micheal Powell's tenure as Commissioner, has abrogated the role of pubic guardian in favor of helping those who have financial stakes in shifting the balance to their side. They have slanted and withheld their own and third-party reports on the detrimental effects of massive and cross-market ownership of media, and their corporate bias has been amply demonstrated. Recently they hosted a closed-door briefing on the state of the proposed ATT/Bell South merger, ejecting the one journalist present, to confer public policy matters in private, with one of the parties who stand to profit from the decision. Such an action could not be more corrosive of the FCC's public protection mandate.

The latest move by FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to erase the FCC's own ethics standards, thereby forcing recused Commissioner McDowell to vote the question, in order to circumvent the current tie on the Commission should not be tolerated. Mr. McDowell is acting properly, and Mr. Martin is selling out the public interest for a clear promise of personal gain.

This must not be tolerated. Chairman Martin should be notified in no uncertain terms that

Congress, the wellspring of the FCC's authority, will step in to remove him, should he overstep his mandate.

In the longer run, such a clearly pro-profit Chairman must not remain in charge of protecting the public interest from the profit-making entities he clearly favors. Until he is replaced, however (the obviously principled McDowell might be considered for the position), he must be prevented from doing further damage to the citizenry and our democracy.

Sincerely,
Dan Mortenson
1180 Park Ave. #2
Ketchikan, AK 99901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Mortenson
1180 Park Ave. #2 , Ketchikan, AK 99901

December 10, 2006 6:26 PM

Representative Don Young
U.S. House of Representatives
2111 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Young,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

The FCC is charged by Congress NOT with facilitating big-money mergers in the interests of the merging corporations, but with protecting the critical democratic interests of the citizens of this country in access to freely available and unbiased information on topics that affect their role as citizens.

The Congress-mandated right or the citizenry to good, accurate, and timely information has the guiding principle of the FCC since its inception. Maintaining the balance of citizen needs versus the desires of media owners has always been a challeng, but the originally-constituted FCC could always be counted on to strike a workable balance.

However, the current FCC, starting with Micheal Powell's tenure as Commissioner, has abrogated the role of pubic guardian in favor of helping those who have financial stakes in shifting the balance to their side. They have slanted and withheld their own and third-party reports on the detrimental effects of massive and cross-market ownership of media, and their corporate bias has been amply demonstrated. Recently they hosted a closed-door briefing on the state of the proposed ATT/Bell South merger, ejecting the one journalist present, to confer public policy matters in private, with one of the parties who stand to profit from the decision. Such an action could not be more corrosive of the FCC's public protection mandate.

The latest move by FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to erase the FCC's own ethics standards, thereby forcing recused Commissioner McDowell to vote the question, in order to circumvent the current tie on the Commission should not be tolerated. Mr. McDowell is acting properly, and Mr. Martin is selling out the public interest for a clear promise of personal gain.

This must not be tolerated. Chairman Martin should be notified in no uncertain terms that

Congress, the wellspring of the FCC's authority, will step in to remove him, should he overstep his mandate.

In the longer run, such a clearly pro-profit Chairman must not remain in charge of protecting the public interest from the profit-making entities he clearly favors. Until he is replaced, however (the obviously principled McDowell might be considered for the position), he must be prevented from doing further damage to the citizenry and our democracy.

Sincerely,
Dan Mortenson
1180 Park Ave. #2
Ketchikan, AK 99901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Mortenson
1180 Park Ave. #2 , Ketchikan, AK 99901

December 10, 2006 6:26 PM

Senator Lisa Murkowski
U.S. Senate
709 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

The FCC is charged by Congress NOT with facilitating big-money mergers in the interests of the merging corporations, but with protecting the critical democratic interests of the citizens of this country in access to freely available and unbiased information on topics that affect their role as citizens.

The Congress-mandated right or the citizenry to good, accurate, and timely information has the guiding principle of the FCC since its inception. Maintaining the balance of citizen needs versus the desires of media owners has always been a challeng, but the originally-constituted FCC could always be counted on to strike a workable balance.

However, the current FCC, starting with Micheal Powell's tenure as Commissioner, has abrogated the role of pubic guardian in favor of helping those who have financial stakes in shifting the balance to their side. They have slanted and withheld their own and third-party reports on the detrimental effects of massive and cross-market ownership of media, and their corporate bias has been amply demonstrated. Recently they hosted a closed-door briefing on the state of the proposed ATT/Bell South merger, ejecting the one journalist present, to confer public policy matters in private, with one of the parties who stand to profit from the decision. Such an action could not be more corrosive of the FCC's public protection mandate.

The latest move by FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to erase the FCC's own ethics standards, thereby forcing recused Commissioner McDowell to vote the question, in order to circumvent the current tie on the Commission should not be tolerated. Mr. McDowell is acting properly, and Mr. Martin is selling out the public interest for a clear promise of personal gain.

This must not be tolerated. Chairman Martin should be notified in no uncertain terms that

Congress, the wellspring of the FCC's authority, will step in to remove him, should he overstep his mandate.

In the longer run, such a clearly pro-profit Chairman must not remain in charge of protecting the public interest from the profit-making entities he clearly favors. Until he is replaced, however (the obviously principled McDowell might be considered for the position), he must be prevented from doing further damage to the citizenry and our democracy.

Sincerely,
Dan Mortenson
1180 Park Ave. #2
Ketchikan, AK 99901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Mortenson
1180 Park Ave. #2 , Ketchikan, AK 99901

December 10, 2006 6:26 PM

Senator Lisa Murkowski
U.S. Senate
709 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications.

The FCC is charged by Congress NOT with facilitating big-money mergers in the interests of the merging corporations, but with protecting the critical democratic interests of the citizens of this country in access to freely available and unbiased information on topics that affect their role as citizens.

The Congress-mandated right or the citizenry to good, accurate, and timely information has the guiding principle of the FCC since its inception. Maintaining the balance of citizen needs versus the desires of media owners has always been a challeng, but the originally-constituted FCC could always be counted on to strike a workable balance.

However, the current FCC, starting with Micheal Powell's tenure as Commissioner, has abrogated the role of pubic guardian in favor of helping those who have financial stakes in shifting the balance to their side. They have slanted and withheld their own and third-party reports on the detrimental effects of massive and cross-market ownership of media, and their corporate bias has been amply demonstrated. Recently they hosted a closed-door briefing on the state of the proposed ATT/Bell South merger, ejecting the one journalist present, to confer public policy matters in private, with one of the parties who stand to profit from the decision. Such an action could not be more corrosive of the FCC's public protection mandate.

The latest move by FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to erase the FCC's own ethics standards, thereby forcing recused Commissioner McDowell to vote the question, in order to circumvent the current tie on the Commission should not be tolerated. Mr. McDowell is acting properly, and Mr. Martin is selling out the public interest for a clear promise of personal gain.

This must not be tolerated. Chairman Martin should be notified in no uncertain terms that

Congress, the wellspring of the FCC's authority, will step in to remove him, should he overstep his mandate.

In the longer run, such a clearly pro-profit Chairman must not remain in charge of protecting the public interest from the profit-making entities he clearly favors. Until he is replaced, however (the obviously principled McDowell might be considered for the position), he must be prevented from doing further damage to the citizenry and our democracy.

Sincerely,
Dan Mortenson
1180 Park Ave. #2
Ketchikan, AK 99901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ed gilmore
350 West 50th Street , New York, NY 10019

December 10, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ed gilmore
350 West 50th Street
New York, NY 10019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ed gilmore
350 West 50th Street , New York, NY 10019

December 10, 2006 6:19 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ed gilmore
350 West 50th Street
New York, NY 10019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ed gilmore
350 West 50th Street , New York, NY 10019

December 10, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ed gilmore
350 West 50th Street
New York, NY 10019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ed gilmore
350 West 50th Street , New York, NY 10019

December 10, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ed gilmore
350 West 50th Street
New York, NY 10019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dylan Wardwell
70 Colman St #1 , New London, CT 06320

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dylan Wardwell
70 Colman St #1
New London, CT 06320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marc bisson
301 laurel street , manchester, NH 03301

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Representative Jeb Bradley
U.S. House of Representatives
1218 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bradley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marc bisson
301 laurel street
manchester, NH 03301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marc bisson
301 laurel street , manchester, NH 03301

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator Judd Gregg
U.S. Senate
393 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Gregg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marc bisson
301 laurel street
manchester, NH 03301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marc bisson
301 laurel street , manchester, NH 03301

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marc bisson
301 laurel street
manchester, NH 03301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dylan Wardwell
70 Colman St #1 , New London, CT 06320

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dylan Wardwell
70 Colman St #1
New London, CT 06320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dylan Wardwell
70 Colman St #1 , New London, CT 06320

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dylan Wardwell
70 Colman St #1
New London, CT 06320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dylan Wardwell
70 Colman St #1 , New London, CT 06320

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Representative Rob Simmons
U.S. House of Representatives
215 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simmons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dylan Wardwell
70 Colman St #1
New London, CT 06320

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marc bisson
301 laurel street , manchester, NH 03301

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marc bisson
301 laurel street
manchester, NH 03301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marc bisson
301 laurel street , manchester, NH 03301

December 10, 2006 6:17 PM

Representative Jeb Bradley
U.S. House of Representatives
1218 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bradley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marc bisson
301 laurel street
manchester, NH 03301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terry Poplawski
612 Walnut Avenue , Ukaih, CA 95482-4239

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terry Poplawski
612 Walnut Avenue
Ukaih, CA 95482-4239

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dale Wotring
PO Box 148 777 Sunset Lane, Ridgefield, WA 98642

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dale Wotring
PO Box 148
777 Sunset Lane
Ridgefield, WA 98642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dale Wotring
PO Box 148 777 Sunset Lane, Ridgefield, WA 98642

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dale Wotring
PO Box 148
777 Sunset Lane
Ridgefield, WA 98642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terry Poplawski
612 Walnut Avenue , Ukaih, CA 95482-4239

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terry Poplawski
612 Walnut Avenue
Ukaih, CA 95482-4239

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terry Poplawski
612 Walnut Avenue , Ukaih, CA 95482-4239

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terry Poplawski
612 Walnut Avenue
Ukaih, CA 95482-4239

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dale Wotring
PO Box 148 777 Sunset Lane, Ridgefield, WA 98642

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dale Wotring
PO Box 148
777 Sunset Lane
Ridgefield, WA 98642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dale Wotring
PO Box 148 777 Sunset Lane, Ridgefield, WA 98642

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dale Wotring
PO Box 148
777 Sunset Lane
Ridgefield, WA 98642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dale Wotring
PO Box 148 777 Sunset Lane, Ridgefield, WA 98642

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dale Wotring
PO Box 148
777 Sunset Lane
Ridgefield, WA 98642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dale Wotring
PO Box 148 777 Sunset Lane, Ridgefield, WA 98642

December 10, 2006 6:16 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dale Wotring
PO Box 148
777 Sunset Lane
Ridgefield, WA 98642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101 , Clackamas, OR 97015

December 10, 2006 6:10 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101
Clackamas, OR 97015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101 , Clackamas, OR 97015

December 10, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101
Clackamas, OR 97015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101 , Clackamas, OR 97015

December 10, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101
Clackamas, OR 97015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101 , Clackamas, OR 97015

December 10, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101
Clackamas, OR 97015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101 , Clackamas, OR 97015

December 10, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101
Clackamas, OR 97015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101 , Clackamas, OR 97015

December 10, 2006 6:10 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Angelina Ziegle
16528 SE 82nd Drive, 101
Clackamas, OR 97015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive , San Diego, CA 92105

December 10, 2006 6:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive
San Diego, CA 92105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive , San Diego, CA 92105

December 10, 2006 6:04 PM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive
San Diego, CA 92105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive , San Diego, CA 92105

December 10, 2006 6:04 PM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive
San Diego, CA 92105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive , San Diego, CA 92105

December 10, 2006 6:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive
San Diego, CA 92105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive , San Diego, CA 92105

December 10, 2006 6:04 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Waldo Hamilton
5323 Auburn Drive
San Diego, CA 92105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St , Oxford, MD 21613

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Representative Wayne Gilchrest
U.S. House of Representatives
2245 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gilchrest,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St
Oxford, MD 21613

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St , Oxford, MD 21613

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St
Oxford, MD 21613

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St , Oxford, MD 21613

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St
Oxford, MD 21613

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cindy Cole
98136 , Seattle, WA 98136

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cindy Cole
98136
Seattle, WA 98136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cindy Cole
98136 , Seattle, WA 98136

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cindy Cole
98136
Seattle, WA 98136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cindy Cole
98136 , Seattle, WA 98136

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cindy Cole
98136
Seattle, WA 98136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St , Oxford, MD 21613

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St
Oxford, MD 21613

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St , Oxford, MD 21613

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St
Oxford, MD 21613

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St , Oxford, MD 21613

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Representative Wayne Gilchrest
U.S. House of Representatives
2245 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gilchrest,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candis Robinson
701 S. Morris St
Oxford, MD 21613

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cindy Cole
98136 , Seattle, WA 98136

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cindy Cole
98136
Seattle, WA 98136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cindy Cole
98136 , Seattle, WA 98136

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cindy Cole
98136
Seattle, WA 98136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cindy Cole
98136 , Seattle, WA 98136

December 10, 2006 6:02 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cindy Cole
98136
Seattle, WA 98136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20 , Los Angeles, CA 90019

December 10, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20
Los Angeles, CA 90019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20 , Los Angeles, CA 90019

December 10, 2006 6:01 PM

Representative Diane Watson
U.S. House of Representatives
125 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Watson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20
Los Angeles, CA 90019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20 , Los Angeles, CA 90019

December 10, 2006 6:01 PM

Representative Diane Watson
U.S. House of Representatives
125 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Watson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20
Los Angeles, CA 90019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20 , Los Angeles, CA 90019

December 10, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20
Los Angeles, CA 90019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20 , Los Angeles, CA 90019

December 10, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kevin Holschauer
1166 Crenshaw Blvd. #20
Los Angeles, CA 90019

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Esther Cover
355 Sioux , Sheridan, WY 82801

December 10, 2006 6:00 PM

Representative Barbara Cubin
U.S. House of Representatives
1114 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cubin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Esther Cover
355 Sioux
Sheridan, WY 82801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Esther Cover
355 Sioux , Sheridan, WY 82801

December 10, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Mike Enzi
U.S. Senate
379-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Enzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Esther Cover
355 Sioux
Sheridan, WY 82801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Esther Cover
355 Sioux , Sheridan, WY 82801

December 10, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Craig Thomas
U.S. Senate
307 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Thomas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Esther Cover
355 Sioux
Sheridan, WY 82801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Esther Cover
355 Sioux , Sheridan, WY 82801

December 10, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Mike Enzi
U.S. Senate
379-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Enzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Esther Cover
355 Sioux
Sheridan, WY 82801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Esther Cover
355 Sioux , Sheridan, WY 82801

December 10, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Craig Thomas
U.S. Senate
307 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Thomas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Esther Cover
355 Sioux
Sheridan, WY 82801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Esther Cover
355 Sioux , Sheridan, WY 82801

December 10, 2006 6:00 PM

Representative Barbara Cubin
U.S. House of Representatives
1114 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cubin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Esther Cover
355 Sioux
Sheridan, WY 82801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Mosgofian
18055 Mulberry Ave , Sonoma, CA 95476

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Mosgofian
18055 Mulberry Ave
Sonoma, CA 95476

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court , Frederick, MD 21703

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court
Frederick, MD 21703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court , Frederick, MD 21703

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court
Frederick, MD 21703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court , Frederick, MD 21703

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Representative Roscoe Bartlett
U.S. House of Representatives
2412 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bartlett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court
Frederick, MD 21703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Mosgofian
18055 Mulberry Ave , Sonoma, CA 95476

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Mosgofian
18055 Mulberry Ave
Sonoma, CA 95476

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Mosgofian
18055 Mulberry Ave , Sonoma, CA 95476

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Mosgofian
18055 Mulberry Ave
Sonoma, CA 95476

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Mosgofian
18055 Mulberry Ave , Sonoma, CA 95476

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Mosgofian
18055 Mulberry Ave
Sonoma, CA 95476

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court , Frederick, MD 21703

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Representative Roscoe Bartlett
U.S. House of Representatives
2412 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bartlett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court
Frederick, MD 21703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court , Frederick, MD 21703

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court
Frederick, MD 21703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court , Frederick, MD 21703

December 10, 2006 5:56 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeff Gold
4968 Farringdon Court
Frederick, MD 21703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 10, 2006 5:54 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 10, 2006 5:54 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 10, 2006 5:54 PM

Representative Joseph Crowley
U.S. House of Representatives
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 10, 2006 5:54 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 10, 2006 5:54 PM

Representative Joseph Crowley
U.S. House of Representatives
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Barrett`
34-41 87th street
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr 4248 Stillwater Dr, Duluth, GA 30096 5596

December 10, 2006 5:53 PM

Representative David Scott
U.S. House of Representatives
417 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Scott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr
4248 Stillwater Dr
Duluth, GA 30096 5596

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr 4248 Stillwater Dr, Duluth, GA 30096 5596

December 10, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr
4248 Stillwater Dr
Duluth, GA 30096 5596

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr 4248 Stillwater Dr, Duluth, GA 30096 5596

December 10, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr
4248 Stillwater Dr
Duluth, GA 30096 5596

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr 4248 Stillwater Dr, Duluth, GA 30096 5596

December 10, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr
4248 Stillwater Dr
Duluth, GA 30096 5596

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr 4248 Stillwater Dr, Duluth, GA 30096 5596

December 10, 2006 5:53 PM

Representative David Scott
U.S. House of Representatives
417 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Scott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Herrington
4248 Stillwater Dr
4248 Stillwater Dr
Duluth, GA 30096 5596

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt , Valley Village, CA 91607

December 10, 2006 5:52 PM

Representative Howard Berman
U.S. House of Representatives
2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt
Valley Village, CA 91607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt , Valley Village, CA 91607

December 10, 2006 5:52 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt
Valley Village, CA 91607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt , Valley Village, CA 91607

December 10, 2006 5:52 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt
Valley Village, CA 91607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt , Valley Village, CA 91607

December 10, 2006 5:52 PM

Representative Howard Berman
U.S. House of Representatives
2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt
Valley Village, CA 91607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt , Valley Village, CA 91607

December 10, 2006 5:52 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Van Blankenstein
12347 1/2 Riverside Dt
Valley Village, CA 91607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE , Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

December 10, 2006 5:51 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE
Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE , Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

December 10, 2006 5:51 PM

Representative Bud Cramer
U.S. House of Representatives
2368 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cramer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE
Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE , Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

December 10, 2006 5:51 PM

Representative Bud Cramer
U.S. House of Representatives
2368 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cramer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE
Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE , Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

December 10, 2006 5:51 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE
Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE , Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

December 10, 2006 5:51 PM

Senator Jeff Sessions
U.S. Senate
335 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Norwood
2002 Wooddale Drive NE
Huntsville, AL 35801-1564

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Stout
901 E Washington Apt 422, Colton, CA 92324

December 10, 2006 5:47 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Stout
901 E Washington
Apt 422
Colton, CA 92324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Stout
901 E Washington Apt 422, Colton, CA 92324

December 10, 2006 5:47 PM

Representative Joe Baca
U.S. House of Representatives
328 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baca,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Stout
901 E Washington
Apt 422
Colton, CA 92324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Stout
901 E Washington Apt 422, Colton, CA 92324

December 10, 2006 5:47 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Stout
901 E Washington
Apt 422
Colton, CA 92324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Stout
901 E Washington Apt 422, Colton, CA 92324

December 10, 2006 5:47 PM

Representative Joe Baca
U.S. House of Representatives
328 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baca,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Stout
901 E Washington
Apt 422
Colton, CA 92324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donna Stout
901 E Washington Apt 422, Colton, CA 92324

December 10, 2006 5:47 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donna Stout
901 E Washington
Apt 422
Colton, CA 92324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd , Binghamton, NY 13904

December 10, 2006 5:44 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd
Binghamton, NY 13904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd , Binghamton, NY 13904

December 10, 2006 5:44 PM

Representative Sherwood Boehlert
U.S. House of Representatives
2246 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boehlert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd
Binghamton, NY 13904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd , Binghamton, NY 13904

December 10, 2006 5:44 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd
Binghamton, NY 13904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd , Binghamton, NY 13904

December 10, 2006 5:44 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd
Binghamton, NY 13904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd , Binghamton, NY 13904

December 10, 2006 5:44 PM

Representative Sherwood Boehlert
U.S. House of Representatives
2246 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boehlert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Lasky
290 Nowlan Rd
Binghamton, NY 13904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joe Haluska
654 B Fort Evans Rd NE Apt # 308, Leesburg, VA 20176

December 10, 2006 5:43 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joe Haluska
654 B Fort Evans Rd NE
Apt # 308
Leesburg, VA 20176

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joe Haluska
654 B Fort Evans Rd NE Apt # 308, Leesburg, VA 20176

December 10, 2006 5:43 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joe Haluska
654 B Fort Evans Rd NE
Apt # 308
Leesburg, VA 20176

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joe Haluska
654 B Fort Evans Rd NE Apt # 308, Leesburg, VA 20176

December 10, 2006 5:43 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joe Haluska
654 B Fort Evans Rd NE
Apt # 308
Leesburg, VA 20176

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:41 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:41 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:41 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Trefil, MD
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

walter poole
705 Monroe Ave , river forest, IL 60305-1905

December 10, 2006 5:40 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
walter poole
705 Monroe Ave
river forest, IL 60305-1905

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

walter poole
705 Monroe Ave , river forest, IL 60305-1905

December 10, 2006 5:40 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
walter poole
705 Monroe Ave
river forest, IL 60305-1905

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

walter poole
705 Monroe Ave , river forest, IL 60305-1905

December 10, 2006 5:40 PM

Representative Danny Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1526 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
walter poole
705 Monroe Ave
river forest, IL 60305-1905

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Hathaway
25 Westline Drive , Daly City, CA 94015

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Hathaway
25 Westline Drive
Daly City, CA 94015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Hathaway
25 Westline Drive , Daly City, CA 94015

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Hathaway
25 Westline Drive
Daly City, CA 94015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road , Albion, CA 95410

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kandeda Trefil
31475 Albion Ridge Road
Albion, CA 95410

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Hathaway
25 Westline Drive , Daly City, CA 94015

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Representative Tom Lantos
U.S. House of Representatives
2413 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lantos,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Hathaway
25 Westline Drive
Daly City, CA 94015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Susan Hathaway
25 Westline Drive , Daly City, CA 94015

December 10, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Susan Hathaway
25 Westline Drive
Daly City, CA 94015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Hofford
PO Box 222 , Portland, OR 97207-0222

December 10, 2006 5:38 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Shine Light on the FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Hofford
PO Box 222
Portland, OR 97207-0222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Hofford
PO Box 222 , Portland, OR 97207-0222

December 10, 2006 5:38 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Shine Light on the FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Hofford
PO Box 222
Portland, OR 97207-0222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Hofford
PO Box 222 , Portland, OR 97207-0222

December 10, 2006 5:38 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Shine Light on the FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Hofford
PO Box 222
Portland, OR 97207-0222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Hofford
PO Box 222 , Portland, OR 97207-0222

December 10, 2006 5:38 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Shine Light on the FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Hofford
PO Box 222
Portland, OR 97207-0222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108 , Tucson, AZ 85711

December 10, 2006 5:35 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108
Tucson, AZ 85711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108 , Tucson, AZ 85711

December 10, 2006 5:35 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108
Tucson, AZ 85711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108 , Tucson, AZ 85711

December 10, 2006 5:35 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108
Tucson, AZ 85711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108 , Tucson, AZ 85711

December 10, 2006 5:35 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108
Tucson, AZ 85711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108 , Tucson, AZ 85711

December 10, 2006 5:35 PM

Representative Jim Kolbe
U.S. House of Representatives
237 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kolbe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mary W Stein
5400 E Williams Blvd #8108
Tucson, AZ 85711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alison Anderson
PO Box 1102 , Nevada City, CA 95959

December 10, 2006 5:32 PM

Representative John Doolittle
U.S. House of Representatives
2410 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doolittle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alison Anderson
PO Box 1102
Nevada City, CA 95959

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alison Anderson
PO Box 1102 , Nevada City, CA 95959

December 10, 2006 5:32 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alison Anderson
PO Box 1102
Nevada City, CA 95959

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alison Anderson
PO Box 1102 , Nevada City, CA 95959

December 10, 2006 5:32 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alison Anderson
PO Box 1102
Nevada City, CA 95959

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alison Anderson
PO Box 1102 , Nevada City, CA 95959

December 10, 2006 5:32 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alison Anderson
PO Box 1102
Nevada City, CA 95959

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paul s mefford
15 east powell ave , EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

December 10, 2006 5:27 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paul s mefford
15 east powell ave
EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paul s mefford
15 east powell ave , EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

December 10, 2006 5:27 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paul s mefford
15 east powell ave
EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paul s mefford
15 east powell ave , EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

December 10, 2006 5:27 PM

Representative John Hostettler
U.S. House of Representatives
1214 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hostettler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paul s mefford
15 east powell ave
EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paul s mefford
15 east powell ave , EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

December 10, 2006 5:27 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paul s mefford
15 east powell ave
EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paul s mefford
15 east powell ave , EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

December 10, 2006 5:27 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paul s mefford
15 east powell ave
EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

paul s mefford
15 east powell ave , EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

December 10, 2006 5:27 PM

Representative John Hostettler
U.S. House of Representatives
1214 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hostettler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
paul s mefford
15 east powell ave
EVANSVILLE, IN 47713

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Battis
1706 Autumn Meadow Dr. , Fairfield, CA 94534

December 10, 2006 5:20 PM

Representative Ellen Tauscher
U.S. House of Representatives
1034 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tauscher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Battis
1706 Autumn Meadow Dr.
Fairfield, CA 94534

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Battis
1706 Autumn Meadow Dr. , Fairfield, CA 94534

December 10, 2006 5:20 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Battis
1706 Autumn Meadow Dr.
Fairfield, CA 94534

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Battis
1706 Autumn Meadow Dr. , Fairfield, CA 94534

December 10, 2006 5:20 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Battis
1706 Autumn Meadow Dr.
Fairfield, CA 94534

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Battis
1706 Autumn Meadow Dr. , Fairfield, CA 94534

December 10, 2006 5:20 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Battis
1706 Autumn Meadow Dr.
Fairfield, CA 94534

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

b rees
103 n menor , pasadea, CA 91104

December 10, 2006 5:19 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
b rees
103 n menor
pasadea, CA 91104

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

b rees
103 n menor , pasadea, CA 91104

December 10, 2006 5:19 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
b rees
103 n menor
pasadea, CA 91104

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

b rees
103 n menor , pasadea, CA 91104

December 10, 2006 5:19 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
b rees
103 n menor
pasadea, CA 91104

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Drake
4884 Aurora Dr. , Ventura, CA 93003

December 10, 2006 5:16 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Drake
4884 Aurora Dr.
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Drake
4884 Aurora Dr. , Ventura, CA 93003

December 10, 2006 5:16 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Drake
4884 Aurora Dr.
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Drake
4884 Aurora Dr. , Ventura, CA 93003

December 10, 2006 5:16 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Drake
4884 Aurora Dr.
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Drake
4884 Aurora Dr. , Ventura, CA 93003

December 10, 2006 5:16 PM

Representative Elton Gallegly
U.S. House of Representatives
2427 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gallegly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Drake
4884 Aurora Dr.
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr. , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr.
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr. , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr.
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr. , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr.
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr. , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr.
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr. , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Aaron Konkol
1813 Kropf Dr.
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St #703, Philadelphia, PA 19103

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St
#703
Philadelphia, PA 19103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St #703, Philadelphia, PA 19103

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St
#703
Philadelphia, PA 19103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St #703, Philadelphia, PA 19103

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Representative Chaka Fattah
U.S. House of Representatives
2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fattah,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St
#703
Philadelphia, PA 19103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St #703, Philadelphia, PA 19103

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St
#703
Philadelphia, PA 19103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St #703, Philadelphia, PA 19103

December 10, 2006 5:12 PM

Representative Chaka Fattah
U.S. House of Representatives
2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fattah,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
L.J. Brick
1919 Chestnut St
#703
Philadelphia, PA 19103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry Bucci
41 Overlook Drive , Valhalla, NY 10595

December 10, 2006 5:05 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry Bucci
41 Overlook Drive
Valhalla, NY 10595

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry Bucci
41 Overlook Drive , Valhalla, NY 10595

December 10, 2006 5:05 PM

Representative Nita Lowey
U.S. House of Representatives
2329 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lowey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry Bucci
41 Overlook Drive
Valhalla, NY 10595

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry Bucci
41 Overlook Drive , Valhalla, NY 10595

December 10, 2006 5:05 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry Bucci
41 Overlook Drive
Valhalla, NY 10595

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry Bucci
41 Overlook Drive , Valhalla, NY 10595

December 10, 2006 5:05 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry Bucci
41 Overlook Drive
Valhalla, NY 10595

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539 , Holualoa, HI 96725

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Ed Case
U.S. House of Representatives
115 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Case,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539
Holualoa, HI 96725

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539 , Holualoa, HI 96725

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Daniel Akaka
U.S. Senate
141 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Akaka,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539
Holualoa, HI 96725

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539 , Holualoa, HI 96725

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Daniel Inouye
U.S. Senate
722 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inouye,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539
Holualoa, HI 96725

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539 , Holualoa, HI 96725

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Daniel Akaka
U.S. Senate
141 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Akaka,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539
Holualoa, HI 96725

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539 , Holualoa, HI 96725

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Ed Case
U.S. House of Representatives
115 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Case,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539
Holualoa, HI 96725

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shannon White
943 A Haight Street , San Francisco, CA 94117

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shannon White
943 A Haight Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shannon White
943 A Haight Street , San Francisco, CA 94117

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Nancy Pelosi
U.S. House of Representatives
2371 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shannon White
943 A Haight Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shannon White
943 A Haight Street , San Francisco, CA 94117

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shannon White
943 A Haight Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shannon White
943 A Haight Street , San Francisco, CA 94117

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shannon White
943 A Haight Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shannon White
943 A Haight Street , San Francisco, CA 94117

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Nancy Pelosi
U.S. House of Representatives
2371 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shannon White
943 A Haight Street
San Francisco, CA 94117

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539 , Holualoa, HI 96725

December 10, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Daniel Inouye
U.S. Senate
722 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inouye,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karla Sachi
P.O. Box 539
Holualoa, HI 96725

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr , Merced, CA 95348

December 10, 2006 5:03 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr
Merced, CA 95348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr , Merced, CA 95348

December 10, 2006 5:03 PM

Representative Dennis Cardoza
U.S. House of Representatives
435 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cardoza,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr
Merced, CA 95348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr , Merced, CA 95348

December 10, 2006 5:03 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr
Merced, CA 95348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr , Merced, CA 95348

December 10, 2006 5:03 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr
Merced, CA 95348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr , Merced, CA 95348

December 10, 2006 5:03 PM

Representative Dennis Cardoza
U.S. House of Representatives
435 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cardoza,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sharon Darby
770 Northwood Dr
Merced, CA 95348

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow , Manteca, CA 95336

December 10, 2006 5:02 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

I am very concerned with this issue.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Ryan ELliot bagspacked@yahoo.com

Sincerely,
Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow
Manteca, CA 95336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow , Manteca, CA 95336

December 10, 2006 5:02 PM

Representative Richard Pombo
U.S. House of Representatives
2411 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pombo,

I am very concerned with this issue.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Ryan ELliot bagspacked@yahoo.com

Sincerely,
Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow
Manteca, CA 95336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow , Manteca, CA 95336

December 10, 2006 5:02 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

I am very concerned with this issue.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Ryan ELliot bagspacked@yahoo.com

Sincerely,
Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow
Manteca, CA 95336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow , Manteca, CA 95336

December 10, 2006 5:02 PM

Representative Richard Pombo
U.S. House of Representatives
2411 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pombo,

I am very concerned with this issue.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Ryan ELliot bagspacked@yahoo.com

Sincerely,
Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow
Manteca, CA 95336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow , Manteca, CA 95336

December 10, 2006 5:02 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

I am very concerned with this issue.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely, Ryan ELliot bagspacked@yahoo.com

Sincerely,
Ryan Elliot
210 Raylow
Manteca, CA 95336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154 , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd. , Reno, NV 89511

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd.
Reno, NV 89511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd. , Reno, NV 89511

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd.
Reno, NV 89511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154 , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd. , Reno, NV 89511

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd.
Reno, NV 89511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154 , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Representative Joel Hefley
U.S. House of Representatives
2372 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hefley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd. , Reno, NV 89511

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd.
Reno, NV 89511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd. , Reno, NV 89511

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Denis O'Brien
950 Yellow Pine Rd.
Reno, NV 89511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154 , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154 , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 10, 2006 5:01 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Vivian LeFebvre
3107 W. Colorado Ave., #154
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue , Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue
Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue , Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Representative Vito Fossella
U.S. House of Representatives
1239 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fossella,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue
Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue , Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Representative Vito Fossella
U.S. House of Representatives
1239 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fossella,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue
Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heather Siemers Youinou
N 92 W 6168 Arbor Dr , Cedarburg, WI 53012

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heather Siemers Youinou
N 92 W 6168 Arbor Dr
Cedarburg, WI 53012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue , Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue
Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heather Siemers Youinou
N 92 W 6168 Arbor Dr , Cedarburg, WI 53012

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heather Siemers Youinou
N 92 W 6168 Arbor Dr
Cedarburg, WI 53012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue , Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Kusick
350 Sharon Avenue
Staten Island, NY 10301-3419

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heather Siemers Youinou
N 92 W 6168 Arbor Dr , Cedarburg, WI 53012

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heather Siemers Youinou
N 92 W 6168 Arbor Dr
Cedarburg, WI 53012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heather Siemers Youinou
N 92 W 6168 Arbor Dr , Cedarburg, WI 53012

December 10, 2006 4:59 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heather Siemers Youinou
N 92 W 6168 Arbor Dr
Cedarburg, WI 53012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Jevitt
P.O.Box 537 , Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

December 10, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Jevitt
P.O.Box 537
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Jevitt
P.O.Box 537 , Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

December 10, 2006 4:58 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Jevitt
P.O.Box 537
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Jevitt
P.O.Box 537 , Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

December 10, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Jevitt
P.O.Box 537
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Jevitt
P.O.Box 537 , Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

December 10, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Jevitt
P.O.Box 537
Point Reyes Station, CA 94956

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Brant
PO Box 98683 22519 Marine View Drive S, Des Moines, WA 98198-0683

December 10, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Brant
PO Box 98683
22519 Marine View Drive S
Des Moines, WA 98198-0683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Brant
PO Box 98683 22519 Marine View Drive S, Des Moines, WA 98198-0683

December 10, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Brant
PO Box 98683
22519 Marine View Drive S
Des Moines, WA 98198-0683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Brant
PO Box 98683 22519 Marine View Drive S, Des Moines, WA 98198-0683

December 10, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Brant
PO Box 98683
22519 Marine View Drive S
Des Moines, WA 98198-0683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Brant
PO Box 98683 22519 Marine View Drive S, Des Moines, WA 98198-0683

December 10, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Brant
PO Box 98683
22519 Marine View Drive S
Des Moines, WA 98198-0683

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathie Briegel
25711 Lyndon , Redford, MI 48239

December 10, 2006 4:57 PM

Representative Thaddeus McCotter
U.S. House of Representatives
1632 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McCotter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathie Briegel
25711 Lyndon
Redford, MI 48239

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathie Briegel
25711 Lyndon , Redford, MI 48239

December 10, 2006 4:57 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathie Briegel
25711 Lyndon
Redford, MI 48239

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathie Briegel
25711 Lyndon , Redford, MI 48239

December 10, 2006 4:57 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathie Briegel
25711 Lyndon
Redford, MI 48239

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathie Briegel
25711 Lyndon , Redford, MI 48239

December 10, 2006 4:57 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathie Briegel
25711 Lyndon
Redford, MI 48239

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betty Adams
8424 S. Greenway P.O. Box 232, Onekama, MI 49675-0232

December 10, 2006 4:56 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betty Adams
8424 S. Greenway
P.O. Box 232
Onekama, MI 49675-0232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betty Adams
8424 S. Greenway P.O. Box 232, Onekama, MI 49675-0232

December 10, 2006 4:56 PM

Representative Pete Hoekstra
U.S. House of Representatives
2234 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hoekstra,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betty Adams
8424 S. Greenway
P.O. Box 232
Onekama, MI 49675-0232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betty Adams
8424 S. Greenway P.O. Box 232, Onekama, MI 49675-0232

December 10, 2006 4:56 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betty Adams
8424 S. Greenway
P.O. Box 232
Onekama, MI 49675-0232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betty Adams
8424 S. Greenway P.O. Box 232, Onekama, MI 49675-0232

December 10, 2006 4:56 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betty Adams
8424 S. Greenway
P.O. Box 232
Onekama, MI 49675-0232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd , Rehoboth, MA 02769

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd
Rehoboth, MA 02769

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W , University Place, WA 98466

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W
University Place, WA 98466

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W , University Place, WA 98466

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Representative Norm Dicks
U.S. House of Representatives
2467 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dicks,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W
University Place, WA 98466

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W , University Place, WA 98466

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W
University Place, WA 98466

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd , Rehoboth, MA 02769

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Representative James McGovern
U.S. House of Representatives
430 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McGovern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd
Rehoboth, MA 02769

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd , Rehoboth, MA 02769

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd
Rehoboth, MA 02769

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd , Rehoboth, MA 02769

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Representative James McGovern
U.S. House of Representatives
430 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McGovern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd
Rehoboth, MA 02769

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W , University Place, WA 98466

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W
University Place, WA 98466

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W , University Place, WA 98466

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert and Alicelia Warren
2122 Bridgeport Way W
University Place, WA 98466

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd , Rehoboth, MA 02769

December 10, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judith Gengler
79 Perryville Rd
Rehoboth, MA 02769

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr , Lake Oswego, OR 97035

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr
Lake Oswego, OR 97035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr , Lake Oswego, OR 97035

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr
Lake Oswego, OR 97035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr , Lake Oswego, OR 97035

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr
Lake Oswego, OR 97035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road , St. Louis, MO 63122

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Please let me know how you stand on this issue.

Sincerely,
P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road
St. Louis, MO 63122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road , St. Louis, MO 63122

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Please let me know how you stand on this issue.

Sincerely,
P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road
St. Louis, MO 63122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road , St. Louis, MO 63122

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Representative Todd Akin
U.S. House of Representatives
117 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Akin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Please let me know how you stand on this issue.

Sincerely,
P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road
St. Louis, MO 63122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road , St. Louis, MO 63122

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Please let me know how you stand on this issue.

Sincerely,
P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road
St. Louis, MO 63122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road , St. Louis, MO 63122

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Representative Todd Akin
U.S. House of Representatives
117 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Akin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Please let me know how you stand on this issue.

Sincerely,
P. S. Martin
2000 Rayner Road
St. Louis, MO 63122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr , Lake Oswego, OR 97035

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr
Lake Oswego, OR 97035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr , Lake Oswego, OR 97035

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr
Lake Oswego, OR 97035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr , Lake Oswego, OR 97035

December 10, 2006 4:53 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bill Winett
5032 Denton Dr
Lake Oswego, OR 97035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6 , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 4:47 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6 , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 4:47 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6 , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 4:47 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6 , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 4:47 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6 , Madison, WI 53704

December 10, 2006 4:47 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kris Johnson
9 Ridegeview Ct 6
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim dellas
pob 5127 , eureka, CA 95502

December 10, 2006 4:44 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

"Big Brother in the form of an increasingly powerful government and in an increasingly powerful private sector will pile the records high with reasons why privacy should give way to national security, to law and order, to efficiency of operation, to scientific advancement and the like.": Justice William O. Douglas - (1898-1980), U. S. Supreme Court Justice - Source: Points of Rebellion, 1969

To consolidate communications and media in the hands of a few powerful special interests is to lay the foundation of tyranny into the grasp of the minority.

Given events of the last thirty years, please consider your fiduciary duty to the people and reassert control over the course of the nation and stop the bureaucratic abuse of power that is currently occuring.

tim dellas

Sincerely,
tim dellas
pob 5127
eureka, CA 95502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim dellas
pob 5127 , eureka, CA 95502

December 10, 2006 4:44 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

"Big Brother in the form of an increasingly powerful government and in an increasingly powerful private sector will pile the records high with reasons why privacy should give way to national security, to law and order, to efficiency of operation, to scientific advancement and the like.": Justice William O. Douglas - (1898-1980), U. S. Supreme Court Justice - Source: Points of Rebellion, 1969

To consolidate communications and media in the hands of a few powerful special interests is to lay the foundation of tyranny into the grasp of the minority.

Given events of the last thirty years, please consider your fiduciary duty to the people and reassert control over the course of the nation and stop the bureaucratic abuse of power that is currently occuring.

tim dellas

Sincerely,
tim dellas
pob 5127
eureka, CA 95502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim dellas
pob 5127 , eureka, CA 95502

December 10, 2006 4:44 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

"Big Brother in the form of an increasingly powerful government and in an increasingly powerful private sector will pile the records high with reasons why privacy should give way to national security, to law and order, to efficiency of operation, to scientific advancement and the like.": Justice William O. Douglas - (1898-1980), U. S. Supreme Court Justice - Source: Points of Rebellion, 1969

To consolidate communications and media in the hands of a few powerful special interests is to lay the foundation of tyranny into the grasp of the minority.

Given events of the last thirty years, please consider your fiduciary duty to the people and reassert control over the course of the nation and stop the bureaucratic abuse of power that is currently occuring.

tim dellas

Sincerely,
tim dellas
pob 5127
eureka, CA 95502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim dellas
pob 5127 , eureka, CA 95502

December 10, 2006 4:44 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

"Big Brother in the form of an increasingly powerful government and in an increasingly powerful private sector will pile the records high with reasons why privacy should give way to national security, to law and order, to efficiency of operation, to scientific advancement and the like.": Justice William O. Douglas - (1898-1980), U. S. Supreme Court Justice - Source: Points of Rebellion, 1969

To consolidate communications and media in the hands of a few powerful special interests is to lay the foundation of tyranny into the grasp of the minority.

Given events of the last thirty years, please consider your fiduciary duty to the people and reassert control over the course of the nation and stop the bureaucratic abuse of power that is currently occuring.

tim dellas

Sincerely,
tim dellas
pob 5127
eureka, CA 95502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

tim dellas
pob 5127 , eureka, CA 95502

December 10, 2006 4:44 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

"Big Brother in the form of an increasingly powerful government and in an increasingly powerful private sector will pile the records high with reasons why privacy should give way to national security, to law and order, to efficiency of operation, to scientific advancement and the like.": Justice William O. Douglas - (1898-1980), U. S. Supreme Court Justice - Source: Points of Rebellion, 1969

To consolidate communications and media in the hands of a few powerful special interests is to lay the foundation of tyranny into the grasp of the minority.

Given events of the last thirty years, please consider your fiduciary duty to the people and reassert control over the course of the nation and stop the bureaucratic abuse of power that is currently occuring.

tim dellas

Sincerely,
tim dellas
pob 5127
eureka, CA 95502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alfred Scarpinato
48 Albany Turnpike , Old Chatham, NY 12136

December 10, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alfred Scarpinato
48 Albany Turnpike
Old Chatham, NY 12136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alfred Scarpinato
48 Albany Turnpike , Old Chatham, NY 12136

December 10, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alfred Scarpinato
48 Albany Turnpike
Old Chatham, NY 12136

cc:
Federal Communications Commission