413-585-8904

Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill , Ridgedale, MO 65739

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill
Ridgedale, MO 65739

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janet Beller
228 West 72 Street 5F , New York, NY 10023

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janet Beller
228 West 72 Street 5F
New York, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue , LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue
LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue , LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue
LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue , LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue
LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue , LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue
LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill , Ridgedale, MO 65739

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill
Ridgedale, MO 65739

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue , LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christopher McKinnon
11837 North Park Avenue
LOS ANGELES, CA 90066

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janet Beller
228 West 72 Street 5F , New York, NY 10023

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janet Beller
228 West 72 Street 5F
New York, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janet Beller
228 West 72 Street 5F , New York, NY 10023

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janet Beller
228 West 72 Street 5F
New York, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

janet Beller
228 West 72 Street 5F , New York, NY 10023

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
janet Beller
228 West 72 Street 5F
New York, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill , Ridgedale, MO 65739

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill
Ridgedale, MO 65739

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill , Ridgedale, MO 65739

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative Roy Blunt
U.S. House of Representatives
217 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill
Ridgedale, MO 65739

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill , Ridgedale, MO 65739

December 9, 2006 8:18 PM

Representative Roy Blunt
U.S. House of Representatives
217 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Highfill
208 Edgehill
Ridgedale, MO 65739

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd. , Cheektowaga, NY 14225

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Representative Brian Higgins
U.S. House of Representatives
431 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Higgins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd.
Cheektowaga, NY 14225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av , Shellsburg, IA 52332

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Senator Tom Harkin
U.S. Senate
731 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av
Shellsburg, IA 52332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av , Shellsburg, IA 52332

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Representative Leonard Boswell
U.S. House of Representatives
1427 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boswell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av
Shellsburg, IA 52332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd. , Cheektowaga, NY 14225

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd.
Cheektowaga, NY 14225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd. , Cheektowaga, NY 14225

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd.
Cheektowaga, NY 14225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av , Shellsburg, IA 52332

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av
Shellsburg, IA 52332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av , Shellsburg, IA 52332

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av
Shellsburg, IA 52332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av , Shellsburg, IA 52332

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Representative Leonard Boswell
U.S. House of Representatives
1427 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boswell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Lowe
6209 32nd Av
Shellsburg, IA 52332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd. , Cheektowaga, NY 14225

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd.
Cheektowaga, NY 14225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd. , Cheektowaga, NY 14225

December 9, 2006 8:17 PM

Representative Brian Higgins
U.S. House of Representatives
431 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Higgins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Christine Snyder
512 Roycroft Blvd.
Cheektowaga, NY 14225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

phyllis Pivo
4480 Westmont Street , v, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
phyllis Pivo
4480 Westmont Street
v, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

phyllis Pivo
4480 Westmont Street , v, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
phyllis Pivo
4480 Westmont Street
v, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St , Seattle, WA 98102

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St , Seattle, WA 98102

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

phyllis Pivo
4480 Westmont Street , v, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Representative Elton Gallegly
U.S. House of Representatives
2427 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gallegly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
phyllis Pivo
4480 Westmont Street
v, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

phyllis Pivo
4480 Westmont Street , v, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
phyllis Pivo
4480 Westmont Street
v, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St , Seattle, WA 98102

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St , Seattle, WA 98102

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St , Seattle, WA 98102

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St , Seattle, WA 98102

December 9, 2006 8:14 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Jacobson
1052 E Harrison St
Seattle, WA 98102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive , Midland, MI 48640

December 9, 2006 8:13 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive
Midland, MI 48640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive , Midland, MI 48640

December 9, 2006 8:13 PM

Representative Dave Camp
U.S. House of Representatives
137 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Camp,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive
Midland, MI 48640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive , Midland, MI 48640

December 9, 2006 8:13 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive
Midland, MI 48640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive , Midland, MI 48640

December 9, 2006 8:13 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive
Midland, MI 48640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive , Midland, MI 48640

December 9, 2006 8:13 PM

Representative Dave Camp
U.S. House of Representatives
137 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Camp,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Gourd
1024 West Park Drive
Midland, MI 48640

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd. , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd.
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive , Carson City, NV 89703

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive
Carson City, NV 89703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive , Carson City, NV 89703

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive
Carson City, NV 89703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd. , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative Michael Bilirakis
U.S. House of Representatives
2408 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilirakis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd.
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive , Carson City, NV 89703

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive
Carson City, NV 89703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd. , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd.
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd. , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd.
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd. , Palm Harbor, FL 34684

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative Michael Bilirakis
U.S. House of Representatives
2408 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilirakis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jane Bowen
127 Piladelphia Blvd.
Palm Harbor, FL 34684

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive , Carson City, NV 89703

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive
Carson City, NV 89703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive , Carson City, NV 89703

December 9, 2006 8:11 PM

Representative Jim Gibbons
U.S. House of Representatives
100 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gibbons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Landell
129 Lake Glen Drive
Carson City, NV 89703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue , PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

December 9, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue , PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

December 9, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue , PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

December 9, 2006 8:10 PM

Representative Chaka Fattah
U.S. House of Representatives
2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fattah,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue , PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

December 9, 2006 8:10 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue , PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

December 9, 2006 8:10 PM

Representative Chaka Fattah
U.S. House of Representatives
2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fattah,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Watson
8617 Mansfield Avenue
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19150

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL , La Crosse,, WI 54601

December 9, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL
La Crosse,, WI 54601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL , La Crosse,, WI 54601

December 9, 2006 8:08 PM

Representative Ron Kind
U.S. House of Representatives
1406 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kind,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL
La Crosse,, WI 54601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL , La Crosse,, WI 54601

December 9, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL
La Crosse,, WI 54601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL , La Crosse,, WI 54601

December 9, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL
La Crosse,, WI 54601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL , La Crosse,, WI 54601

December 9, 2006 8:08 PM

Representative Ron Kind
U.S. House of Representatives
1406 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kind,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL
La Crosse,, WI 54601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL , La Crosse,, WI 54601

December 9, 2006 8:08 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
wanda Spraggon
2518 S 13th PL
La Crosse,, WI 54601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Green
2208 N 29th St , Sheboygan, WI 53083-4401

December 9, 2006 8:02 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

And Congress should throw the money hungry bastards off of the commission!!!
Harold W Green

Sincerely,
Harold Green
2208 N 29th St
Sheboygan, WI 53083-4401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Green
2208 N 29th St , Sheboygan, WI 53083-4401

December 9, 2006 8:02 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

And Congress should throw the money hungry bastards off of the commission!!!
Harold W Green

Sincerely,
Harold Green
2208 N 29th St
Sheboygan, WI 53083-4401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Green
2208 N 29th St , Sheboygan, WI 53083-4401

December 9, 2006 8:02 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

And Congress should throw the money hungry bastards off of the commission!!!
Harold W Green

Sincerely,
Harold Green
2208 N 29th St
Sheboygan, WI 53083-4401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Green
2208 N 29th St , Sheboygan, WI 53083-4401

December 9, 2006 8:02 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

And Congress should throw the money hungry bastards off of the commission!!!
Harold W Green

Sincerely,
Harold Green
2208 N 29th St
Sheboygan, WI 53083-4401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr. , san jose, CA 95122

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Zoe Lofgren
U.S. House of Representatives
102 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lofgren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr.
san jose, CA 95122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr. , san jose, CA 95122

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr.
san jose, CA 95122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Buetow
1914 Bailey , Everett, WA 98203

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
107 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Buetow
1914 Bailey
Everett, WA 98203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Buetow
1914 Bailey , Everett, WA 98203

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Buetow
1914 Bailey
Everett, WA 98203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr. , san jose, CA 95122

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr.
san jose, CA 95122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Buetow
1914 Bailey , Everett, WA 98203

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Buetow
1914 Bailey
Everett, WA 98203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE , Seattle, WA 98125

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE
Seattle, WA 98125

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE , Seattle, WA 98125

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE
Seattle, WA 98125

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE , Seattle, WA 98125

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE
Seattle, WA 98125

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE , Seattle, WA 98125

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE
Seattle, WA 98125

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Buetow
1914 Bailey , Everett, WA 98203

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Buetow
1914 Bailey
Everett, WA 98203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Buetow
1914 Bailey , Everett, WA 98203

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Buetow
1914 Bailey
Everett, WA 98203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Buetow
1914 Bailey , Everett, WA 98203

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
107 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Buetow
1914 Bailey
Everett, WA 98203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE , Seattle, WA 98125

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE
Seattle, WA 98125

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr. , san jose, CA 95122

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr.
san jose, CA 95122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr. , san jose, CA 95122

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Representative Zoe Lofgren
U.S. House of Representatives
102 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lofgren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
marcell apodaca
1211 carnelian dr.
san jose, CA 95122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE , Seattle, WA 98125

December 9, 2006 8:00 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bryan Johns
11037 Goodwin Way NE
Seattle, WA 98125

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street , Milwaukee, WI 53213

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Representative Gwen Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1408 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

Do not allow a merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Net Neutrality must be honored as it is strongly supported by the public. Internet service providers must be prevented from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We admire this ethical stance.

AT&T is trying to influence FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to force Commissioner McDowell to vote on the merger. This is unconscionable and does not serve the public interest.

Sincerely,
Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Johnson
101 First Street Suite 217, Los Altos, CA 94022

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Representative Anna Eshoo
U.S. House of Representatives
205 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Eshoo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Jacqueline Johnson 101 First Street Suite 217 Los Altos, CA 94022

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Johnson
101 First Street
Suite 217
Los Altos, CA 94022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street , Milwaukee, WI 53213

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

Do not allow a merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Net Neutrality must be honored as it is strongly supported by the public. Internet service providers must be prevented from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We admire this ethical stance.

AT&T is trying to influence FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to force Commissioner McDowell to vote on the merger. This is unconscionable and does not serve the public interest.

Sincerely,
Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street , Milwaukee, WI 53213

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

Do not allow a merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Net Neutrality must be honored as it is strongly supported by the public. Internet service providers must be prevented from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We admire this ethical stance.

AT&T is trying to influence FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to force Commissioner McDowell to vote on the merger. This is unconscionable and does not serve the public interest.

Sincerely,
Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Johnson
101 First Street Suite 217, Los Altos, CA 94022

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Jacqueline Johnson 101 First Street Suite 217 Los Altos, CA 94022

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Johnson
101 First Street
Suite 217
Los Altos, CA 94022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Johnson
101 First Street Suite 217, Los Altos, CA 94022

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Jacqueline Johnson 101 First Street Suite 217 Los Altos, CA 94022

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Johnson
101 First Street
Suite 217
Los Altos, CA 94022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jacqueline Johnson
101 First Street Suite 217, Los Altos, CA 94022

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Jacqueline Johnson 101 First Street Suite 217 Los Altos, CA 94022

Sincerely,
Jacqueline Johnson
101 First Street
Suite 217
Los Altos, CA 94022

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street , Milwaukee, WI 53213

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

Do not allow a merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Net Neutrality must be honored as it is strongly supported by the public. Internet service providers must be prevented from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We admire this ethical stance.

AT&T is trying to influence FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to force Commissioner McDowell to vote on the merger. This is unconscionable and does not serve the public interest.

Sincerely,
Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street , Milwaukee, WI 53213

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

Do not allow a merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Net Neutrality must be honored as it is strongly supported by the public. Internet service providers must be prevented from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We admire this ethical stance.

AT&T is trying to influence FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to force Commissioner McDowell to vote on the merger. This is unconscionable and does not serve the public interest.

Sincerely,
Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street , Milwaukee, WI 53213

December 9, 2006 7:59 PM

Representative Gwen Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1408 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

Do not allow a merger between AT&T and BellSouth. This multibillion-dollar deal would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Net Neutrality must be honored as it is strongly supported by the public. Internet service providers must be prevented from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We admire this ethical stance.

AT&T is trying to influence FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to force Commissioner McDowell to vote on the merger. This is unconscionable and does not serve the public interest.

Sincerely,
Robert Burkart-Lemke
301 North 73rd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53213

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andreas ohland
3825 nw 32nd ave , miami, FL 33142

December 9, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andreas ohland
3825 nw 32nd ave
miami, FL 33142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andreas ohland
3825 nw 32nd ave , miami, FL 33142

December 9, 2006 7:58 PM

Representative Ileana Ros-Lehtinen
U.S. House of Representatives
2160 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ros-Lehtinen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andreas ohland
3825 nw 32nd ave
miami, FL 33142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andreas ohland
3825 nw 32nd ave , miami, FL 33142

December 9, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andreas ohland
3825 nw 32nd ave
miami, FL 33142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

andreas ohland
3825 nw 32nd ave , miami, FL 33142

December 9, 2006 7:58 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
andreas ohland
3825 nw 32nd ave
miami, FL 33142

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave. , Surprise, AZ 85387

December 9, 2006 7:57 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave.
Surprise, AZ 85387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave. , Surprise, AZ 85387

December 9, 2006 7:57 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave.
Surprise, AZ 85387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave. , Surprise, AZ 85387

December 9, 2006 7:57 PM

Representative Trent Franks
U.S. House of Representatives
1237 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Franks,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave.
Surprise, AZ 85387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave. , Surprise, AZ 85387

December 9, 2006 7:57 PM

Representative Trent Franks
U.S. House of Representatives
1237 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Franks,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave.
Surprise, AZ 85387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave. , Surprise, AZ 85387

December 9, 2006 7:57 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave.
Surprise, AZ 85387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave. , Surprise, AZ 85387

December 9, 2006 7:57 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ann McDermott
29212 N. 155th Ave.
Surprise, AZ 85387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Zovar
2255 20th Street , Santa Monica, CA 90405-1756

December 9, 2006 7:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Zovar
2255 20th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90405-1756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Zovar
2255 20th Street , Santa Monica, CA 90405-1756

December 9, 2006 7:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Zovar
2255 20th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90405-1756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Zovar
2255 20th Street , Santa Monica, CA 90405-1756

December 9, 2006 7:56 PM

Representative Henry Waxman
U.S. House of Representatives
2204 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Waxman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Zovar
2255 20th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90405-1756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Zovar
2255 20th Street , Santa Monica, CA 90405-1756

December 9, 2006 7:56 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Zovar
2255 20th Street
Santa Monica, CA 90405-1756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr. , Dayton, OH 45439

December 9, 2006 7:55 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr.
Dayton, OH 45439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr. , Dayton, OH 45439

December 9, 2006 7:55 PM

Representative Michael Turner
U.S. House of Representatives
1740 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Turner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr.
Dayton, OH 45439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr. , Dayton, OH 45439

December 9, 2006 7:55 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr.
Dayton, OH 45439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr. , Dayton, OH 45439

December 9, 2006 7:55 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr.
Dayton, OH 45439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr. , Dayton, OH 45439

December 9, 2006 7:55 PM

Representative Michael Turner
U.S. House of Representatives
1740 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Turner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ross Knappick
5231 Mallet Club Dr.
Dayton, OH 45439

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave , Fort Wayne, IN 46805

December 9, 2006 7:54 PM

Representative Mark Souder
U.S. House of Representatives
2231 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Souder,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave
Fort Wayne, IN 46805

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave , Fort Wayne, IN 46805

December 9, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave
Fort Wayne, IN 46805

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave , Fort Wayne, IN 46805

December 9, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave
Fort Wayne, IN 46805

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave , Fort Wayne, IN 46805

December 9, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave
Fort Wayne, IN 46805

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave , Fort Wayne, IN 46805

December 9, 2006 7:54 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave
Fort Wayne, IN 46805

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave , Fort Wayne, IN 46805

December 9, 2006 7:54 PM

Representative Mark Souder
U.S. House of Representatives
2231 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Souder,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sarah Sexton
710 Riverside Ave
Fort Wayne, IN 46805

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St , Englewood, CO 80113

December 9, 2006 7:51 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St
Englewood, CO 80113

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St , Englewood, CO 80113

December 9, 2006 7:51 PM

Representative Diana DeGette
U.S. House of Representatives
1527 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeGette,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St
Englewood, CO 80113

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St , Englewood, CO 80113

December 9, 2006 7:51 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St
Englewood, CO 80113

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St , Englewood, CO 80113

December 9, 2006 7:51 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St
Englewood, CO 80113

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St , Englewood, CO 80113

December 9, 2006 7:51 PM

Representative Diana DeGette
U.S. House of Representatives
1527 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeGette,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St
Englewood, CO 80113

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St , Englewood, CO 80113

December 9, 2006 7:51 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven Yates
3259 S. Corona St
Englewood, CO 80113

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E. , Wenatchee, WA 98802

December 9, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E.
Wenatchee, WA 98802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E. , Wenatchee, WA 98802

December 9, 2006 7:50 PM

Representative Doc Hastings
U.S. House of Representatives
1323 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hastings,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E.
Wenatchee, WA 98802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E. , Wenatchee, WA 98802

December 9, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E.
Wenatchee, WA 98802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E. , Wenatchee, WA 98802

December 9, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E.
Wenatchee, WA 98802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E. , Wenatchee, WA 98802

December 9, 2006 7:50 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
M. La Paz
3002 3rd N.E.
Wenatchee, WA 98802

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762 , New Harmony, IN 47631

December 9, 2006 7:49 PM

Representative John Hostettler
U.S. House of Representatives
1214 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hostettler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762
New Harmony, IN 47631

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762 , New Harmony, IN 47631

December 9, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762
New Harmony, IN 47631

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762 , New Harmony, IN 47631

December 9, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762
New Harmony, IN 47631

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762 , New Harmony, IN 47631

December 9, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762
New Harmony, IN 47631

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762 , New Harmony, IN 47631

December 9, 2006 7:49 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762
New Harmony, IN 47631

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762 , New Harmony, IN 47631

December 9, 2006 7:49 PM

Representative John Hostettler
U.S. House of Representatives
1214 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hostettler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Arndt
P.O. Box 762
New Harmony, IN 47631

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave. , Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

December 9, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave.
Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave. , Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

December 9, 2006 7:47 PM

Representative Doc Hastings
U.S. House of Representatives
1323 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hastings,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave.
Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave. , Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

December 9, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave.
Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave. , Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

December 9, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave.
Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave. , Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

December 9, 2006 7:47 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Seana Blake
114 W. 10th Ave.
Ellensburg, WA 98926-2914

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bertha Charlton
2025 Washington Ave , Odin, IL 62870

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Representative John Shimkus
U.S. House of Representatives
513 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shimkus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bertha Charlton
2025 Washington Ave
Odin, IL 62870

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St. #65, New York, NY 10028

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St.
#65
New York, NY 10028

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St. #65, New York, NY 10028

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St.
#65
New York, NY 10028

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bertha Charlton
2025 Washington Ave , Odin, IL 62870

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bertha Charlton
2025 Washington Ave
Odin, IL 62870

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St. #65, New York, NY 10028

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St.
#65
New York, NY 10028

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bertha Charlton
2025 Washington Ave , Odin, IL 62870

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bertha Charlton
2025 Washington Ave
Odin, IL 62870

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bertha Charlton
2025 Washington Ave , Odin, IL 62870

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Representative John Shimkus
U.S. House of Representatives
513 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shimkus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bertha Charlton
2025 Washington Ave
Odin, IL 62870

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St. #65, New York, NY 10028

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St.
#65
New York, NY 10028

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St. #65, New York, NY 10028

December 9, 2006 7:46 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hnnelore Hahn
244 East 86 St.
#65
New York, NY 10028

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204 , Santa Cruz, CA 95060

December 9, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204 , Santa Cruz, CA 95060

December 9, 2006 7:44 PM

Representative Sam Farr
U.S. House of Representatives
1221 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Farr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204 , Santa Cruz, CA 95060

December 9, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204 , Santa Cruz, CA 95060

December 9, 2006 7:44 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204 , Santa Cruz, CA 95060

December 9, 2006 7:44 PM

Representative Sam Farr
U.S. House of Representatives
1221 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Farr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat Carter
1260 Shaffer Road, #6204
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave , Oakdale, CA 95361

December 9, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave
Oakdale, CA 95361

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave , Oakdale, CA 95361

December 9, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave
Oakdale, CA 95361

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave , Oakdale, CA 95361

December 9, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave
Oakdale, CA 95361

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave , Oakdale, CA 95361

December 9, 2006 7:43 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave
Oakdale, CA 95361

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave , Oakdale, CA 95361

December 9, 2006 7:43 PM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jann Anderson
201 S Lee Ave
Oakdale, CA 95361

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david phelps
709 n 780 e , greentown, IN 46936

December 9, 2006 7:39 PM

Representative Dan Burton
U.S. House of Representatives
2185 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Burton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david phelps
709 n 780 e
greentown, IN 46936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david phelps
709 n 780 e , greentown, IN 46936

December 9, 2006 7:39 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david phelps
709 n 780 e
greentown, IN 46936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david phelps
709 n 780 e , greentown, IN 46936

December 9, 2006 7:39 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david phelps
709 n 780 e
greentown, IN 46936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david phelps
709 n 780 e , greentown, IN 46936

December 9, 2006 7:39 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david phelps
709 n 780 e
greentown, IN 46936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david phelps
709 n 780 e , greentown, IN 46936

December 9, 2006 7:39 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david phelps
709 n 780 e
greentown, IN 46936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

david phelps
709 n 780 e , greentown, IN 46936

December 9, 2006 7:39 PM

Representative Dan Burton
U.S. House of Representatives
2185 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Burton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
david phelps
709 n 780 e
greentown, IN 46936

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Lagueux
31 Second Street , Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

December 9, 2006 7:38 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Lagueux
31 Second Street
Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Lagueux
31 Second Street , Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

December 9, 2006 7:38 PM

Representative Jeb Bradley
U.S. House of Representatives
1218 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bradley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Lagueux
31 Second Street
Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Lagueux
31 Second Street , Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

December 9, 2006 7:38 PM

Senator Judd Gregg
U.S. Senate
393 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Gregg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Lagueux
31 Second Street
Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Lagueux
31 Second Street , Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

December 9, 2006 7:38 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Lagueux
31 Second Street
Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Don Lagueux
31 Second Street , Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

December 9, 2006 7:38 PM

Representative Jeb Bradley
U.S. House of Representatives
1218 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bradley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Don Lagueux
31 Second Street
Somersworth, NH 03878-2913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Randy Wilson
11 Eighth St. , Petaluma, CA 94952

December 9, 2006 7:35 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Randy Wilson
11 Eighth St.
Petaluma, CA 94952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Randy Wilson
11 Eighth St. , Petaluma, CA 94952

December 9, 2006 7:35 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Randy Wilson
11 Eighth St.
Petaluma, CA 94952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Randy Wilson
11 Eighth St. , Petaluma, CA 94952

December 9, 2006 7:35 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Randy Wilson
11 Eighth St.
Petaluma, CA 94952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Randy Wilson
11 Eighth St. , Petaluma, CA 94952

December 9, 2006 7:35 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Randy Wilson
11 Eighth St.
Petaluma, CA 94952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steve Katzman
2929 Buffalo Spdway #2204 , Houston, TX 77098

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Representative John Culberson
U.S. House of Representatives
1728 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Culberson,

Re the proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth: The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- which prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition in a free market and free speech culture.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

Chairman Martin's effort to "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger is a process that ends the protection basic to our founding principles. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by actively overriding admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steve Katzman
2929 Buffalo Spdway #2204
Houston, TX 77098

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne , Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Tom Harkin
U.S. Senate
731 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne , Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Representative Jim Leach
U.S. House of Representatives
2186 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steve Katzman
2929 Buffalo Spdway #2204 , Houston, TX 77098

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

Re the proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth: The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- which prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition in a free market and free speech culture.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

Chairman Martin's effort to "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger is a process that ends the protection basic to our founding principles. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by actively overriding admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steve Katzman
2929 Buffalo Spdway #2204
Houston, TX 77098

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne , Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steve Katzman
2929 Buffalo Spdway #2204 , Houston, TX 77098

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

Re the proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth: The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- which prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition in a free market and free speech culture.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

Chairman Martin's effort to "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger is a process that ends the protection basic to our founding principles. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by actively overriding admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steve Katzman
2929 Buffalo Spdway #2204
Houston, TX 77098

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne , Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne , Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Representative Jim Leach
U.S. House of Representatives
2186 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlie Hogue
1721 Chestnut Lane Ne
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steve Katzman
2929 Buffalo Spdway #2204 , Houston, TX 77098

December 9, 2006 7:34 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

Re the proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth: The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- which prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition in a free market and free speech culture.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

Chairman Martin's effort to "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger is a process that ends the protection basic to our founding principles. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by actively overriding admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steve Katzman
2929 Buffalo Spdway #2204
Houston, TX 77098

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Femal
812 N. Belmont , Arlington Heights, IL 60004

December 9, 2006 7:33 PM

Representative Mark Kirk
U.S. House of Representatives
1717 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kirk,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Femal
812 N. Belmont
Arlington Heights, IL 60004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Femal
812 N. Belmont , Arlington Heights, IL 60004

December 9, 2006 7:33 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Femal
812 N. Belmont
Arlington Heights, IL 60004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Femal
812 N. Belmont , Arlington Heights, IL 60004

December 9, 2006 7:33 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Femal
812 N. Belmont
Arlington Heights, IL 60004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Ashley
21134 Finch Dr , Park Rapids, MN 56470-3257

December 9, 2006 7:32 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Ashley
21134 Finch Dr
Park Rapids, MN 56470-3257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Ashley
21134 Finch Dr , Park Rapids, MN 56470-3257

December 9, 2006 7:32 PM

Representative James Oberstar
U.S. House of Representatives
2365 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Oberstar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Ashley
21134 Finch Dr
Park Rapids, MN 56470-3257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Ashley
21134 Finch Dr , Park Rapids, MN 56470-3257

December 9, 2006 7:32 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Ashley
21134 Finch Dr
Park Rapids, MN 56470-3257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Ashley
21134 Finch Dr , Park Rapids, MN 56470-3257

December 9, 2006 7:32 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Ashley
21134 Finch Dr
Park Rapids, MN 56470-3257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle , Fairfield, CA 94534

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle
Fairfield, CA 94534

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle , Fairfield, CA 94534

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Representative Ellen Tauscher
U.S. House of Representatives
1034 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tauscher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle
Fairfield, CA 94534

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle , Fairfield, CA 94534

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle
Fairfield, CA 94534

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd , phoenix, AZ 85044

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd
phoenix, AZ 85044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd , phoenix, AZ 85044

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd
phoenix, AZ 85044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd , phoenix, AZ 85044

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Representative J.D. Hayworth
U.S. House of Representatives
2434 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hayworth,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd
phoenix, AZ 85044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle , Fairfield, CA 94534

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gemma Geluz
2434 Ridgewood Circle
Fairfield, CA 94534

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St 5J, Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St
5J
Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd , phoenix, AZ 85044

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd
phoenix, AZ 85044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd , phoenix, AZ 85044

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Representative J.D. Hayworth
U.S. House of Representatives
2434 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hayworth,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd
phoenix, AZ 85044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd , phoenix, AZ 85044

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lory Ellerbrock
4314 E Chuckwalla Rd
phoenix, AZ 85044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St 5J, Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Representative Joseph Crowley
U.S. House of Representatives
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St
5J
Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St 5J, Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St
5J
Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St 5J, Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St
5J
Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St 5J, Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 7:29 PM

Representative Joseph Crowley
U.S. House of Representatives
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merrill Frank
33-25 92nd St
5J
Jackson Hgts, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001 , Dalton, GA 30722

December 9, 2006 7:25 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001
Dalton, GA 30722

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001 , Dalton, GA 30722

December 9, 2006 7:25 PM

Representative Nathan Deal
U.S. House of Representatives
2133 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Deal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001
Dalton, GA 30722

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001 , Dalton, GA 30722

December 9, 2006 7:25 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001
Dalton, GA 30722

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001 , Dalton, GA 30722

December 9, 2006 7:25 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001
Dalton, GA 30722

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001 , Dalton, GA 30722

December 9, 2006 7:25 PM

Representative Nathan Deal
U.S. House of Representatives
2133 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Deal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Holland
P.O. Box 6001
Dalton, GA 30722

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335 , Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

December 9, 2006 7:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335 , Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

December 9, 2006 7:24 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335 , Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

December 9, 2006 7:24 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335 , Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

December 9, 2006 7:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335 , Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

December 9, 2006 7:24 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rosemary Graham-Gardner
P.O.Box 3335
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C , Seattle, WA 98133

December 9, 2006 7:22 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C , Seattle, WA 98133

December 9, 2006 7:22 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C , Seattle, WA 98133

December 9, 2006 7:22 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C , Seattle, WA 98133

December 9, 2006 7:22 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C , Seattle, WA 98133

December 9, 2006 7:22 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C , Seattle, WA 98133

December 9, 2006 7:22 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Thea Blue
11515 Greenwood Ave.N. #C
Seattle, WA 98133

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita Williams
911 Bushnell St. , Beloit, WI 53511-6305

December 9, 2006 7:21 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita Williams
911 Bushnell St.
Beloit, WI 53511-6305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita Williams
911 Bushnell St. , Beloit, WI 53511-6305

December 9, 2006 7:21 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita Williams
911 Bushnell St.
Beloit, WI 53511-6305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita Williams
911 Bushnell St. , Beloit, WI 53511-6305

December 9, 2006 7:21 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita Williams
911 Bushnell St.
Beloit, WI 53511-6305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anita Williams
911 Bushnell St. , Beloit, WI 53511-6305

December 9, 2006 7:21 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anita Williams
911 Bushnell St.
Beloit, WI 53511-6305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gayle lawrence
842 corrinne drive , san antonio, TX 78218

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gayle lawrence
842 corrinne drive
san antonio, TX 78218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gayle lawrence
842 corrinne drive , san antonio, TX 78218

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gayle lawrence
842 corrinne drive
san antonio, TX 78218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gayle lawrence
842 corrinne drive , san antonio, TX 78218

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Representative Lamar Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
2184 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gayle lawrence
842 corrinne drive
san antonio, TX 78218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gayle lawrence
842 corrinne drive , san antonio, TX 78218

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gayle lawrence
842 corrinne drive
san antonio, TX 78218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708 , Honolulu, HI 96813

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Daniel Inouye
U.S. Senate
722 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inouye,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708
Honolulu, HI 96813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708 , Honolulu, HI 96813

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Representative Neil Abercrombie
U.S. House of Representatives
1502 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Abercrombie,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708
Honolulu, HI 96813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708 , Honolulu, HI 96813

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Daniel Akaka
U.S. Senate
141 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Akaka,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708
Honolulu, HI 96813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708 , Honolulu, HI 96813

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Daniel Akaka
U.S. Senate
141 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Akaka,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708
Honolulu, HI 96813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708 , Honolulu, HI 96813

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Senator Daniel Inouye
U.S. Senate
722 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inouye,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708
Honolulu, HI 96813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708 , Honolulu, HI 96813

December 9, 2006 7:17 PM

Representative Neil Abercrombie
U.S. House of Representatives
1502 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Abercrombie,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Brewer
801 S. King St Apt 3708
Honolulu, HI 96813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa , DALLAS, TX 75248

December 9, 2006 7:14 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa
DALLAS, TX 75248

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa , DALLAS, TX 75248

December 9, 2006 7:14 PM

Representative Pete Sessions
U.S. House of Representatives
1514 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa
DALLAS, TX 75248

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa , DALLAS, TX 75248

December 9, 2006 7:14 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa
DALLAS, TX 75248

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa , DALLAS, TX 75248

December 9, 2006 7:14 PM

Representative Pete Sessions
U.S. House of Representatives
1514 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa
DALLAS, TX 75248

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa , DALLAS, TX 75248

December 9, 2006 7:14 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sharon Stevenson
7641 La Risa
DALLAS, TX 75248

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave , portland, OR 97212

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave , portland, OR 97212

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave , portland, OR 97212

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave , portland, OR 97212

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave , portland, OR 97212

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave , portland, OR 97212

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff kipilman
3315 ne 41st ave
portland, OR 97212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Art&Marcy Dolin
11 Walnut Circle , Rohnert Park, CA 94928

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Art%pa_first_name%Marcy Dolin
11 Walnut Circle
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Art&Marcy Dolin
11 Walnut Circle , Rohnert Park, CA 94928

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Art%pa_first_name%Marcy Dolin
11 Walnut Circle
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Art&Marcy Dolin
11 Walnut Circle , Rohnert Park, CA 94928

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Art%pa_first_name%Marcy Dolin
11 Walnut Circle
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Art&Marcy Dolin
11 Walnut Circle , Rohnert Park, CA 94928

December 9, 2006 7:12 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Art%pa_first_name%Marcy Dolin
11 Walnut Circle
Rohnert Park, CA 94928

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Shea
PO Box 280266 , San Francisco, CA 94128

December 9, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Shea
PO Box 280266
San Francisco, CA 94128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Shea
PO Box 280266 , San Francisco, CA 94128

December 9, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Shea
PO Box 280266
San Francisco, CA 94128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Shea
PO Box 280266 , San Francisco, CA 94128

December 9, 2006 7:10 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Shea
PO Box 280266
San Francisco, CA 94128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Shea
PO Box 280266 , San Francisco, CA 94128

December 9, 2006 7:10 PM

Representative Tom Lantos
U.S. House of Representatives
2413 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lantos,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Shea
PO Box 280266
San Francisco, CA 94128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street , Falls City, NE 68355

December 9, 2006 7:09 PM

Representative Jeff Fortenberry
U. S. House of Representatives
1517 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fortenberry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street
Falls City, NE 68355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street , Falls City, NE 68355

December 9, 2006 7:09 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street
Falls City, NE 68355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street , Falls City, NE 68355

December 9, 2006 7:09 PM

Senator Ben Nelson
U.S. Senate
720 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street
Falls City, NE 68355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street , Falls City, NE 68355

December 9, 2006 7:09 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street
Falls City, NE 68355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street , Falls City, NE 68355

December 9, 2006 7:09 PM

Representative Jeff Fortenberry
U. S. House of Representatives
1517 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fortenberry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nathan Kraft
820 Reavis Street
Falls City, NE 68355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wilson Dolaghan
382 Lloyd ct. , livermore, CA 94550

December 9, 2006 7:07 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wilson Dolaghan
382 Lloyd ct.
livermore, CA 94550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wilson Dolaghan
382 Lloyd ct. , livermore, CA 94550

December 9, 2006 7:07 PM

Representative Ellen Tauscher
U.S. House of Representatives
1034 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tauscher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wilson Dolaghan
382 Lloyd ct.
livermore, CA 94550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dillon hargrave
113 w elm , Stillwater, OK 74074

December 9, 2006 7:07 PM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dillon hargrave
113 w elm
Stillwater, OK 74074

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dillon hargrave
113 w elm , Stillwater, OK 74074

December 9, 2006 7:07 PM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dillon hargrave
113 w elm
Stillwater, OK 74074

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dillon hargrave
113 w elm , Stillwater, OK 74074

December 9, 2006 7:07 PM

Representative Frank Lucas
U.S. House of Representatives
2342 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lucas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dillon hargrave
113 w elm
Stillwater, OK 74074

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

dillon hargrave
113 w elm , Stillwater, OK 74074

December 9, 2006 7:07 PM

Senator Tom Coburn
U.S. Senate
172 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
dillon hargrave
113 w elm
Stillwater, OK 74074

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wilson Dolaghan
382 Lloyd ct. , livermore, CA 94550

December 9, 2006 7:07 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wilson Dolaghan
382 Lloyd ct.
livermore, CA 94550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wilson Dolaghan
382 Lloyd ct. , livermore, CA 94550

December 9, 2006 7:07 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wilson Dolaghan
382 Lloyd ct.
livermore, CA 94550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road , Brunswick, ME 04011

December 9, 2006 7:05 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe
U.S. Senate
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road
Brunswick, ME 04011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road , Brunswick, ME 04011

December 9, 2006 7:05 PM

Senator Susan Collins
U.S. Senate
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road
Brunswick, ME 04011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road , Brunswick, ME 04011

December 9, 2006 7:05 PM

Representative Tom Allen
U.S. House of Representatives
1127 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road
Brunswick, ME 04011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road , Brunswick, ME 04011

December 9, 2006 7:05 PM

Senator Susan Collins
U.S. Senate
461 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Collins,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road
Brunswick, ME 04011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road , Brunswick, ME 04011

December 9, 2006 7:05 PM

Senator Olympia Snowe
U.S. Senate
154 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Snowe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road
Brunswick, ME 04011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road , Brunswick, ME 04011

December 9, 2006 7:05 PM

Representative Tom Allen
U.S. House of Representatives
1127 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Pierson
656 Harpswell Road
Brunswick, ME 04011

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive , Lexington, KY 40503

December 9, 2006 7:04 PM

Representative Ben Chandler
U.S. House of Representatives
1504 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive
Lexington, KY 40503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive , Lexington, KY 40503

December 9, 2006 7:04 PM

Senator Jim Bunning
U.S. Senate
316 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive
Lexington, KY 40503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive , Lexington, KY 40503

December 9, 2006 7:04 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive
Lexington, KY 40503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive , Lexington, KY 40503

December 9, 2006 7:04 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive
Lexington, KY 40503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive , Lexington, KY 40503

December 9, 2006 7:04 PM

Representative Ben Chandler
U.S. House of Representatives
1504 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Covert
624 Dardanelles Drive
Lexington, KY 40503

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd. , Rochester, NY 14618

December 9, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd.
Rochester, NY 14618

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd. , Rochester, NY 14618

December 9, 2006 7:03 PM

Representative Louise Slaughter
U.S. House of Representatives
2469 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Slaughter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd.
Rochester, NY 14618

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd. , Rochester, NY 14618

December 9, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd.
Rochester, NY 14618

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd. , Rochester, NY 14618

December 9, 2006 7:03 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd.
Rochester, NY 14618

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd. , Rochester, NY 14618

December 9, 2006 7:03 PM

Representative Louise Slaughter
U.S. House of Representatives
2469 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Slaughter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dimitri Katsetos
150 Elmore Rd.
Rochester, NY 14618

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Meneese
4912 Clairmont , Birmingham, AL 35222

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Meneese
4912 Clairmont
Birmingham, AL 35222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Meneese
4912 Clairmont , Birmingham, AL 35222

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Representative Spencer Bachus
U.S. House of Representatives
442 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bachus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Meneese
4912 Clairmont
Birmingham, AL 35222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st , beloit,, WI 53511

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st
beloit,, WI 53511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST , OMAHA, NE 68114

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST
OMAHA, NE 68114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST , OMAHA, NE 68114

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Representative Lee Terry
U.S. House of Representatives
1524 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Terry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST
OMAHA, NE 68114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Meneese
4912 Clairmont , Birmingham, AL 35222

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Meneese
4912 Clairmont
Birmingham, AL 35222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st , beloit,, WI 53511

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st
beloit,, WI 53511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST , OMAHA, NE 68114

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Ben Nelson
U.S. Senate
720 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST
OMAHA, NE 68114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st , beloit,, WI 53511

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st
beloit,, WI 53511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Meneese
4912 Clairmont , Birmingham, AL 35222

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Jeff Sessions
U.S. Senate
335 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Meneese
4912 Clairmont
Birmingham, AL 35222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Meneese
4912 Clairmont , Birmingham, AL 35222

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Representative Spencer Bachus
U.S. House of Representatives
442 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bachus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Meneese
4912 Clairmont
Birmingham, AL 35222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST , OMAHA, NE 68114

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST
OMAHA, NE 68114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST , OMAHA, NE 68114

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Representative Lee Terry
U.S. House of Representatives
1524 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Terry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike CAROLUS
1105 N 88 ST
OMAHA, NE 68114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st , beloit,, WI 53511

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st
beloit,, WI 53511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st , beloit,, WI 53511

December 9, 2006 7:02 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jerry McDaniel
722 moore st
beloit,, WI 53511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village , S. Pines, NC 28387

December 9, 2006 7:00 PM

Representative Howard Coble
U.S. House of Representatives
2468 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Coble,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village
S. Pines, NC 28387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village , S. Pines, NC 28387

December 9, 2006 7:00 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village
S. Pines, NC 28387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village , S. Pines, NC 28387

December 9, 2006 7:00 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village
S. Pines, NC 28387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village , S. Pines, NC 28387

December 9, 2006 7:00 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village
S. Pines, NC 28387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village , S. Pines, NC 28387

December 9, 2006 7:00 PM

Representative Howard Coble
U.S. House of Representatives
2468 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Coble,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village
S. Pines, NC 28387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village , S. Pines, NC 28387

December 9, 2006 7:00 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley M. Evans
626 Knollwood Village
S. Pines, NC 28387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St. , Schmitt, PA 19111

December 9, 2006 6:58 PM

Representative Allyson Schwartz
U.S. House of Representatives
423 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwartz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St.
Schmitt, PA 19111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St. , Schmitt, PA 19111

December 9, 2006 6:58 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St.
Schmitt, PA 19111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St. , Schmitt, PA 19111

December 9, 2006 6:58 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St.
Schmitt, PA 19111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St. , Schmitt, PA 19111

December 9, 2006 6:58 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St.
Schmitt, PA 19111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St. , Philadelphia, PA 19111

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Representative Allyson Schwartz
U.S. House of Representatives
423 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwartz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St.
Philadelphia, PA 19111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St. , Philadelphia, PA 19111

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St.
Philadelphia, PA 19111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St. , Philadelphia, PA 19111

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St.
Philadelphia, PA 19111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Logue
13267 Grunt Hill Road , Nevada City, CA 95959

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Logue
13267 Grunt Hill Road
Nevada City, CA 95959

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

We broke up the Bell systemm, now its like the undead. If you allow this merger, net neutrality must be maintained.The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Representative Doris Matsui
U.S. House of Representatives
2310 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matsui,

We broke up the Bell systemm, now its like the undead. If you allow this merger, net neutrality must be maintained.The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Logue
13267 Grunt Hill Road , Nevada City, CA 95959

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Logue
13267 Grunt Hill Road
Nevada City, CA 95959

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Logue
13267 Grunt Hill Road , Nevada City, CA 95959

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Logue
13267 Grunt Hill Road
Nevada City, CA 95959

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Logue
13267 Grunt Hill Road , Nevada City, CA 95959

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Representative John Doolittle
U.S. House of Representatives
2410 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doolittle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Logue
13267 Grunt Hill Road
Nevada City, CA 95959

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

We broke up the Bell systemm, now its like the undead. If you allow this merger, net neutrality must be maintained.The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Representative Doris Matsui
U.S. House of Representatives
2310 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matsui,

We broke up the Bell systemm, now its like the undead. If you allow this merger, net neutrality must be maintained.The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101 , Sacramento, CA 95814

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

We broke up the Bell systemm, now its like the undead. If you allow this merger, net neutrality must be maintained.The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terelle Terry
1701 "O" Street Apt. 101
Sacramento, CA 95814

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St. , Philadelphia, PA 19111

December 9, 2006 6:56 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Schmitt
8347 Jeanes St.
Philadelphia, PA 19111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ruth-Ann Radcliff
17687 Oak View Ln , Penn Valley, CA 95946

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ruth-Ann Radcliff
17687 Oak View Ln
Penn Valley, CA 95946

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3 , Santa Barbara, CA 93110

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Hayes
3562 E. Faria St. , CAMARILLO, CA 93010

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Hayes
3562 E. Faria St.
CAMARILLO, CA 93010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3 , Santa Barbara, CA 93110

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3 , Santa Barbara, CA 93110

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Hayes
3562 E. Faria St. , CAMARILLO, CA 93010

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Representative Elton Gallegly
U.S. House of Representatives
2427 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gallegly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Hayes
3562 E. Faria St.
CAMARILLO, CA 93010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Hayes
3562 E. Faria St. , CAMARILLO, CA 93010

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Hayes
3562 E. Faria St.
CAMARILLO, CA 93010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Hayes
3562 E. Faria St. , CAMARILLO, CA 93010

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Hayes
3562 E. Faria St.
CAMARILLO, CA 93010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3 , Santa Barbara, CA 93110

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ruth-Ann Radcliff
17687 Oak View Ln , Penn Valley, CA 95946

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Representative John Doolittle
U.S. House of Representatives
2410 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doolittle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ruth-Ann Radcliff
17687 Oak View Ln
Penn Valley, CA 95946

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ruth-Ann Radcliff
17687 Oak View Ln , Penn Valley, CA 95946

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ruth-Ann Radcliff
17687 Oak View Ln
Penn Valley, CA 95946

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ruth-Ann Radcliff
17687 Oak View Ln , Penn Valley, CA 95946

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ruth-Ann Radcliff
17687 Oak View Ln
Penn Valley, CA 95946

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3 , Santa Barbara, CA 93110

December 9, 2006 6:55 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Per Lichtman
3930 Via Lucero #3
Santa Barbara, CA 93110

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144 , Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144 , Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144 , Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Representative John Shadegg
U.S. House of Representatives
306 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shadegg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144 , Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144 , Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144 , Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Representative John Shadegg
U.S. House of Representatives
306 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shadegg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harry Levey
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 6:54 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Goodwin
2231 E Washington Avenue
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332 , Superior, WI 54880-0332

December 9, 2006 6:50 PM

Representative Dave Obey
U.S. House of Representatives
2314 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Obey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332
Superior, WI 54880-0332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332 , Superior, WI 54880-0332

December 9, 2006 6:50 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332
Superior, WI 54880-0332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332 , Superior, WI 54880-0332

December 9, 2006 6:50 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332
Superior, WI 54880-0332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332 , Superior, WI 54880-0332

December 9, 2006 6:50 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332
Superior, WI 54880-0332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332 , Superior, WI 54880-0332

December 9, 2006 6:50 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
JamesDudley Cooper
P.O. Box 332
Superior, WI 54880-0332

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Alexander
6424 Sugar Maple Ct. , Fredericksburg, VA 22407

December 9, 2006 6:47 PM

Representative Jo Ann Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1123 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Alexander
6424 Sugar Maple Ct.
Fredericksburg, VA 22407

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Alexander
6424 Sugar Maple Ct. , Fredericksburg, VA 22407

December 9, 2006 6:47 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Alexander
6424 Sugar Maple Ct.
Fredericksburg, VA 22407

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Alexander
6424 Sugar Maple Ct. , Fredericksburg, VA 22407

December 9, 2006 6:47 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Alexander
6424 Sugar Maple Ct.
Fredericksburg, VA 22407

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Alexander
6424 Sugar Maple Ct. , Fredericksburg, VA 22407

December 9, 2006 6:47 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Alexander
6424 Sugar Maple Ct.
Fredericksburg, VA 22407

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Yost
16509 Powerline Road , Redding, CA 96001

December 9, 2006 6:46 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Yost
16509 Powerline Road
Redding, CA 96001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Yost
16509 Powerline Road , Redding, CA 96001

December 9, 2006 6:46 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Yost
16509 Powerline Road
Redding, CA 96001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Yost
16509 Powerline Road , Redding, CA 96001

December 9, 2006 6:46 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Yost
16509 Powerline Road
Redding, CA 96001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Yost
16509 Powerline Road , Redding, CA 96001

December 9, 2006 6:46 PM

Representative Wally Herger
U.S. House of Representatives
2268 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Herger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Yost
16509 Powerline Road
Redding, CA 96001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr. William, Newark, CA 94560-4705

December 9, 2006 6:45 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: 94560-4705

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr.
William
Newark, CA 94560-4705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr. William, Newark, CA 94560-4705

December 9, 2006 6:45 PM

Representative Pete Stark
U.S. House of Representatives
239 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: 94560-4705

Dear Representative Stark,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr.
William
Newark, CA 94560-4705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr. William, Newark, CA 94560-4705

December 9, 2006 6:45 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: 94560-4705

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr.
William
Newark, CA 94560-4705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr. William, Newark, CA 94560-4705

December 9, 2006 6:45 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: 94560-4705

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr.
William
Newark, CA 94560-4705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr. William, Newark, CA 94560-4705

December 9, 2006 6:45 PM

Representative Pete Stark
U.S. House of Representatives
239 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: 94560-4705

Dear Representative Stark,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William D. Rausch
6249 Marguerite Dr.
William
Newark, CA 94560-4705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd , Bolivar, TN 38008

December 9, 2006 6:44 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd
Bolivar, TN 38008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd , Bolivar, TN 38008

December 9, 2006 6:44 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd
Bolivar, TN 38008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd , Bolivar, TN 38008

December 9, 2006 6:44 PM

Representative Marsha Blackburn
U.S. House of Representatives
509 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blackburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd
Bolivar, TN 38008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd , Bolivar, TN 38008

December 9, 2006 6:44 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd
Bolivar, TN 38008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd , Bolivar, TN 38008

December 9, 2006 6:44 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd
Bolivar, TN 38008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd , Bolivar, TN 38008

December 9, 2006 6:44 PM

Representative Marsha Blackburn
U.S. House of Representatives
509 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blackburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Richie
680 Russell Rd
Bolivar, TN 38008

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr. , gulf breeze, FL 32563

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC & Ethics

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr.
gulf breeze, FL 32563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482 , missuin viejo, CA 92690

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482
missuin viejo, CA 92690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482 , missuin viejo, CA 92690

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Representative Gary Miller
U.S. House of Representatives
1037 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482
missuin viejo, CA 92690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482 , missuin viejo, CA 92690

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Representative Gary Miller
U.S. House of Representatives
1037 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482
missuin viejo, CA 92690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr. , gulf breeze, FL 32563

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Representative Jeff Miller
U.S. House of Representatives
324 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: FCC & Ethics

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr.
gulf breeze, FL 32563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482 , missuin viejo, CA 92690

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482
missuin viejo, CA 92690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482 , missuin viejo, CA 92690

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ahmad sanati
p,o,box 2482
missuin viejo, CA 92690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr. , gulf breeze, FL 32563

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC & Ethics

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr.
gulf breeze, FL 32563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr. , gulf breeze, FL 32563

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: FCC & Ethics

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr.
gulf breeze, FL 32563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr. , gulf breeze, FL 32563

December 9, 2006 6:41 PM

Representative Jeff Miller
U.S. House of Representatives
324 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: FCC & Ethics

Dear Representative Miller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
j.a. hoffman
6399 heronwalk dr.
gulf breeze, FL 32563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Therese MacKenzie
7040 N. Sheridan Road , Chicago, IL 60626-2947

December 9, 2006 6:39 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Net Neutrality

Dear Senator Obama,

Having watched Bill Moyers TV show about Net neutrality, I feel very strongly about the importance of preserving it. I therefore urge you to resist the efforts of AT&T and BellSouth, to merge. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Therese MacKenzie
7040 N. Sheridan Road
Chicago, IL 60626-2947

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Therese MacKenzie
7040 N. Sheridan Road , Chicago, IL 60626-2947

December 9, 2006 6:39 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Net Neutrality

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

Having watched Bill Moyers TV show about Net neutrality, I feel very strongly about the importance of preserving it. I therefore urge you to resist the efforts of AT&T and BellSouth, to merge. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Therese MacKenzie
7040 N. Sheridan Road
Chicago, IL 60626-2947

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Therese MacKenzie
7040 N. Sheridan Road , Chicago, IL 60626-2947

December 9, 2006 6:39 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Net Neutrality

Dear Senator Durbin,

Having watched Bill Moyers TV show about Net neutrality, I feel very strongly about the importance of preserving it. I therefore urge you to resist the efforts of AT&T and BellSouth, to merge. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Therese MacKenzie
7040 N. Sheridan Road
Chicago, IL 60626-2947

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Therese MacKenzie
7040 N. Sheridan Road , Chicago, IL 60626-2947

December 9, 2006 6:39 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Net Neutrality

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

Having watched Bill Moyers TV show about Net neutrality, I feel very strongly about the importance of preserving it. I therefore urge you to resist the efforts of AT&T and BellSouth, to merge. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Therese MacKenzie
7040 N. Sheridan Road
Chicago, IL 60626-2947

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Dezendorf
PO Box 68 , Townshend, VT 05353

December 9, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

I ask when did the United States become governed by the Corporations instead of being Government of the people, for the people, and by the people.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Dezendorf
PO Box 68
Townshend, VT 05353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Dezendorf
PO Box 68 , Townshend, VT 05353

December 9, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

I ask when did the United States become governed by the Corporations instead of being Government of the people, for the people, and by the people.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Dezendorf
PO Box 68
Townshend, VT 05353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Dezendorf
PO Box 68 , Townshend, VT 05353

December 9, 2006 6:38 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

I ask when did the United States become governed by the Corporations instead of being Government of the people, for the people, and by the people.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Dezendorf
PO Box 68
Townshend, VT 05353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Dezendorf
PO Box 68 , Townshend, VT 05353

December 9, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

I ask when did the United States become governed by the Corporations instead of being Government of the people, for the people, and by the people.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Dezendorf
PO Box 68
Townshend, VT 05353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Dezendorf
PO Box 68 , Townshend, VT 05353

December 9, 2006 6:38 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

I ask when did the United States become governed by the Corporations instead of being Government of the people, for the people, and by the people.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Dezendorf
PO Box 68
Townshend, VT 05353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Dezendorf
PO Box 68 , Townshend, VT 05353

December 9, 2006 6:38 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

I ask when did the United States become governed by the Corporations instead of being Government of the people, for the people, and by the people.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Dezendorf
PO Box 68
Townshend, VT 05353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles McCall
278 Vine St. , West Bend, WI 53095

December 9, 2006 6:37 PM

Representative James Sensenbrenner
U.S. House of Representatives
2449 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sensenbrenner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles McCall
278 Vine St.
West Bend, WI 53095

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles McCall
278 Vine St. , West Bend, WI 53095

December 9, 2006 6:37 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles McCall
278 Vine St.
West Bend, WI 53095

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles McCall
278 Vine St. , West Bend, WI 53095

December 9, 2006 6:37 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles McCall
278 Vine St.
West Bend, WI 53095

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles McCall
278 Vine St. , West Bend, WI 53095

December 9, 2006 6:37 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles McCall
278 Vine St.
West Bend, WI 53095

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles McCall
278 Vine St. , West Bend, WI 53095

December 9, 2006 6:37 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles McCall
278 Vine St.
West Bend, WI 53095

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles McCall
278 Vine St. , West Bend, WI 53095

December 9, 2006 6:37 PM

Representative James Sensenbrenner
U.S. House of Representatives
2449 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sensenbrenner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles McCall
278 Vine St.
West Bend, WI 53095

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16 , North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

December 9, 2006 6:33 PM

Representative Richard Neal
U.S. House of Representatives
2266 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Neal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16
North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16 , North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

December 9, 2006 6:33 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16
North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16 , North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

December 9, 2006 6:33 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16
North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16 , North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

December 9, 2006 6:33 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16
North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16 , North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

December 9, 2006 6:33 PM

Representative Richard Neal
U.S. House of Representatives
2266 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Neal,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah Giniewicz
28 Thayer Pond Dr Apt 16
North Oxford, MA 01537-1124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candy Bowman
2674 Woodridge Court # 1 , Placerville, CA 95667-4036

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candy Bowman
2674 Woodridge Court # 1
Placerville, CA 95667-4036

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candy Bowman
2674 Woodridge Court # 1 , Placerville, CA 95667-4036

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Representative John Doolittle
U.S. House of Representatives
2410 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doolittle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candy Bowman
2674 Woodridge Court # 1
Placerville, CA 95667-4036

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candy Bowman
2674 Woodridge Court # 1 , Placerville, CA 95667-4036

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candy Bowman
2674 Woodridge Court # 1
Placerville, CA 95667-4036

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Satchwell
3404 Burbank
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candy Bowman
2674 Woodridge Court # 1 , Placerville, CA 95667-4036

December 9, 2006 6:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candy Bowman
2674 Woodridge Court # 1
Placerville, CA 95667-4036

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvia Kent
Box 10353 , Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

December 9, 2006 6:29 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Kent
Box 10353
Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvia Kent
Box 10353 , Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

December 9, 2006 6:29 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Kent
Box 10353
Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvia Kent
Box 10353 , Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

December 9, 2006 6:29 PM

Representative Ginny Brown-Waite
U.S. House of Representatives
414 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown-Waite,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Kent
Box 10353
Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvia Kent
Box 10353 , Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

December 9, 2006 6:29 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Kent
Box 10353
Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sylvia Kent
Box 10353 , Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

December 9, 2006 6:29 PM

Representative Ginny Brown-Waite
U.S. House of Representatives
414 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown-Waite,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sylvia Kent
Box 10353
Brooksville, FL 34603-0353

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive , San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

December 9, 2006 6:27 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive
San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive , San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

December 9, 2006 6:27 PM

Representative Henry Bonilla
U.S. House of Representatives
2458 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bonilla,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive
San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive , San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

December 9, 2006 6:27 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive
San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive , San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

December 9, 2006 6:27 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive
San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive , San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

December 9, 2006 6:27 PM

Representative Henry Bonilla
U.S. House of Representatives
2458 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bonilla,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Steven G. Kellman
302 Fawn Drive
San Antonio, TX 78231-1519

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heather Orn
1557 Marcia Drive , Rantoul, IL 61866-

December 9, 2006 6:26 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heather Orn
1557 Marcia Drive
Rantoul, IL 61866-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heather Orn
1557 Marcia Drive , Rantoul, IL 61866-

December 9, 2006 6:26 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heather Orn
1557 Marcia Drive
Rantoul, IL 61866-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heather Orn
1557 Marcia Drive , Rantoul, IL 61866-

December 9, 2006 6:26 PM

Representative Timothy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1229 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heather Orn
1557 Marcia Drive
Rantoul, IL 61866-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heather Orn
1557 Marcia Drive , Rantoul, IL 61866-

December 9, 2006 6:26 PM

Representative Timothy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1229 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heather Orn
1557 Marcia Drive
Rantoul, IL 61866-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail , Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

December 9, 2006 6:25 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail
Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail , Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

December 9, 2006 6:25 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail
Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail , Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

December 9, 2006 6:25 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail
Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail , Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

December 9, 2006 6:25 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail
Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail , Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

December 9, 2006 6:25 PM

Representative Jim Kolbe
U.S. House of Representatives
237 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kolbe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lee Cudaback
2809 papago Trail
Sierra Vista, AZ 85650

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Craig Tappen
1322 Ledell Dr , Reding, CA 96002-3607

December 9, 2006 6:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Craig Tappen
1322 Ledell Dr
Reding, CA 96002-3607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Craig Tappen
1322 Ledell Dr , Reding, CA 96002-3607

December 9, 2006 6:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Craig Tappen
1322 Ledell Dr
Reding, CA 96002-3607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Craig Tappen
1322 Ledell Dr , Reding, CA 96002-3607

December 9, 2006 6:24 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Craig Tappen
1322 Ledell Dr
Reding, CA 96002-3607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St. , Palmyra, NJ 08065

December 9, 2006 6:22 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

TO MY SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVE:

You know how my family and I feel about the importance of Net Neutrality, and I trust you to do whatever is necessary to prevent the FCC from undermining this principle and authorizing another megamerger between AT&T and Bell South without any limitations on their power to control MY airwaves.

If you need further information, please read the following:

It is the FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

TO CHAIRMAN MARTIN OF THE FCC:

Show some backbone. Do what's right and ethical and best for our country, not what is most profitable for you!

Sincerely,
Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St.
Palmyra, NJ 08065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St. , Palmyra, NJ 08065

December 9, 2006 6:22 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

TO MY SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVE:

You know how my family and I feel about the importance of Net Neutrality, and I trust you to do whatever is necessary to prevent the FCC from undermining this principle and authorizing another megamerger between AT&T and Bell South without any limitations on their power to control MY airwaves.

If you need further information, please read the following:

It is the FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

TO CHAIRMAN MARTIN OF THE FCC:

Show some backbone. Do what's right and ethical and best for our country, not what is most profitable for you!

Sincerely,
Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St.
Palmyra, NJ 08065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St. , Palmyra, NJ 08065

December 9, 2006 6:22 PM

Representative Robert Andrews
U.S. House of Representatives
2439 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Andrews,

TO MY SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVE:

You know how my family and I feel about the importance of Net Neutrality, and I trust you to do whatever is necessary to prevent the FCC from undermining this principle and authorizing another megamerger between AT&T and Bell South without any limitations on their power to control MY airwaves.

If you need further information, please read the following:

It is the FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

TO CHAIRMAN MARTIN OF THE FCC:

Show some backbone. Do what's right and ethical and best for our country, not what is most profitable for you!

Sincerely,
Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St.
Palmyra, NJ 08065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St. , Palmyra, NJ 08065

December 9, 2006 6:22 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

TO MY SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVE:

You know how my family and I feel about the importance of Net Neutrality, and I trust you to do whatever is necessary to prevent the FCC from undermining this principle and authorizing another megamerger between AT&T and Bell South without any limitations on their power to control MY airwaves.

If you need further information, please read the following:

It is the FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

TO CHAIRMAN MARTIN OF THE FCC:

Show some backbone. Do what's right and ethical and best for our country, not what is most profitable for you!

Sincerely,
Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St.
Palmyra, NJ 08065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St. , Palmyra, NJ 08065

December 9, 2006 6:22 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

TO MY SENATORS AND REPRESENTATIVE:

You know how my family and I feel about the importance of Net Neutrality, and I trust you to do whatever is necessary to prevent the FCC from undermining this principle and authorizing another megamerger between AT&T and Bell South without any limitations on their power to control MY airwaves.

If you need further information, please read the following:

It is the FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by

agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

TO CHAIRMAN MARTIN OF THE FCC:

Show some backbone. Do what's right and ethical and best for our country, not what is most profitable for you!

Sincerely,
Deborah Chaiken
31 Pear St.
Palmyra, NJ 08065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Peggy Mitchell
600 Natchez Ct , Hurst, TX 76054

December 9, 2006 6:21 PM

Representative Kenny Marchant
U.S. House of Representatives
501 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Marchant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Peggy Mitchell
600 Natchez Ct
Hurst, TX 76054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Peggy Mitchell
600 Natchez Ct , Hurst, TX 76054

December 9, 2006 6:21 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Peggy Mitchell
600 Natchez Ct
Hurst, TX 76054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Peggy Mitchell
600 Natchez Ct , Hurst, TX 76054

December 9, 2006 6:21 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Peggy Mitchell
600 Natchez Ct
Hurst, TX 76054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John and Peggy Mitchell
600 Natchez Ct , Hurst, TX 76054

December 9, 2006 6:20 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John and Peggy Mitchell
600 Natchez Ct
Hurst, TX 76054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way , Athens, GA 30605

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way
Athens, GA 30605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way , Athens, GA 30605

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Representative John Barrow
U.S. House of Representatives
226 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Barrow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way
Athens, GA 30605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way , Athens, GA 30605

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way
Athens, GA 30605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Jim Demint
U. S. Senate
340 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Representative Henry Brown
U.S. House of Representatives
1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way , Athens, GA 30605

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way
Athens, GA 30605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way , Athens, GA 30605

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Representative John Barrow
U.S. House of Representatives
226 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Barrow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Espana
170 Gibbons Way
Athens, GA 30605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 6:19 PM

Representative Henry Brown
U.S. House of Representatives
1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tina Johnston
21 Guerry Circle
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Ely
39 Kenneth Dr , Euless, TX 76040

December 9, 2006 6:18 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Ely
39 Kenneth Dr
Euless, TX 76040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Ely
39 Kenneth Dr , Euless, TX 76040

December 9, 2006 6:18 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Ely
39 Kenneth Dr
Euless, TX 76040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Ely
39 Kenneth Dr , Euless, TX 76040

December 9, 2006 6:18 PM

Representative Kenny Marchant
U.S. House of Representatives
501 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Marchant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Ely
39 Kenneth Dr
Euless, TX 76040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Ely
39 Kenneth Dr , Euless, TX 76040

December 9, 2006 6:18 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Ely
39 Kenneth Dr
Euless, TX 76040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock , Portland, OR 97206

December 9, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock
Portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock , Portland, OR 97206

December 9, 2006 6:17 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock
Portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock , Portland, OR 97206

December 9, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock
Portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock , Portland, OR 97206

December 9, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock
Portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock , Portland, OR 97206

December 9, 2006 6:17 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock
Portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock , Portland, OR 97206

December 9, 2006 6:17 PM

Representative Earl Blumenauer
U.S. House of Representatives
2446 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Blumenauer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Breece
6516 SE Woodstock
Portland, OR 97206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina Apt. E-210, Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina
Apt. E-210
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina Apt. E-210, Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina
Apt. E-210
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina Apt. E-210, Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina
Apt. E-210
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina Apt. E-210, Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina
Apt. E-210
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina Apt. E-210, Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Representative Jane Harman
U.S. House of Representatives
2400 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eleanor Katzman
4025 Via Marina
Apt. E-210
Marina del Rey, CA 90292-8203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francesca Bellin
3 River st Apt B, Fair Haven, VT 05743

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francesca Bellin
3 River st
Apt B
Fair Haven, VT 05743

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francesca Bellin
3 River st Apt B, Fair Haven, VT 05743

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francesca Bellin
3 River st
Apt B
Fair Haven, VT 05743

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francesca Bellin
3 River st Apt B, Fair Haven, VT 05743

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francesca Bellin
3 River st
Apt B
Fair Haven, VT 05743

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francesca Bellin
3 River st Apt B, Fair Haven, VT 05743

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francesca Bellin
3 River st
Apt B
Fair Haven, VT 05743

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francesca Bellin
3 River st Apt B, Fair Haven, VT 05743

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francesca Bellin
3 River st
Apt B
Fair Haven, VT 05743

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francesca Bellin
3 River st Apt B, Fair Haven, VT 05743

December 9, 2006 6:13 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francesca Bellin
3 River st
Apt B
Fair Haven, VT 05743

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beverly Scaff
2449 Pine Knoll Dr. #2 , Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2192

December 9, 2006 6:12 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beverly Scaff
2449 Pine Knoll Dr. #2
Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beverly Scaff
2449 Pine Knoll Dr. #2 , Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2192

December 9, 2006 6:12 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beverly Scaff
2449 Pine Knoll Dr. #2
Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beverly Scaff
2449 Pine Knoll Dr. #2 , Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2192

December 9, 2006 6:12 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beverly Scaff
2449 Pine Knoll Dr. #2
Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beverly Scaff
2449 Pine Knoll Dr. #2 , Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2192

December 9, 2006 6:12 PM

Representative Ellen Tauscher
U.S. House of Representatives
1034 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tauscher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beverly Scaff
2449 Pine Knoll Dr. #2
Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane , Columbia, MD 21044

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Representative Benjamin Cardin
U.S. House of Representatives
2207 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cardin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane , Columbia, MD 21044

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane , Columbia, MD 21044

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane , Columbia, MD 21044

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Representative Benjamin Cardin
U.S. House of Representatives
2207 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cardin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sashi muralidharan
3639 Fanwood Ave , Long Beach, CA 90808

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sashi muralidharan
3639 Fanwood Ave
Long Beach, CA 90808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sashi muralidharan
3639 Fanwood Ave , Long Beach, CA 90808

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Representative Juanita Millender-McDonald
U.S. House of Representatives
2445 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Millender-McDonald,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sashi muralidharan
3639 Fanwood Ave
Long Beach, CA 90808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sashi muralidharan
3639 Fanwood Ave , Long Beach, CA 90808

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sashi muralidharan
3639 Fanwood Ave
Long Beach, CA 90808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

sashi muralidharan
3639 Fanwood Ave , Long Beach, CA 90808

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
sashi muralidharan
3639 Fanwood Ave
Long Beach, CA 90808

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane , Columbia, MD 21044

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane , Columbia, MD 21044

December 9, 2006 6:10 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james harrell
7920 harriet tubman lane
Columbia, MD 21044

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St. , Hurley, NM 88043-9502

December 9, 2006 6:09 PM

Representative Steve Pearce
U.S. House of Representatives
1607 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pearce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St.
Hurley, NM 88043-9502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St. , Hurley, NM 88043-9502

December 9, 2006 6:09 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St.
Hurley, NM 88043-9502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St. , Hurley, NM 88043-9502

December 9, 2006 6:09 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St.
Hurley, NM 88043-9502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St. , Hurley, NM 88043-9502

December 9, 2006 6:09 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St.
Hurley, NM 88043-9502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St. , Hurley, NM 88043-9502

December 9, 2006 6:09 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ginny Achin
RR1 Box 70, 14 Geronimo St.
Hurley, NM 88043-9502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee , Las Cruces, NM 88005

December 9, 2006 6:07 PM

Representative Steve Pearce
U.S. House of Representatives
1607 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pearce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee
Las Cruces, NM 88005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee , Las Cruces, NM 88005

December 9, 2006 6:07 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee
Las Cruces, NM 88005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee , Las Cruces, NM 88005

December 9, 2006 6:07 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee
Las Cruces, NM 88005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee , Las Cruces, NM 88005

December 9, 2006 6:07 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee
Las Cruces, NM 88005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee , Las Cruces, NM 88005

December 9, 2006 6:07 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Howe
807 Brownlee
Las Cruces, NM 88005

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave , Costa Mesa, CA 92627

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Tostado
24843 Del Prado #158, Dana Point, CA 92629

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Tostado
24843 Del Prado
#158
Dana Point, CA 92629

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave , Costa Mesa, CA 92627

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Representative Dana Rohrabacher
U.S. House of Representatives
2338 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rohrabacher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave , Costa Mesa, CA 92627

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Representative Dana Rohrabacher
U.S. House of Representatives
2338 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rohrabacher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave , Costa Mesa, CA 92627

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Tostado
24843 Del Prado #158, Dana Point, CA 92629

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Tostado
24843 Del Prado
#158
Dana Point, CA 92629

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave , Costa Mesa, CA 92627

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Betts Harley
2021 Irvine Ave
Costa Mesa, CA 92627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Tostado
24843 Del Prado #158, Dana Point, CA 92629

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Representative John Campbell
U.S. House of Representatives
2402 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Campbell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Tostado
24843 Del Prado
#158
Dana Point, CA 92629

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Tostado
24843 Del Prado #158, Dana Point, CA 92629

December 9, 2006 6:06 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Tostado
24843 Del Prado
#158
Dana Point, CA 92629

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace , Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace
Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace , Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace
Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace , Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Representative Lincoln Diaz-Balart
U.S. House of Representatives
2244 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Diaz-Balart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace
Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claudia Rincon
6 Washington Pl , New York, NY 10003

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claudia Rincon
6 Washington Pl
New York, NY 10003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claudia Rincon
6 Washington Pl , New York, NY 10003

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claudia Rincon
6 Washington Pl
New York, NY 10003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claudia Rincon
6 Washington Pl , New York, NY 10003

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claudia Rincon
6 Washington Pl
New York, NY 10003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace , Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace
Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace , Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Representative Lincoln Diaz-Balart
U.S. House of Representatives
2244 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Diaz-Balart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandee Sousa
5530 NW 180th Terrace
Miami Gdns., FL 33055-3164

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claudia Rincon
6 Washington Pl , New York, NY 10003

December 9, 2006 6:05 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claudia Rincon
6 Washington Pl
New York, NY 10003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave , North Hollywood, CA 91605

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave , North Hollywood, CA 91605

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Representative Howard Berman
U.S. House of Representatives
2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C , Henderson, NV 89052

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Representative Jon Porter
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Porter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C
Henderson, NV 89052

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave , North Hollywood, CA 91605

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave , North Hollywood, CA 91605

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C , Henderson, NV 89052

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C
Henderson, NV 89052

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C , Henderson, NV 89052

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C
Henderson, NV 89052

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave , North Hollywood, CA 91605

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Representative Howard Berman
U.S. House of Representatives
2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Galvez
8115 Vanscoy Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C , Henderson, NV 89052

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C
Henderson, NV 89052

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C , Henderson, NV 89052

December 9, 2006 6:03 PM

Representative Jon Porter
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Porter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William M Seyfried Jr
2997 Duxbury Drive Unit C
Henderson, NV 89052

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Steichen
1816 62nd St. , Berkeley, CA 94703

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Steichen
1816 62nd St.
Berkeley, CA 94703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Steichen
1816 62nd St. , Berkeley, CA 94703

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Steichen
1816 62nd St.
Berkeley, CA 94703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Steichen
1816 62nd St. , Berkeley, CA 94703

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Steichen
1816 62nd St.
Berkeley, CA 94703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Steichen
1816 62nd St. , Berkeley, CA 94703

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Steichen
1816 62nd St.
Berkeley, CA 94703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marcus Connaghan
1750 El Cerrito Place #9 , Hollywood, CA 90028-8628

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marcus Connaghan
1750 El Cerrito Place #9
Hollywood, CA 90028-8628

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Steichen
1816 62nd St. , Berkeley, CA 94703

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Steichen
1816 62nd St.
Berkeley, CA 94703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marcus Connaghan
1750 El Cerrito Place #9 , Hollywood, CA 90028-8628

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marcus Connaghan
1750 El Cerrito Place #9
Hollywood, CA 90028-8628

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marcus Connaghan
1750 El Cerrito Place #9 , Hollywood, CA 90028-8628

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marcus Connaghan
1750 El Cerrito Place #9
Hollywood, CA 90028-8628

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marcus Connaghan
1750 El Cerrito Place #9 , Hollywood, CA 90028-8628

December 9, 2006 6:01 PM

Representative Henry Waxman
U.S. House of Representatives
2204 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Waxman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marcus Connaghan
1750 El Cerrito Place #9
Hollywood, CA 90028-8628

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201 , VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201
VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201 , VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Representative Howard Berman
U.S. House of Representatives
2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201
VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201 , VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201
VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201 , VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Representative Howard Berman
U.S. House of Representatives
2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201
VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201 , VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
power Leyba
11548 Magnolia Blvd. #201
VALLEY VILLAGE, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way , Middleton, WI 53562

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way
Middleton, WI 53562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way , Middleton, WI 53562

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way
Middleton, WI 53562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way , Middleton, WI 53562

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way
Middleton, WI 53562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way , Middleton, WI 53562

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way
Middleton, WI 53562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way , Middleton, WI 53562

December 9, 2006 6:00 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kent Vollmar
5304 South Ridge Way
Middleton, WI 53562

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Crane
378 Bradley Ave , State College, PA 16801

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Crane
378 Bradley Ave
State College, PA 16801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Crane
378 Bradley Ave , State College, PA 16801

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Representative John Peterson
U.S. House of Representatives
123 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Peterson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Crane
378 Bradley Ave
State College, PA 16801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue First Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Representative Chaka Fattah
U.S. House of Representatives
2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fattah,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue
First Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Crane
378 Bradley Ave , State College, PA 16801

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Crane
378 Bradley Ave
State College, PA 16801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Crane
378 Bradley Ave , State College, PA 16801

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Crane
378 Bradley Ave
State College, PA 16801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue First Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue
First Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue First Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue
First Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue First Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue
First Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue First Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

December 9, 2006 5:59 PM

Representative Chaka Fattah
U.S. House of Representatives
2301 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fattah,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dana Cooper
5235 Wissahickon Avenue
First Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19144-4013

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St. , North Hills, CA 91343

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St.
North Hills, CA 91343

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St. , North Hills, CA 91343

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Representative Brad Sherman
U.S. House of Representatives
1030 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sherman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St.
North Hills, CA 91343

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St. , North Hills, CA 91343

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Representative Brad Sherman
U.S. House of Representatives
1030 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sherman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St.
North Hills, CA 91343

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave , Roswell, NM 88201

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave
Roswell, NM 88201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave , Roswell, NM 88201

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave
Roswell, NM 88201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St. , North Hills, CA 91343

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St.
North Hills, CA 91343

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St. , North Hills, CA 91343

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lorayne Ray
15949 Chase St.
North Hills, CA 91343

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave , Roswell, NM 88201

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Representative Steve Pearce
U.S. House of Representatives
1607 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pearce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave
Roswell, NM 88201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave , Roswell, NM 88201

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave
Roswell, NM 88201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave , Roswell, NM 88201

December 9, 2006 5:53 PM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jan Johnson
404 N. Mulberry Ave
Roswell, NM 88201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301 , Oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 5:50 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301
Oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301 , Oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 5:50 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301
Oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301 , Oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 5:50 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301
Oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301 , Oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 5:50 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301
Oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301 , Oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 5:50 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Isabel Kimble
199 Montecito Ave., #301
Oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Johnson
426 Williams St. , Lebanon,, OR 97355

December 9, 2006 5:48 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Johnson
426 Williams St.
Lebanon,, OR 97355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Johnson
426 Williams St. , Lebanon,, OR 97355

December 9, 2006 5:48 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Johnson
426 Williams St.
Lebanon,, OR 97355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Johnson
426 Williams St. , Lebanon,, OR 97355

December 9, 2006 5:48 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Johnson
426 Williams St.
Lebanon,, OR 97355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cynthia Johnson
426 Williams St. , Lebanon,, OR 97355

December 9, 2006 5:48 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Johnson
426 Williams St.
Lebanon,, OR 97355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street , Milwaukee, WI 53219

December 9, 2006 5:43 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street , Milwaukee, WI 53219

December 9, 2006 5:43 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street , Milwaukee, WI 53219

December 9, 2006 5:43 PM

Representative Gwen Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1408 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street , Milwaukee, WI 53219

December 9, 2006 5:43 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street , Milwaukee, WI 53219

December 9, 2006 5:43 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street , Milwaukee, WI 53219

December 9, 2006 5:43 PM

Representative Gwen Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1408 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Paul Zamecnik
2968 South 49th Street
Milwaukee, WI 53219

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A , Oakland, CA 94607

December 9, 2006 5:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A
Oakland, CA 94607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A , Oakland, CA 94607

December 9, 2006 5:42 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A
Oakland, CA 94607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A , Oakland, CA 94607

December 9, 2006 5:42 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A
Oakland, CA 94607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A , Oakland, CA 94607

December 9, 2006 5:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A
Oakland, CA 94607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A , Oakland, CA 94607

December 9, 2006 5:42 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sandy Sanders
2200 Adeline St., #250A
Oakland, CA 94607

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike flaherty
10805 packard st , oakland, CA 94603

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike flaherty
10805 packard st
oakland, CA 94603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike flaherty
10805 packard st , oakland, CA 94603

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike flaherty
10805 packard st
oakland, CA 94603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike flaherty
10805 packard st , oakland, CA 94603

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike flaherty
10805 packard st
oakland, CA 94603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane , Owego, NY 13827

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Representative Sherwood Boehlert
U.S. House of Representatives
2246 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boehlert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane
Owego, NY 13827

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane , Owego, NY 13827

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane
Owego, NY 13827

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike flaherty
10805 packard st , oakland, CA 94603

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike flaherty
10805 packard st
oakland, CA 94603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

mike flaherty
10805 packard st , oakland, CA 94603

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
mike flaherty
10805 packard st
oakland, CA 94603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane , Owego, NY 13827

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane
Owego, NY 13827

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane , Owego, NY 13827

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane
Owego, NY 13827

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane , Owego, NY 13827

December 9, 2006 5:40 PM

Representative Sherwood Boehlert
U.S. House of Representatives
2246 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boehlert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Gause
3 Kingsgate Lane
Owego, NY 13827

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place , Paradise Valley, CA 92260

December 9, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place
Paradise Valley, CA 92260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place , Paradise Valley, CA 92260

December 9, 2006 5:39 PM

Representative Mary Bono
U.S. House of Representatives
405 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bono,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place
Paradise Valley, CA 92260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place , Paradise Valley, CA 92260

December 9, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place
Paradise Valley, CA 92260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place , Paradise Valley, CA 92260

December 9, 2006 5:39 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place
Paradise Valley, CA 92260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place , Paradise Valley, CA 92260

December 9, 2006 5:39 PM

Representative Mary Bono
U.S. House of Representatives
405 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bono,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy K. Schneider
8 Presidio Place
Paradise Valley, CA 92260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane , Tucson, AZ 85712

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane
Tucson, AZ 85712

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane , Tucson, AZ 85712

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane
Tucson, AZ 85712

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane , Tucson, AZ 85712

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Representative Jim Kolbe
U.S. House of Representatives
237 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kolbe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane
Tucson, AZ 85712

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane , Tucson, AZ 85712

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane
Tucson, AZ 85712

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane , Tucson, AZ 85712

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shirley Muney
3479 N. Nandina Lane
Tucson, AZ 85712

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd. , Candler, NC 28715

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd.
Candler, NC 28715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd. , Candler, NC 28715

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd.
Candler, NC 28715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd. , Candler, NC 28715

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd.
Candler, NC 28715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd. , Candler, NC 28715

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Representative Charles Taylor
U.S. House of Representatives
339 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Taylor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd.
Candler, NC 28715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd. , Candler, NC 28715

December 9, 2006 5:36 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laurel Meyer
506 Monte Vista Rd.
Candler, NC 28715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street , Pittsfield, MA 01201

December 9, 2006 5:35 PM

Representative John Olver
U.S. House of Representatives
1111 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street
Pittsfield, MA 01201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street , Pittsfield, MA 01201

December 9, 2006 5:35 PM

Representative John Olver
U.S. House of Representatives
1111 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street
Pittsfield, MA 01201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street , Pittsfield, MA 01201

December 9, 2006 5:35 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street
Pittsfield, MA 01201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street , Pittsfield, MA 01201

December 9, 2006 5:35 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street
Pittsfield, MA 01201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street , Pittsfield, MA 01201

December 9, 2006 5:35 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Turner
125 Lincoln Street
Pittsfield, MA 01201

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2 , Morgan, MN 56266-1130

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2
Morgan, MN 56266-1130

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2 , Morgan, MN 56266-1130

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Representative Collin Peterson
U.S. House of Representatives
2159 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Peterson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2
Morgan, MN 56266-1130

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2 , Morgan, MN 56266-1130

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2
Morgan, MN 56266-1130

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St , Opelousas, LA 70570

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Senator David Vitter
U.S. Senate
516 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Vitter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St
Opelousas, LA 70570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St , Opelousas, LA 70570

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Senator Mary Landrieu
U.S. Senate
724 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Landrieu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St
Opelousas, LA 70570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St , Opelousas, LA 70570

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Representative Charles Boustany
U.S. House of Representatives
1117 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boustany,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St
Opelousas, LA 70570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St , Opelousas, LA 70570

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Senator Mary Landrieu
U.S. Senate
724 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Landrieu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St
Opelousas, LA 70570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St , Opelousas, LA 70570

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Senator David Vitter
U.S. Senate
516 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Vitter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St
Opelousas, LA 70570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St , Opelousas, LA 70570

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Representative Charles Boustany
U.S. House of Representatives
1117 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boustany,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bradford Jackson
413 N Main St
Opelousas, LA 70570

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2 , Morgan, MN 56266-1130

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2
Morgan, MN 56266-1130

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2 , Morgan, MN 56266-1130

December 9, 2006 5:34 PM

Representative Collin Peterson
U.S. House of Representatives
2159 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Peterson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Meyer
19888 County Highway 2
Morgan, MN 56266-1130

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

elizabeth elliott
23451 caminito basilio , laguna hills, CA 92653

December 9, 2006 5:30 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
elizabeth elliott
23451 caminito basilio
laguna hills, CA 92653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

elizabeth elliott
23451 caminito basilio , laguna hills, CA 92653

December 9, 2006 5:30 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
elizabeth elliott
23451 caminito basilio
laguna hills, CA 92653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

elizabeth elliott
23451 caminito basilio , laguna hills, CA 92653

December 9, 2006 5:30 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
elizabeth elliott
23451 caminito basilio
laguna hills, CA 92653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

elizabeth elliott
23451 caminito basilio , laguna hills, CA 92653

December 9, 2006 5:30 PM

Representative John Campbell
U.S. House of Representatives
2402 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Campbell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
elizabeth elliott
23451 caminito basilio
laguna hills, CA 92653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144, Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 5:29 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St.
Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144, Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 5:29 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St.
Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144, Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 5:29 PM

Representative John Shadegg
U.S. House of Representatives
306 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shadegg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St.
Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144, Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 5:29 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St.
Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144, Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 5:29 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St.
Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St. Unit 144, Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

December 9, 2006 5:29 PM

Representative John Shadegg
U.S. House of Representatives
306 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shadegg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeane Bendorf
5104 N. 32nd St.
Unit 144
Phoenix, AZ 85018-1490

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matt Weber
451 South Royal Court , Palatine, IL 60067

December 9, 2006 5:28 PM

Representative Mark Kirk
U.S. House of Representatives
1717 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kirk,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matt Weber
451 South Royal Court
Palatine, IL 60067

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matt Weber
451 South Royal Court , Palatine, IL 60067

December 9, 2006 5:28 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matt Weber
451 South Royal Court
Palatine, IL 60067

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matt Weber
451 South Royal Court , Palatine, IL 60067

December 9, 2006 5:28 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matt Weber
451 South Royal Court
Palatine, IL 60067

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons , Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons
Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons , Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons
Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons , Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons
Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive , Winston-Salem, NC 27107

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive , Winston-Salem, NC 27107

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Representative Mel Watt
U.S. House of Representatives
2236 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Watt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive , Winston-Salem, NC 27107

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St , Gladstone, MO 64118

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St
Gladstone, MO 64118

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St , Gladstone, MO 64118

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Representative Sam Graves
U.S. House of Representatives
1513 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Graves,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St
Gladstone, MO 64118

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St , Gladstone, MO 64118

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St
Gladstone, MO 64118

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St , Gladstone, MO 64118

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St
Gladstone, MO 64118

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St , Gladstone, MO 64118

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Representative Sam Graves
U.S. House of Representatives
1513 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Graves,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Grenko
5101 N Jefferson St
Gladstone, MO 64118

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive , Winston-Salem, NC 27107

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive , Winston-Salem, NC 27107

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Representative Mel Watt
U.S. House of Representatives
2236 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Watt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons , Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons
Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons , Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons
Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons , Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Walter Schwarz
60 Morningside Commons
Brattleboro, VT 05301-3644

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive , Winston-Salem, NC 27107

December 9, 2006 5:26 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Natasha Borowiak
488 Lake Drive
Winston-Salem, NC 27107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr , Camano Is, WA 98282

December 9, 2006 5:25 PM

Representative Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
107 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr
Camano Is, WA 98282

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr , Camano Is, WA 98282

December 9, 2006 5:25 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr
Camano Is, WA 98282

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr , Camano Is, WA 98282

December 9, 2006 5:25 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr
Camano Is, WA 98282

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr , Camano Is, WA 98282

December 9, 2006 5:25 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr
Camano Is, WA 98282

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr , Camano Is, WA 98282

December 9, 2006 5:25 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr
Camano Is, WA 98282

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr , Camano Is, WA 98282

December 9, 2006 5:25 PM

Representative Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
107 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anne Daletski
1800 SE Camano Dr
Camano Is, WA 98282

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave. , Madison, NJ 07940

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Representative Rodney Frelinghuysen
U.S. House of Representatives
2442 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Frelinghuysen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave.
Madison, NJ 07940

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave. , Madison, NJ 07940

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave.
Madison, NJ 07940

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave. , Madison, NJ 07940

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave.
Madison, NJ 07940

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr. , Pittsburgh, PA 15237

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr.
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator John Ensign
U.S. Senate
356 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Ensign,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Representative Jon Porter
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Porter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr. , Pittsburgh, PA 15237

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Representative Melissa Hart
U.S. House of Representatives
1024 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr.
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr. , Pittsburgh, PA 15237

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr.
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave. , Madison, NJ 07940

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave.
Madison, NJ 07940

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave. , Madison, NJ 07940

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave McGowan
42 Highland Ave.
Madison, NJ 07940

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Harry Reid
U.S. Senate
528 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Reid,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court , Las Vegas, NV 89128

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Representative Jon Porter
U.S. House of Representatives
218 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Porter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathryn Walker
3001 Windy Surf Court
Las Vegas, NV 89128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr. , Pittsburgh, PA 15237

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr.
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr. , Pittsburgh, PA 15237

December 9, 2006 5:23 PM

Representative Melissa Hart
U.S. House of Representatives
1024 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Hughes
2324 Ben Franklin Dr.
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Cassell
140 Douglas Dr , Batavia, OH 45103

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Cassell
140 Douglas Dr
Batavia, OH 45103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Cassell
140 Douglas Dr , Batavia, OH 45103

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Representative Jean Schmidt
U. S. House of Representatives
238 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schmidt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Cassell
140 Douglas Dr
Batavia, OH 45103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Cassell
140 Douglas Dr , Batavia, OH 45103

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Cassell
140 Douglas Dr
Batavia, OH 45103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Hilton
3091 Buena Hills Drive , Oceanside, CA 92056

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Hilton
3091 Buena Hills Drive
Oceanside, CA 92056

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Cassell
140 Douglas Dr , Batavia, OH 45103

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Cassell
140 Douglas Dr
Batavia, OH 45103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Hilton
3091 Buena Hills Drive , Oceanside, CA 92056

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Representative Darrell Issa
U.S. House of Representatives
211 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Issa,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Hilton
3091 Buena Hills Drive
Oceanside, CA 92056

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Hilton
3091 Buena Hills Drive , Oceanside, CA 92056

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Hilton
3091 Buena Hills Drive
Oceanside, CA 92056

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

philip barreca
29 west 64th st. , nyc, NY 10023

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
philip barreca
29 west 64th st.
nyc, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carol Hilton
3091 Buena Hills Drive , Oceanside, CA 92056

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carol Hilton
3091 Buena Hills Drive
Oceanside, CA 92056

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

philip barreca
29 west 64th st. , nyc, NY 10023

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
philip barreca
29 west 64th st.
nyc, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

philip barreca
29 west 64th st. , nyc, NY 10023

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
philip barreca
29 west 64th st.
nyc, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

philip barreca
29 west 64th st. , nyc, NY 10023

December 9, 2006 5:21 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
philip barreca
29 west 64th st.
nyc, NY 10023

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue , Cerritos, CA 90703

December 9, 2006 5:19 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue
Cerritos, CA 90703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue , Cerritos, CA 90703

December 9, 2006 5:19 PM

Representative Linda Sanchez
U.S. House of Representatives
1007 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanchez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue
Cerritos, CA 90703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue , Cerritos, CA 90703

December 9, 2006 5:19 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue
Cerritos, CA 90703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue , Cerritos, CA 90703

December 9, 2006 5:19 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue
Cerritos, CA 90703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue , Cerritos, CA 90703

December 9, 2006 5:19 PM

Representative Linda Sanchez
U.S. House of Representatives
1007 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanchez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jack Rodriguez
18833 Godinho Avenue
Cerritos, CA 90703

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Huff
4918 Nevada Ave , FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-7335

December 9, 2006 5:18 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Huff
4918 Nevada Ave
FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-7335

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Huff
4918 Nevada Ave , FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-7335

December 9, 2006 5:18 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Huff
4918 Nevada Ave
FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-7335

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Huff
4918 Nevada Ave , FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-7335

December 9, 2006 5:18 PM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Huff
4918 Nevada Ave
FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-7335

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Huff
4918 Nevada Ave , FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-7335

December 9, 2006 5:18 PM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Huff
4918 Nevada Ave
FORT WAYNE, IN 46815-7335

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W , New York, NY 10128-7625

December 9, 2006 5:16 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W
New York, NY 10128-7625

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W , New York, NY 10128-7625

December 9, 2006 5:16 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W
New York, NY 10128-7625

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W , New York, NY 10128-7625

December 9, 2006 5:16 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W
New York, NY 10128-7625

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W , New York, NY 10128-7625

December 9, 2006 5:16 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W
New York, NY 10128-7625

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W , New York, NY 10128-7625

December 9, 2006 5:16 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Brown
533 East 87th Street, #3W
New York, NY 10128-7625

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mara Goodman
432 Bitterbrush Ave. , Nampa, ID 83686-8355

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Representative Butch Otter
U.S. House of Representatives
1711 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Otter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mara Goodman
432 Bitterbrush Ave.
Nampa, ID 83686-8355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A , Arcadia, CA 91006

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A
Arcadia, CA 91006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A , Arcadia, CA 91006

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Representative David Dreier
U.S. House of Representatives
233 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dreier,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A
Arcadia, CA 91006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mara Goodman
432 Bitterbrush Ave. , Nampa, ID 83686-8355

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Larry Craig
U.S. Senate
520 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Craig,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mara Goodman
432 Bitterbrush Ave.
Nampa, ID 83686-8355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A , Arcadia, CA 91006

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A
Arcadia, CA 91006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A , Arcadia, CA 91006

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Representative David Dreier
U.S. House of Representatives
233 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dreier,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A
Arcadia, CA 91006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mara Goodman
432 Bitterbrush Ave. , Nampa, ID 83686-8355

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Mike Crapo
U.S. Senate
239 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Crapo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mara Goodman
432 Bitterbrush Ave.
Nampa, ID 83686-8355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A , Arcadia, CA 91006

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Farid Nader Nejad
140 Diamond St #A
Arcadia, CA 91006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace , Madison, WI 53726

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace
Madison, WI 53726

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace , Madison, WI 53726

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace
Madison, WI 53726

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace , Madison, WI 53726

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace
Madison, WI 53726

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mara Goodman
432 Bitterbrush Ave. , Nampa, ID 83686-8355

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Mike Crapo
U.S. Senate
239 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Crapo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mara Goodman
432 Bitterbrush Ave.
Nampa, ID 83686-8355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace , Madison, WI 53726

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace
Madison, WI 53726

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace , Madison, WI 53726

December 9, 2006 5:15 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Klatt
118 Breese Terrace
Madison, WI 53726

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Palmer
2313 Meandering way , Charlottesville, NC 54648

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Palmer
2313 Meandering way
Charlottesville, NC 54648

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Palmer
2313 Meandering way , Charlottesville, NC 54648

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Palmer
2313 Meandering way
Charlottesville, NC 54648

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Palmer
2313 Meandering way , Charlottesville, NC 54648

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Palmer
2313 Meandering way
Charlottesville, NC 54648

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james bazemore
1000 sonoma avenue , Santa Rosa, CA 95404

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james bazemore
1000 sonoma avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james bazemore
1000 sonoma avenue , Santa Rosa, CA 95404

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james bazemore
1000 sonoma avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matt Marsh
529 Clearview Drive , Norman, OK 73072

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Representative Tom Cole
U.S. House of Representatives
236 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matt Marsh
529 Clearview Drive
Norman, OK 73072

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matt Marsh
529 Clearview Drive , Norman, OK 73072

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matt Marsh
529 Clearview Drive
Norman, OK 73072

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james bazemore
1000 sonoma avenue , Santa Rosa, CA 95404

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james bazemore
1000 sonoma avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james bazemore
1000 sonoma avenue , Santa Rosa, CA 95404

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james bazemore
1000 sonoma avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matt Marsh
529 Clearview Drive , Norman, OK 73072

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator Tom Coburn
U.S. Senate
172 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matt Marsh
529 Clearview Drive
Norman, OK 73072

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Palmer
2313 Meandering way , Charlottesville, NC 54648

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Palmer
2313 Meandering way
Charlottesville, NC 54648

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matt Marsh
529 Clearview Drive , Norman, OK 73072

December 9, 2006 5:14 PM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matt Marsh
529 Clearview Drive
Norman, OK 73072

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace , Miami, FL 33196

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace
Miami, FL 33196

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace Apt 304, Greenbelt, MD 20770

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace
Apt 304
Greenbelt, MD 20770

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace Apt 304, Greenbelt, MD 20770

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Representative Steny Hoyer
U.S. House of Representatives
1705 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hoyer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace
Apt 304
Greenbelt, MD 20770

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace , Miami, FL 33196

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Representative Mario Diaz-Balart
U.S. House of Representatives
313 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Diaz-Balart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace
Miami, FL 33196

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace Apt 304, Greenbelt, MD 20770

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace
Apt 304
Greenbelt, MD 20770

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace , Miami, FL 33196

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace
Miami, FL 33196

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace , Miami, FL 33196

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace
Miami, FL 33196

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace , Miami, FL 33196

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Representative Mario Diaz-Balart
U.S. House of Representatives
313 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Diaz-Balart,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gabriel Nevins
15604 SW 96th Terrace
Miami, FL 33196

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace Apt 304, Greenbelt, MD 20770

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace
Apt 304
Greenbelt, MD 20770

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace Apt 304, Greenbelt, MD 20770

December 9, 2006 5:11 PM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Brian Gibbons
9133 Edmonston Terrace
Apt 304
Greenbelt, MD 20770

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Becky Glynn
1906 Pinetree Ln. , San Antonio, TX 78232

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

As you probably already know, the FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers - you and your constituents - people like me.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public - that's me - that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service. If only we could get our Congress to be so ethical.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking - unless of course, he decides to vote for the publics' interests instead of his former employer's interest. In recent times, we the people, have not been able to count on previous employees of corporations to stand with us for what is in OUR best interests. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that

Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard. Please work for your constituents.

Sincerely,
Becky Glynn
1906 Pinetree Ln.
San Antonio, TX 78232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

margaret weinstock
501 Fairway Green , mamaroneck, NY 10543

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
margaret weinstock
501 Fairway Green
mamaroneck, NY 10543

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

margaret weinstock
501 Fairway Green , mamaroneck, NY 10543

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
margaret weinstock
501 Fairway Green
mamaroneck, NY 10543

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Becky Glynn
1906 Pinetree Ln. , San Antonio, TX 78232

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

As you probably already know, the FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers - you and your constituents - people like me.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public - that's me - that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service. If only we could get our Congress to be so ethical.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking - unless of course, he decides to vote for the publics' interests instead of his former employer's interest. In recent times, we the people, have not been able to count on previous employees of corporations to stand with us for what is in OUR best interests. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that

Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard. Please work for your constituents.

Sincerely,
Becky Glynn
1906 Pinetree Ln.
San Antonio, TX 78232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Becky Glynn
1906 Pinetree Ln. , San Antonio, TX 78232

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Representative Lamar Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
2184 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

As you probably already know, the FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers - you and your constituents - people like me.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public - that's me - that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service. If only we could get our Congress to be so ethical.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking - unless of course, he decides to vote for the publics' interests instead of his former employer's interest. In recent times, we the people, have not been able to count on previous employees of corporations to stand with us for what is in OUR best interests. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that

Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard. Please work for your constituents.

Sincerely,
Becky Glynn
1906 Pinetree Ln.
San Antonio, TX 78232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

margaret weinstock
501 Fairway Green , mamaroneck, NY 10543

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Representative Nita Lowey
U.S. House of Representatives
2329 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lowey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
margaret weinstock
501 Fairway Green
mamaroneck, NY 10543

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Russell Burke Jr
9119 Harvard Ave , Cleveland, OH 44105

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Representative Stephanie Jones
U.S. House of Representatives
1009 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jones,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Russell Burke Jr
9119 Harvard Ave
Cleveland, OH 44105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Russell Burke Jr
9119 Harvard Ave , Cleveland, OH 44105

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Russell Burke Jr
9119 Harvard Ave
Cleveland, OH 44105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Russell Burke Jr
9119 Harvard Ave , Cleveland, OH 44105

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Russell Burke Jr
9119 Harvard Ave
Cleveland, OH 44105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

margaret weinstock
501 Fairway Green , mamaroneck, NY 10543

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
margaret weinstock
501 Fairway Green
mamaroneck, NY 10543

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Russell Burke Jr
9119 Harvard Ave , Cleveland, OH 44105

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Russell Burke Jr
9119 Harvard Ave
Cleveland, OH 44105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Becky Glynn
1906 Pinetree Ln. , San Antonio, TX 78232

December 9, 2006 5:09 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

As you probably already know, the FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers - you and your constituents - people like me.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public - that's me - that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service. If only we could get our Congress to be so ethical.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking - unless of course, he decides to vote for the publics' interests instead of his former employer's interest. In recent times, we the people, have not been able to count on previous employees of corporations to stand with us for what is in OUR best interests. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that

Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard. Please work for your constituents.

Sincerely,
Becky Glynn
1906 Pinetree Ln.
San Antonio, TX 78232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St. , Boston, MA 02131

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Representative Stephen Lynch
U.S. House of Representatives
319 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lynch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St.
Boston, MA 02131

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd , lexington, KY 40511

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Senator Jim Bunning
U.S. Senate
316 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd
lexington, KY 40511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd , lexington, KY 40511

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Representative Ben Chandler
U.S. House of Representatives
1504 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd
lexington, KY 40511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St. , Boston, MA 02131

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St.
Boston, MA 02131

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd , lexington, KY 40511

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd
lexington, KY 40511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St. , Boston, MA 02131

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St.
Boston, MA 02131

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd , lexington, KY 40511

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd
lexington, KY 40511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd , lexington, KY 40511

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Representative Ben Chandler
U.S. House of Representatives
1504 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ian Gainey
6626 Russell Cave Rd
lexington, KY 40511

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St. , Boston, MA 02131

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St.
Boston, MA 02131

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St. , Boston, MA 02131

December 9, 2006 5:06 PM

Representative Stephen Lynch
U.S. House of Representatives
319 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lynch,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ronald Siewert
335 Poplar St.
Boston, MA 02131

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644 982 E. Beechcraft Blvd, Bisbee, AZ 85603

December 9, 2006 5:05 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644
982 E. Beechcraft Blvd
Bisbee, AZ 85603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644 982 E. Beechcraft Blvd, Bisbee, AZ 85603

December 9, 2006 5:05 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644
982 E. Beechcraft Blvd
Bisbee, AZ 85603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644 982 E. Beechcraft Blvd, Bisbee, AZ 85603

December 9, 2006 5:05 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644
982 E. Beechcraft Blvd
Bisbee, AZ 85603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644 982 E. Beechcraft Blvd, Bisbee, AZ 85603

December 9, 2006 5:05 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644
982 E. Beechcraft Blvd
Bisbee, AZ 85603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644 982 E. Beechcraft Blvd, Bisbee, AZ 85603

December 9, 2006 5:05 PM

Representative Jim Kolbe
U.S. House of Representatives
237 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kolbe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collette Latimer
PO Box 5644
982 E. Beechcraft Blvd
Bisbee, AZ 85603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St. , Mendocino,, CA 95460

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St.
Mendocino,, CA 95460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St. , Mendocino,, CA 95460

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St.
Mendocino,, CA 95460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Barnes
1535 N Artesian Ave , Chicago, IL 60622

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Barnes
1535 N Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60622

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St. , Mendocino,, CA 95460

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St.
Mendocino,, CA 95460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St. , Mendocino,, CA 95460

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St.
Mendocino,, CA 95460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11 , Davis, CA 95616

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11
Davis, CA 95616

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11 , Davis, CA 95616

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11
Davis, CA 95616

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11 , Davis, CA 95616

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11
Davis, CA 95616

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St. , Mendocino,, CA 95460

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
J Dickson
10431 Wheeler St.
Mendocino,, CA 95460

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Barnes
1535 N Artesian Ave , Chicago, IL 60622

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Luis Gutierrez
U.S. House of Representatives
2367 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutierrez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Barnes
1535 N Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60622

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Barnes
1535 N Artesian Ave , Chicago, IL 60622

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Barnes
1535 N Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60622

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Barnes
1535 N Artesian Ave , Chicago, IL 60622

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Luis Gutierrez
U.S. House of Representatives
2367 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutierrez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Barnes
1535 N Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60622

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11 , Davis, CA 95616

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11
Davis, CA 95616

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11 , Davis, CA 95616

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Mike Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
231 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thompson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Tyson
1411 Wake Forest Dr. #11
Davis, CA 95616

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road , Lexington, MA 02421

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road
Lexington, MA 02421

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road , Lexington, MA 02421

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Ed Markey
U.S. House of Representatives
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road
Lexington, MA 02421

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road , Lexington, MA 02421

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road
Lexington, MA 02421

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road , Lexington, MA 02421

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road
Lexington, MA 02421

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road , Lexington, MA 02421

December 9, 2006 5:04 PM

Representative Ed Markey
U.S. House of Representatives
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lund
134 Worthen Road
Lexington, MA 02421

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle , Wilmington, NC 28403

December 9, 2006 5:02 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle
Wilmington, NC 28403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle , Wilmington, NC 28403

December 9, 2006 5:02 PM

Representative Mike McIntyre
U.S. House of Representatives
2437 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McIntyre,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle
Wilmington, NC 28403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle , Wilmington, NC 28403

December 9, 2006 5:02 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle
Wilmington, NC 28403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle , Wilmington, NC 28403

December 9, 2006 5:02 PM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle
Wilmington, NC 28403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle , Wilmington, NC 28403

December 9, 2006 5:02 PM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liz Shaw
2023 Albert Circle
Wilmington, NC 28403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave , Roselle, NJ 07203

December 9, 2006 5:00 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave
Roselle, NJ 07203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave , Roselle, NJ 07203

December 9, 2006 5:00 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave
Roselle, NJ 07203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave , Roselle, NJ 07203

December 9, 2006 5:00 PM

Representative Donald Payne
U.S. House of Representatives
2209 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Payne,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave
Roselle, NJ 07203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave , Roselle, NJ 07203

December 9, 2006 5:00 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave
Roselle, NJ 07203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave , Roselle, NJ 07203

December 9, 2006 5:00 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave
Roselle, NJ 07203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave , Roselle, NJ 07203

December 9, 2006 5:00 PM

Representative Donald Payne
U.S. House of Representatives
2209 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Payne,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Greg Conrad
232 East 3rd Ave
Roselle, NJ 07203

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4 , berkeley, CA 94704

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4
berkeley, CA 94704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Ford
434-455 Doyle Loop PO Box 698, Doyle, CA 96109

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Ford
434-455 Doyle Loop
PO Box 698
Doyle, CA 96109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4 , berkeley, CA 94704

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4
berkeley, CA 94704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Ford
434-455 Doyle Loop PO Box 698, Doyle, CA 96109

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Ford
434-455 Doyle Loop
PO Box 698
Doyle, CA 96109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Ford
434-455 Doyle Loop PO Box 698, Doyle, CA 96109

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Representative John Doolittle
U.S. House of Representatives
2410 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Doolittle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Ford
434-455 Doyle Loop
PO Box 698
Doyle, CA 96109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Ford
434-455 Doyle Loop PO Box 698, Doyle, CA 96109

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Ford
434-455 Doyle Loop
PO Box 698
Doyle, CA 96109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4 , berkeley, CA 94704

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4
berkeley, CA 94704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4 , berkeley, CA 94704

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4
berkeley, CA 94704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4 , berkeley, CA 94704

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Dynamic
1831 berkeley way #4
berkeley, CA 94704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd , Vestal, NY 13850

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd
Vestal, NY 13850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd , Vestal, NY 13850

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd
Vestal, NY 13850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd , Vestal, NY 13850

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd
Vestal, NY 13850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd , Vestal, NY 13850

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd
Vestal, NY 13850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd , Vestal, NY 13850

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Glauber
117 Rock Rd
Vestal, NY 13850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew James
Lutheran Theological Seminary 7301 Germantown Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19119

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew James
Lutheran Theological Seminary
7301 Germantown Ave.
Philadelphia, PA 19119

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew James
Lutheran Theological Seminary 7301 Germantown Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19119

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew James
Lutheran Theological Seminary
7301 Germantown Ave.
Philadelphia, PA 19119

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew James
Lutheran Theological Seminary 7301 Germantown Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19119

December 9, 2006 4:58 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew James
Lutheran Theological Seminary
7301 Germantown Ave.
Philadelphia, PA 19119

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St , North Hollywood, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 4:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St
North Hollywood, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St , North Hollywood, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 4:55 PM

Representative Howard Berman
U.S. House of Representatives
2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St
North Hollywood, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St , North Hollywood, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 4:55 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St
North Hollywood, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St , North Hollywood, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 4:55 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St
North Hollywood, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St , North Hollywood, CA 91601

December 9, 2006 4:55 PM

Representative Howard Berman
U.S. House of Representatives
2221 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Berman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Brodie
10914 Hartsook St
North Hollywood, CA 91601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane , Jacksonville, FL 32222

December 9, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane
Jacksonville, FL 32222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane , Jacksonville, FL 32222

December 9, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane
Jacksonville, FL 32222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane , Jacksonville, FL 32222

December 9, 2006 4:54 PM

Representative Cliff Stearns
U.S. House of Representatives
2370 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stearns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane
Jacksonville, FL 32222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane , Jacksonville, FL 32222

December 9, 2006 4:54 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane
Jacksonville, FL 32222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane , Jacksonville, FL 32222

December 9, 2006 4:54 PM

Representative Cliff Stearns
U.S. House of Representatives
2370 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stearns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Taylor
8957 Country Mill Lane
Jacksonville, FL 32222

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Schober
5620 Slates Rd , Sutherland, VA 23885

December 9, 2006 4:52 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

Below is a form letter provided by Freepress.net. I believe it is vitally important that you tell these people no, they can not have their way. There are issues much more important in this case than corporations gorging themselves yet once again at the public's expense. Those issues are elaborated below; do the right thing and tell these people NO!

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Schober
5620 Slates Rd
Sutherland, VA 23885

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Schober
5620 Slates Rd , Sutherland, VA 23885

December 9, 2006 4:52 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

Below is a form letter provided by Freepress.net. I believe it is vitally important that you tell these people no, they can not have their way. There are issues much more important in this case than corporations gorging themselves yet once again at the public's expense. Those issues are elaborated below; do the right thing and tell these people NO!

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Schober
5620 Slates Rd
Sutherland, VA 23885

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Schober
5620 Slates Rd , Sutherland, VA 23885

December 9, 2006 4:52 PM

Representative Randy Forbes
U.S. House of Representatives
307 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Forbes,

Below is a form letter provided by Freepress.net. I believe it is vitally important that you tell these people no, they can not have their way. There are issues much more important in this case than corporations gorging themselves yet once again at the public's expense. Those issues are elaborated below; do the right thing and tell these people NO!

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Schober
5620 Slates Rd
Sutherland, VA 23885

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Schober
5620 Slates Rd , Sutherland, VA 23885

December 9, 2006 4:52 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

Below is a form letter provided by Freepress.net. I believe it is vitally important that you tell these people no, they can not have their way. There are issues much more important in this case than corporations gorging themselves yet once again at the public's expense. Those issues are elaborated below; do the right thing and tell these people NO!

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Schober
5620 Slates Rd
Sutherland, VA 23885

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr. , Keller, TX 76248-2635

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr.
Keller, TX 76248-2635

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen & Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road , North Granby, CT 06060-1301

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen %pa_first_name% Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road
North Granby, CT 06060-1301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen & Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road , North Granby, CT 06060-1301

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Representative John Larson
U.S. House of Representatives
1005 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen %pa_first_name% Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road
North Granby, CT 06060-1301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael & Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323 , ELBE, WA 98330

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael %pa_first_name% Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323
ELBE, WA 98330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael & Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323 , ELBE, WA 98330

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael %pa_first_name% Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323
ELBE, WA 98330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael & Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323 , ELBE, WA 98330

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael %pa_first_name% Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323
ELBE, WA 98330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr. , Keller, TX 76248-2635

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr.
Keller, TX 76248-2635

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen & Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road , North Granby, CT 06060-1301

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen %pa_first_name% Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road
North Granby, CT 06060-1301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr. , Keller, TX 76248-2635

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Representative Michael Burgess
U.S. House of Representatives
1721 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Burgess,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr.
Keller, TX 76248-2635

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr. , Keller, TX 76248-2635

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr.
Keller, TX 76248-2635

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr. , Keller, TX 76248-2635

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Representative Michael Burgess
U.S. House of Representatives
1721 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Burgess,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Turner
412 Roland Dr.
Keller, TX 76248-2635

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael & Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323 , ELBE, WA 98330

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael %pa_first_name% Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323
ELBE, WA 98330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael & Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323 , ELBE, WA 98330

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael %pa_first_name% Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323
ELBE, WA 98330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael & Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323 , ELBE, WA 98330

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Representative Brian Baird
U.S. House of Representatives
1421 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baird,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael %pa_first_name% Barbara Hill
p.o. box 323
ELBE, WA 98330

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen & Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road , North Granby, CT 06060-1301

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen %pa_first_name% Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road
North Granby, CT 06060-1301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen & Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road , North Granby, CT 06060-1301

December 9, 2006 4:51 PM

Representative John Larson
U.S. House of Representatives
1005 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen %pa_first_name% Robin Newberg
146 Granville Road
North Granby, CT 06060-1301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Victoria Williams
123 Main St , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 9, 2006 4:50 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Victoria Williams
123 Main St
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Victoria Williams
123 Main St , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 9, 2006 4:50 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Victoria Williams
123 Main St
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Victoria Williams
123 Main St , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 9, 2006 4:50 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Victoria Williams
123 Main St
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Victoria Williams
123 Main St , San Rafael, CA 94901

December 9, 2006 4:50 PM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Victoria Williams
123 Main St
San Rafael, CA 94901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road , Richmond, VA 23227

December 9, 2006 4:49 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road
Richmond, VA 23227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road , Richmond, VA 23227

December 9, 2006 4:49 PM

Representative Eric Cantor
U.S. House of Representatives
329 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cantor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road
Richmond, VA 23227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road , Richmond, VA 23227

December 9, 2006 4:49 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road
Richmond, VA 23227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road , Richmond, VA 23227

December 9, 2006 4:49 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road
Richmond, VA 23227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road , Richmond, VA 23227

December 9, 2006 4:49 PM

Representative Eric Cantor
U.S. House of Representatives
329 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cantor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eugene LeCouteur
3401 Brook Road
Richmond, VA 23227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd , Westlake, OH 44145

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Representative Dennis Kucinich
U.S. House of Representatives
1730 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kucinich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd
Westlake, OH 44145

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd , Westlake, OH 44145

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd
Westlake, OH 44145

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Representative Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
107 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd , Westlake, OH 44145

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd
Westlake, OH 44145

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd , Westlake, OH 44145

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd
Westlake, OH 44145

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd , Westlake, OH 44145

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Representative Dennis Kucinich
U.S. House of Representatives
1730 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kucinich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Long
1842 Columbia Rd
Westlake, OH 44145

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St , Bellingham, WA 98225

December 9, 2006 4:45 PM

Representative Rick Larsen
U.S. House of Representatives
107 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larsen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mike Betz
2630 Franklin St
Bellingham, WA 98225

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave , Bremerton, WA 98310

December 9, 2006 4:44 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave
Bremerton, WA 98310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave , Bremerton, WA 98310

December 9, 2006 4:44 PM

Representative Norm Dicks
U.S. House of Representatives
2467 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dicks,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave
Bremerton, WA 98310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave , Bremerton, WA 98310

December 9, 2006 4:44 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave
Bremerton, WA 98310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave , Bremerton, WA 98310

December 9, 2006 4:44 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave
Bremerton, WA 98310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave , Bremerton, WA 98310

December 9, 2006 4:44 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rich Hladky
1102 Scott Ave
Bremerton, WA 98310

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael gerell
pob 101 , wilseyville, CA 95257

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael gerell
pob 101
wilseyville, CA 95257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael gerell
pob 101 , wilseyville, CA 95257

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Representative Dan Lungren
U. S. House of Representatives
2448 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lungren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael gerell
pob 101
wilseyville, CA 95257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael gerell
pob 101 , wilseyville, CA 95257

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael gerell
pob 101
wilseyville, CA 95257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave. , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave. , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael gerell
pob 101 , wilseyville, CA 95257

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael gerell
pob 101
wilseyville, CA 95257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michael gerell
pob 101 , wilseyville, CA 95257

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Representative Dan Lungren
U. S. House of Representatives
2448 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lungren,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michael gerell
pob 101
wilseyville, CA 95257

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave. , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave. , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave. , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Borton
2829 Benvenue Ave.
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr , Lincoln, NE 68510

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Ben Nelson
U.S. Senate
720 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

Did the mid-term election not speak loudly enough? We want honesty,integrity, accountabily.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. It is to serve the PUBLIC interests, as is all of government.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr
Lincoln, NE 68510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr , Lincoln, NE 68510

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Representative Jeff Fortenberry
U. S. House of Representatives
1517 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fortenberry,

Did the mid-term election not speak loudly enough? We want honesty,integrity, accountabily.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. It is to serve the PUBLIC interests, as is all of government.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr
Lincoln, NE 68510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr , Lincoln, NE 68510

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

Did the mid-term election not speak loudly enough? We want honesty,integrity, accountabily.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. It is to serve the PUBLIC interests, as is all of government.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr
Lincoln, NE 68510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr , Lincoln, NE 68510

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

Did the mid-term election not speak loudly enough? We want honesty,integrity, accountabily.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. It is to serve the PUBLIC interests, as is all of government.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr
Lincoln, NE 68510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr , Lincoln, NE 68510

December 9, 2006 4:42 PM

Representative Jeff Fortenberry
U. S. House of Representatives
1517 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fortenberry,

Did the mid-term election not speak loudly enough? We want honesty,integrity, accountabily.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. It is to serve the PUBLIC interests, as is all of government.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Wright
814 Lyncrest Dr
Lincoln, NE 68510

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606 , Cambria, CA 93428

December 9, 2006 4:40 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606
Cambria, CA 93428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606 , Cambria, CA 93428

December 9, 2006 4:40 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606
Cambria, CA 93428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606 , Cambria, CA 93428

December 9, 2006 4:40 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606
Cambria, CA 93428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606 , Cambria, CA 93428

December 9, 2006 4:40 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606
Cambria, CA 93428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606 , Cambria, CA 93428

December 9, 2006 4:40 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lynne Harkins
PO Box 606
Cambria, CA 93428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane , Mantua, NJ 08051

December 9, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane
Mantua, NJ 08051

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane , Mantua, NJ 08051

December 9, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane
Mantua, NJ 08051

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane , Mantua, NJ 08051

December 9, 2006 4:38 PM

Representative Robert Andrews
U.S. House of Representatives
2439 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Andrews,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane
Mantua, NJ 08051

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane , Mantua, NJ 08051

December 9, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane
Mantua, NJ 08051

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane , Mantua, NJ 08051

December 9, 2006 4:38 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William DiAntonio
19 Kristen Lane
Mantua, NJ 08051

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street #4W, New York, NY 10128

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street
#4W
New York, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan , St. Louis, MO 63135

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative William Clay
U.S. House of Representatives
131 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Clay,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan
St. Louis, MO 63135

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan , St. Louis, MO 63135

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan
St. Louis, MO 63135

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr. , Hurst, TX 76053-4326

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr.
Hurst, TX 76053-4326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr. , Hurst, TX 76053-4326

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative Michael Burgess
U.S. House of Representatives
1721 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Burgess,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr.
Hurst, TX 76053-4326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr. , Hurst, TX 76053-4326

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr.
Hurst, TX 76053-4326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street #4W, New York, NY 10128

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street
#4W
New York, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan , St. Louis, MO 63135

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan
St. Louis, MO 63135

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street #4W, New York, NY 10128

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street
#4W
New York, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan , St. Louis, MO 63135

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan
St. Louis, MO 63135

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan , St. Louis, MO 63135

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative William Clay
U.S. House of Representatives
131 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Clay,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jo Alwood
52 E. Cardigan
St. Louis, MO 63135

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street #4W, New York, NY 10128

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street
#4W
New York, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street #4W, New York, NY 10128

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Chris Orcutt
329 East 88th Street
#4W
New York, NY 10128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr. , Hurst, TX 76053-4326

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr.
Hurst, TX 76053-4326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr. , Hurst, TX 76053-4326

December 9, 2006 4:37 PM

Representative Michael Burgess
U.S. House of Representatives
1721 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Burgess,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Grant Barnes
732 Timberhill Dr.
Hurst, TX 76053-4326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street Apt #1, Ashland, OR 97520

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street
Apt #1
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street Apt #1, Ashland, OR 97520

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street
Apt #1
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street Apt #1, Ashland, OR 97520

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Representative Greg Walden
U.S. House of Representatives
1210 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street
Apt #1
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street Apt #1, Ashland, OR 97520

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street
Apt #1
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street Apt #1, Ashland, OR 97520

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Representative Greg Walden
U.S. House of Representatives
1210 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street
Apt #1
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

l gitten
611 federal , stuart, FL 34994 2925

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Representative Vacant Vacant
U.S. House of Representatives
104 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Vacant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
l gitten
611 federal
stuart, FL 34994 2925

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

l gitten
611 federal , stuart, FL 34994 2925

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
l gitten
611 federal
stuart, FL 34994 2925

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

l gitten
611 federal , stuart, FL 34994 2925

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
l gitten
611 federal
stuart, FL 34994 2925

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

l gitten
611 federal , stuart, FL 34994 2925

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
l gitten
611 federal
stuart, FL 34994 2925

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street Apt #1, Ashland, OR 97520

December 9, 2006 4:36 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gary Wilson
495 Chestnut Street
Apt #1
Ashland, OR 97520

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave , Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

December 9, 2006 4:35 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave
Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave , Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

December 9, 2006 4:35 PM

Representative Mike Ferguson
U.S. House of Representatives
214 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ferguson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave
Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave , Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

December 9, 2006 4:35 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave
Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave , Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

December 9, 2006 4:35 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave
Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave , Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

December 9, 2006 4:35 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ray Lynch
56 Lakeview Ave
Watchung, NJ 07069-5929

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ronald smith
2101 walton way apt. 428, augusta, GA 30904

December 9, 2006 4:33 PM

Representative John Barrow
U.S. House of Representatives
226 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Barrow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ronald smith
2101 walton way
apt. 428
augusta, GA 30904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ronald smith
2101 walton way apt. 428, augusta, GA 30904

December 9, 2006 4:33 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ronald smith
2101 walton way
apt. 428
augusta, GA 30904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ronald smith
2101 walton way apt. 428, augusta, GA 30904

December 9, 2006 4:33 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ronald smith
2101 walton way
apt. 428
augusta, GA 30904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ronald smith
2101 walton way apt. 428, augusta, GA 30904

December 9, 2006 4:33 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ronald smith
2101 walton way
apt. 428
augusta, GA 30904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ronald smith
2101 walton way apt. 428, augusta, GA 30904

December 9, 2006 4:33 PM

Representative John Barrow
U.S. House of Representatives
226 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Barrow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ronald smith
2101 walton way
apt. 428
augusta, GA 30904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Anderson
48A Howe Lane , Hollis, NH 03049-6006

December 9, 2006 4:32 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Anderson
48A Howe Lane
Hollis, NH 03049-6006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Anderson
48A Howe Lane , Hollis, NH 03049-6006

December 9, 2006 4:32 PM

Representative Charles Bass
U.S. House of Representatives
2421 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bass,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Anderson
48A Howe Lane
Hollis, NH 03049-6006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Anderson
48A Howe Lane , Hollis, NH 03049-6006

December 9, 2006 4:32 PM

Senator Judd Gregg
U.S. Senate
393 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Gregg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Anderson
48A Howe Lane
Hollis, NH 03049-6006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Anderson
48A Howe Lane , Hollis, NH 03049-6006

December 9, 2006 4:32 PM

Senator John Sununu
U.S. Senate
111 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sununu,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Anderson
48A Howe Lane
Hollis, NH 03049-6006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Anderson
48A Howe Lane , Hollis, NH 03049-6006

December 9, 2006 4:32 PM

Representative Charles Bass
U.S. House of Representatives
2421 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bass,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Anderson
48A Howe Lane
Hollis, NH 03049-6006

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive , san leandro, CA 94578

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive
san leandro, CA 94578

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive , san leandro, CA 94578

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive
san leandro, CA 94578

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr.
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338 244 Liberty Street, Dendron, VA 23839

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Representative Bobby Scott
U.S. House of Representatives
1201 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Scott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338
244 Liberty Street
Dendron, VA 23839

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338 244 Liberty Street, Dendron, VA 23839

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338
244 Liberty Street
Dendron, VA 23839

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive , san leandro, CA 94578

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive
san leandro, CA 94578

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr.
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr.
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338 244 Liberty Street, Dendron, VA 23839

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338
244 Liberty Street
Dendron, VA 23839

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive , san leandro, CA 94578

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive
san leandro, CA 94578

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive , san leandro, CA 94578

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donis Georgiou
16829 selby drive
san leandro, CA 94578

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr.
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr. , Ann Arbor, MI 48105

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Land
2135 Ardenne Dr.
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338 244 Liberty Street, Dendron, VA 23839

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338
244 Liberty Street
Dendron, VA 23839

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338 244 Liberty Street, Dendron, VA 23839

December 9, 2006 4:31 PM

Representative Bobby Scott
U.S. House of Representatives
1201 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Scott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Francis Bunker Parker
PO Box 338
244 Liberty Street
Dendron, VA 23839

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir , Tucson, AZ 85704

December 9, 2006 4:30 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

we do NOT need this merger of AT&T and BellSouth. As is, AT&T has been a mess for many of my computer clients. Do NOT give them more of a monopoly.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir
Tucson, AZ 85704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir , Tucson, AZ 85704

December 9, 2006 4:30 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

we do NOT need this merger of AT&T and BellSouth. As is, AT&T has been a mess for many of my computer clients. Do NOT give them more of a monopoly.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir
Tucson, AZ 85704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir , Tucson, AZ 85704

December 9, 2006 4:30 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

we do NOT need this merger of AT&T and BellSouth. As is, AT&T has been a mess for many of my computer clients. Do NOT give them more of a monopoly.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir
Tucson, AZ 85704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir , Tucson, AZ 85704

December 9, 2006 4:30 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

we do NOT need this merger of AT&T and BellSouth. As is, AT&T has been a mess for many of my computer clients. Do NOT give them more of a monopoly.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir
Tucson, AZ 85704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir , Tucson, AZ 85704

December 9, 2006 4:30 PM

Representative Jim Kolbe
U.S. House of Representatives
237 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kolbe,

we do NOT need this merger of AT&T and BellSouth. As is, AT&T has been a mess for many of my computer clients. Do NOT give them more of a monopoly.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gail lawley
1782 W Dalehaven Cir
Tucson, AZ 85704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 9, 2006 4:27 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 9, 2006 4:27 PM

Representative Joseph Pitts
U.S. House of Representatives
221 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pitts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 9, 2006 4:27 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 9, 2006 4:27 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st. , Lancaster, PA 17603

December 9, 2006 4:27 PM

Representative Joseph Pitts
U.S. House of Representatives
221 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pitts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julian Rittenhouse
723 george st.
Lancaster, PA 17603

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amy Smith
173 S University Blvd , Mobile, AL 36608

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amy Smith
173 S University Blvd
Mobile, AL 36608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread , Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread
Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amy Smith
173 S University Blvd , Mobile, AL 36608

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Senator Jeff Sessions
U.S. Senate
335 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sessions,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amy Smith
173 S University Blvd
Mobile, AL 36608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread , Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread
Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread , Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Representative Sam Graves
U.S. House of Representatives
1513 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Graves,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread
Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amy Smith
173 S University Blvd , Mobile, AL 36608

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Senator Richard Shelby
U.S. Senate
110 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Shelby,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amy Smith
173 S University Blvd
Mobile, AL 36608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amy Smith
173 S University Blvd , Mobile, AL 36608

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Representative Jo Bonner
U.S. House of Representatives
315 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bonner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amy Smith
173 S University Blvd
Mobile, AL 36608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread , Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread
Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread , Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

December 9, 2006 4:25 PM

Representative Sam Graves
U.S. House of Representatives
1513 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Graves,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doug Myler
320 Gingerbread
Blue Springs, MO 64014-3611

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr. , Spartanburg, SC 29303

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr.
Spartanburg, SC 29303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bRIGITTE Kinniburgh
26 East 2nd Street , New York City, NY 10003

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bRIGITTE Kinniburgh
26 East 2nd Street
New York City, NY 10003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bRIGITTE Kinniburgh
26 East 2nd Street , New York City, NY 10003

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bRIGITTE Kinniburgh
26 East 2nd Street
New York City, NY 10003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr. , Spartanburg, SC 29303

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Representative Bob Inglis
U. S. House of Representatives
330 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inglis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr.
Spartanburg, SC 29303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr. , Spartanburg, SC 29303

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Jim Demint
U. S. Senate
340 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr.
Spartanburg, SC 29303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bRIGITTE Kinniburgh
26 East 2nd Street , New York City, NY 10003

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Representative Jerrold Nadler
U.S. House of Representatives
2334 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nadler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bRIGITTE Kinniburgh
26 East 2nd Street
New York City, NY 10003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr. , Spartanburg, SC 29303

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr.
Spartanburg, SC 29303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr. , Spartanburg, SC 29303

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Representative Bob Inglis
U. S. House of Representatives
330 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inglis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adrienne Tucker
1000 Pinegate Dr.
Spartanburg, SC 29303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

bRIGITTE Kinniburgh
26 East 2nd Street , New York City, NY 10003

December 9, 2006 4:24 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
bRIGITTE Kinniburgh
26 East 2nd Street
New York City, NY 10003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Collins
471 Maple St , Wethersfield, CT 06109

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Representative John Larson
U.S. House of Representatives
1005 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Collins
471 Maple St
Wethersfield, CT 06109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Collins
471 Maple St , Wethersfield, CT 06109

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Collins
471 Maple St
Wethersfield, CT 06109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5 , Louisville, KY 40218

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Representative Anne Northup
U.S. House of Representatives
2459 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Northup,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5
Louisville, KY 40218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Collins
471 Maple St , Wethersfield, CT 06109

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Collins
471 Maple St
Wethersfield, CT 06109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Collins
471 Maple St , Wethersfield, CT 06109

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Collins
471 Maple St
Wethersfield, CT 06109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5 , Louisville, KY 40218

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Senator Jim Bunning
U.S. Senate
316 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5
Louisville, KY 40218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5 , Louisville, KY 40218

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5
Louisville, KY 40218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Collins
471 Maple St , Wethersfield, CT 06109

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Representative John Larson
U.S. House of Representatives
1005 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Collins
471 Maple St
Wethersfield, CT 06109

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5 , Louisville, KY 40218

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5
Louisville, KY 40218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5 , Louisville, KY 40218

December 9, 2006 4:22 PM

Representative Anne Northup
U.S. House of Representatives
2459 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Northup,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Hovekamp
3433 Newburg Rd. #5
Louisville, KY 40218

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Representative James Walsh
U.S. House of Representatives
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road , Rochester, NY 14617

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Representative James Walsh
U.S. House of Representatives
2369 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Walsh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Reese
40 Curtice Road
Rochester, NY 14617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323 , emeryville, CA 94608

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323
emeryville, CA 94608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14 , Ventura, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323 , emeryville, CA 94608

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323
emeryville, CA 94608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14 , Ventura, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323 , emeryville, CA 94608

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323
emeryville, CA 94608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14 , Ventura, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14 , Ventura, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14 , Ventura, CA 93003

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Salvador Fuentes
3374 Armada Drive Apt. 14
Ventura, CA 93003

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323 , emeryville, CA 94608

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323
emeryville, CA 94608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323 , emeryville, CA 94608

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alice D. Kisch
4 Admiral Drive, #323
emeryville, CA 94608

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln , Killeen, TX 76549

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Representative John Carter
U.S. House of Representatives
408 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln
Killeen, TX 76549

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln , Killeen, TX 76549

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln
Killeen, TX 76549

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln , Killeen, TX 76549

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln
Killeen, TX 76549

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln , Killeen, TX 76549

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln
Killeen, TX 76549

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln , Killeen, TX 76549

December 9, 2006 4:20 PM

Representative John Carter
U.S. House of Representatives
408 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William O Zimmerman Jr
3206 Julie Ln
Killeen, TX 76549

cc:
Federal Communications Commission