413-585-8904

ronald brown
214 4th ave , longmont, CO 80501-5504

December 9, 2006 12:44 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ronald brown
214 4th ave
longmont, CO 80501-5504

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

ronald brown
214 4th ave , longmont, CO 80501-5504

December 9, 2006 12:44 PM

Representative Marilyn Musgrave
U.S. House of Representatives
1507 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Musgrave,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
ronald brown
214 4th ave
longmont, CO 80501-5504

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle , Portland, OR 97219-6232

December 9, 2006 12:43 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle
Portland, OR 97219-6232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle , Portland, OR 97219-6232

December 9, 2006 12:43 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle
Portland, OR 97219-6232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle , Portland, OR 97219-6232

December 9, 2006 12:43 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle
Portland, OR 97219-6232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle , Portland, OR 97219-6232

December 9, 2006 12:43 PM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle
Portland, OR 97219-6232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle , Portland, OR 97219-6232

December 9, 2006 12:43 PM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle
Portland, OR 97219-6232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle , Portland, OR 97219-6232

December 9, 2006 12:43 PM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claire Corwin-Kordosky, MSW
2860 SW Plum Circle
Portland, OR 97219-6232

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claudia McGregor
25 Poncetta Dr. , Daly City, CA 94015

December 9, 2006 12:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claudia McGregor
25 Poncetta Dr.
Daly City, CA 94015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claudia McGregor
25 Poncetta Dr. , Daly City, CA 94015

December 9, 2006 12:42 PM

Representative Tom Lantos
U.S. House of Representatives
2413 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lantos,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claudia McGregor
25 Poncetta Dr.
Daly City, CA 94015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arlene K. Golden
2008 W Missouri Ave , Phoenix, AZ 85015-2801

December 9, 2006 12:42 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arlene K. Golden
2008 W Missouri Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85015-2801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arlene K. Golden
2008 W Missouri Ave , Phoenix, AZ 85015-2801

December 9, 2006 12:42 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arlene K. Golden
2008 W Missouri Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85015-2801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claudia McGregor
25 Poncetta Dr. , Daly City, CA 94015

December 9, 2006 12:42 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claudia McGregor
25 Poncetta Dr.
Daly City, CA 94015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Claudia McGregor
25 Poncetta Dr. , Daly City, CA 94015

December 9, 2006 12:42 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Claudia McGregor
25 Poncetta Dr.
Daly City, CA 94015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arlene K. Golden
2008 W Missouri Ave , Phoenix, AZ 85015-2801

December 9, 2006 12:42 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arlene K. Golden
2008 W Missouri Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85015-2801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Arlene K. Golden
2008 W Missouri Ave , Phoenix, AZ 85015-2801

December 9, 2006 12:42 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Arlene K. Golden
2008 W Missouri Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85015-2801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail , Morrow, GA 30260

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail
Morrow, GA 30260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214 , St. Louis, MO 63108

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Representative William Clay
U.S. House of Representatives
131 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Clay,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214
St. Louis, MO 63108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail , Morrow, GA 30260

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail
Morrow, GA 30260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail , Morrow, GA 30260

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Representative David Scott
U.S. House of Representatives
417 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Scott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail
Morrow, GA 30260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail , Morrow, GA 30260

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail
Morrow, GA 30260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail , Morrow, GA 30260

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Representative David Scott
U.S. House of Representatives
417 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Scott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Agustin Atherley
1180 Burbank Trail
Morrow, GA 30260

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr , lakewood, CO 80227

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr
lakewood, CO 80227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214 , St. Louis, MO 63108

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214
St. Louis, MO 63108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214 , St. Louis, MO 63108

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214
St. Louis, MO 63108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr , lakewood, CO 80227

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Representative Bob Beauprez
U.S. House of Representatives
504 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Beauprez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr
lakewood, CO 80227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr , lakewood, CO 80227

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr
lakewood, CO 80227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr , lakewood, CO 80227

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr
lakewood, CO 80227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214 , St. Louis, MO 63108

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214
St. Louis, MO 63108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214 , St. Louis, MO 63108

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Representative William Clay
U.S. House of Representatives
131 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Clay,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ben Haffner
4355 Maryland Ave. Apt. 214
St. Louis, MO 63108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr , lakewood, CO 80227

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr
lakewood, CO 80227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr , lakewood, CO 80227

December 9, 2006 12:40 PM

Representative Bob Beauprez
U.S. House of Representatives
504 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Beauprez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
norman Hansen
1951 s parfet dr
lakewood, CO 80227

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Mann
10201 River Road , Petersburg, VA 23803-1048

December 9, 2006 12:39 PM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Mann
10201 River Road
Petersburg, VA 23803-1048

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Mann
10201 River Road , Petersburg, VA 23803-1048

December 9, 2006 12:39 PM

Representative Randy Forbes
U.S. House of Representatives
307 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Forbes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Mann
10201 River Road
Petersburg, VA 23803-1048

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Mann
10201 River Road , Petersburg, VA 23803-1048

December 9, 2006 12:39 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Mann
10201 River Road
Petersburg, VA 23803-1048

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Mann
10201 River Road , Petersburg, VA 23803-1048

December 9, 2006 12:39 PM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Mann
10201 River Road
Petersburg, VA 23803-1048

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive , saint albans, WV 25177

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive
saint albans, WV 25177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive , saint albans, WV 25177

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive
saint albans, WV 25177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive , saint albans, WV 25177

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Representative Shelley Capito
U.S. House of Representatives
1431 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capito,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive
saint albans, WV 25177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive , saint albans, WV 25177

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Representative Shelley Capito
U.S. House of Representatives
1431 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capito,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive
saint albans, WV 25177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop , Flagstaff, AZ 86004

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop
Flagstaff, AZ 86004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop , Flagstaff, AZ 86004

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop
Flagstaff, AZ 86004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop , Flagstaff, AZ 86004

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Representative Rick Renzi
U.S. House of Representatives
418 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Renzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop
Flagstaff, AZ 86004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop , Flagstaff, AZ 86004

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop
Flagstaff, AZ 86004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop , Flagstaff, AZ 86004

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Representative Rick Renzi
U.S. House of Representatives
418 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Renzi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop
Flagstaff, AZ 86004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop , Flagstaff, AZ 86004

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory Mason
4387 Burning Tree Loop
Flagstaff, AZ 86004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive , saint albans, WV 25177

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive
saint albans, WV 25177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive , saint albans, WV 25177

December 9, 2006 12:38 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wayne Gherke
119 Geronimo Drive
saint albans, WV 25177

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

elizabeth loetterle
565 Bekkevue ave , Oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 12:37 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
elizabeth loetterle
565 Bekkevue ave
Oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

elizabeth loetterle
565 Bekkevue ave , Oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 12:37 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
elizabeth loetterle
565 Bekkevue ave
Oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

elizabeth loetterle
565 Bekkevue ave , Oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 12:37 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
elizabeth loetterle
565 Bekkevue ave
Oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave , New York, NY 10034

December 9, 2006 12:36 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave
New York, NY 10034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave , New York, NY 10034

December 9, 2006 12:36 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave
New York, NY 10034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave , New York, NY 10034

December 9, 2006 12:36 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave
New York, NY 10034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave , New York, NY 10034

December 9, 2006 12:36 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave
New York, NY 10034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave , New York, NY 10034

December 9, 2006 12:36 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Cara Kilduff
85 Seaman Ave
New York, NY 10034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stan Dunn
161 Seashore Drive , Daly City, CA 94014-2857

December 9, 2006 12:35 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stan Dunn
161 Seashore Drive
Daly City, CA 94014-2857

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stan Dunn
161 Seashore Drive , Daly City, CA 94014-2857

December 9, 2006 12:35 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stan Dunn
161 Seashore Drive
Daly City, CA 94014-2857

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stan Dunn
161 Seashore Drive , Daly City, CA 94014-2857

December 9, 2006 12:35 PM

Representative Tom Lantos
U.S. House of Representatives
2413 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lantos,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stan Dunn
161 Seashore Drive
Daly City, CA 94014-2857

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stan Dunn
161 Seashore Drive , Daly City, CA 94014-2857

December 9, 2006 12:35 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stan Dunn
161 Seashore Drive
Daly City, CA 94014-2857

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr , Modesto, CA 95355

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr
Modesto, CA 95355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2 , Emporia, KS 66801

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2
Emporia, KS 66801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr , Modesto, CA 95355

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr
Modesto, CA 95355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr , Modesto, CA 95355

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr
Modesto, CA 95355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2 , Emporia, KS 66801

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Representative Jerry Moran
U.S. House of Representatives
2443 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2
Emporia, KS 66801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr , Modesto, CA 95355

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr
Modesto, CA 95355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2 , Emporia, KS 66801

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2
Emporia, KS 66801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2 , Emporia, KS 66801

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2
Emporia, KS 66801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2 , Emporia, KS 66801

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Slater
405 Commercial Apt. 2
Emporia, KS 66801

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr , Modesto, CA 95355

December 9, 2006 12:34 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joan Eshoo
2540 Woodvale Dr
Modesto, CA 95355

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd. , Middletown, CT 06457

December 9, 2006 12:32 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd.
Middletown, CT 06457

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd. , Middletown, CT 06457

December 9, 2006 12:32 PM

Representative Rosa DeLauro
U.S. House of Representatives
2262 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeLauro,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd.
Middletown, CT 06457

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd. , Middletown, CT 06457

December 9, 2006 12:32 PM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd.
Middletown, CT 06457

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd. , Middletown, CT 06457

December 9, 2006 12:32 PM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd.
Middletown, CT 06457

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd. , Middletown, CT 06457

December 9, 2006 12:32 PM

Representative Rosa DeLauro
U.S. House of Representatives
2262 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeLauro,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Loomis
102 Crystal Lake Rd.
Middletown, CT 06457

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam McKinley
1984 Taraval St. , San Fransisco, CA 94116

December 9, 2006 12:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam McKinley
1984 Taraval St.
San Fransisco, CA 94116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam McKinley
1984 Taraval St. , San Fransisco, CA 94116

December 9, 2006 12:31 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam McKinley
1984 Taraval St.
San Fransisco, CA 94116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam McKinley
1984 Taraval St. , San Fransisco, CA 94116

December 9, 2006 12:31 PM

Representative Tom Lantos
U.S. House of Representatives
2413 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lantos,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam McKinley
1984 Taraval St.
San Fransisco, CA 94116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Lundholm
2014 N. Old Hicks Road , Palatine, IL 60074-1291

December 9, 2006 12:31 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Lundholm
2014 N. Old Hicks Road
Palatine, IL 60074-1291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Adam McKinley
1984 Taraval St. , San Fransisco, CA 94116

December 9, 2006 12:31 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Adam McKinley
1984 Taraval St.
San Fransisco, CA 94116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Lundholm
2014 N. Old Hicks Road , Palatine, IL 60074-1291

December 9, 2006 12:31 PM

Representative Melissa Bean
U. S. House of Representatives
512 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bean,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Lundholm
2014 N. Old Hicks Road
Palatine, IL 60074-1291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Lundholm
2014 N. Old Hicks Road , Palatine, IL 60074-1291

December 9, 2006 12:31 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Lundholm
2014 N. Old Hicks Road
Palatine, IL 60074-1291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Lundholm
2014 N. Old Hicks Road , Palatine, IL 60074-1291

December 9, 2006 12:31 PM

Representative Melissa Bean
U. S. House of Representatives
512 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bean,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Lundholm
2014 N. Old Hicks Road
Palatine, IL 60074-1291

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carole O'Connell
5734 North Winthrop Ave. Apt. 903, Chicago, IL 60660

December 9, 2006 12:30 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carole O'Connell
5734 North Winthrop Ave.
Apt. 903
Chicago, IL 60660

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carole O'Connell
5734 North Winthrop Ave. Apt. 903, Chicago, IL 60660

December 9, 2006 12:30 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carole O'Connell
5734 North Winthrop Ave.
Apt. 903
Chicago, IL 60660

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carole O'Connell
5734 North Winthrop Ave. Apt. 903, Chicago, IL 60660

December 9, 2006 12:30 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carole O'Connell
5734 North Winthrop Ave.
Apt. 903
Chicago, IL 60660

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carole O'Connell
5734 North Winthrop Ave. Apt. 903, Chicago, IL 60660

December 9, 2006 12:30 PM

Representative Jan Schakowsky
U.S. House of Representatives
1027 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schakowsky,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carole O'Connell
5734 North Winthrop Ave.
Apt. 903
Chicago, IL 60660

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Yung
1809 N. Church St. , Belleville, IL 62221-4032

December 9, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Yung
1809 N. Church St.
Belleville, IL 62221-4032

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Alan Yung
1809 N. Church St. , Belleville, IL 62221-4032

December 9, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Alan Yung
1809 N. Church St.
Belleville, IL 62221-4032

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 12:29 PM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive , Madison, WI 53704

December 9, 2006 12:29 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrea Hobright
1120 Artisan Drive
Madison, WI 53704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

adrianne nash
700 paloma ave , oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 12:27 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
adrianne nash
700 paloma ave
oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

adrianne nash
700 paloma ave , oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 12:27 PM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
adrianne nash
700 paloma ave
oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

adrianne nash
700 paloma ave , oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 12:27 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
adrianne nash
700 paloma ave
oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

adrianne nash
700 paloma ave , oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 12:27 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
adrianne nash
700 paloma ave
oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

adrianne nash
700 paloma ave , oakland, CA 94610

December 9, 2006 12:27 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
adrianne nash
700 paloma ave
oakland, CA 94610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F , New York, NY 10025

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F , New York, NY 10025

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F , New York, NY 10025

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F , New York, NY 10025

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave , IOWA CITY, IA 52245

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Tom Harkin
U.S. Senate
731 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave
IOWA CITY, IA 52245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave , IOWA CITY, IA 52245

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave
IOWA CITY, IA 52245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave , IOWA CITY, IA 52245

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Representative Jim Leach
U.S. House of Representatives
2186 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave
IOWA CITY, IA 52245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave , IOWA CITY, IA 52245

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave
IOWA CITY, IA 52245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave , IOWA CITY, IA 52245

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Representative Jim Leach
U.S. House of Representatives
2186 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
D. Narveson
30 Montrose Ave
IOWA CITY, IA 52245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F , New York, NY 10025

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Liane Nikitovich
425 Riverside Drive #10F
New York, NY 10025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail , Sewanee, TN 37375

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail
Sewanee, TN 37375

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail , Sewanee, TN 37375

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail
Sewanee, TN 37375

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail , Sewanee, TN 37375

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Representative Lincoln Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
410 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail
Sewanee, TN 37375

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail , Sewanee, TN 37375

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail
Sewanee, TN 37375

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail , Sewanee, TN 37375

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Representative Lincoln Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
410 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail
Sewanee, TN 37375

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail , Sewanee, TN 37375

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jim Ann Howard
1424 Tate Trail
Sewanee, TN 37375

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vicky crampton
5891 sypes rd , bozeman, MT 59715

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vicky crampton
5891 sypes rd
bozeman, MT 59715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vicky crampton
5891 sypes rd , bozeman, MT 59715

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Max Baucus
U.S. Senate
511 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Baucus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vicky crampton
5891 sypes rd
bozeman, MT 59715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vicky crampton
5891 sypes rd , bozeman, MT 59715

December 9, 2006 12:26 PM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vicky crampton
5891 sypes rd
bozeman, MT 59715

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane , Escondido, CA 92025

December 9, 2006 12:24 PM

Representative Brian Bilbray
U.S. House of Representatives
2350 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilbray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane
Escondido, CA 92025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane , Escondido, CA 92025

December 9, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane
Escondido, CA 92025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane , Escondido, CA 92025

December 9, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane
Escondido, CA 92025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane , Escondido, CA 92025

December 9, 2006 12:24 PM

Representative Brian Bilbray
U.S. House of Representatives
2350 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilbray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane
Escondido, CA 92025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane , Escondido, CA 92025

December 9, 2006 12:24 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Glusha
6 Larry Lane
Escondido, CA 92025

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3 , Seattle, WA 98122

December 9, 2006 12:23 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3 , Seattle, WA 98122

December 9, 2006 12:23 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3 , Seattle, WA 98122

December 9, 2006 12:23 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3 , Seattle, WA 98122

December 9, 2006 12:23 PM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3 , Seattle, WA 98122

December 9, 2006 12:23 PM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3 , Seattle, WA 98122

December 9, 2006 12:23 PM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Neila Kay Richards
1107 E. Denny Way; C-3
Seattle, WA 98122

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rebecca s
1116 Walnut Ave , Dixon, IL 61021

December 9, 2006 12:22 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rebecca s
1116 Walnut Ave
Dixon, IL 61021

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rebecca s
1116 Walnut Ave , Dixon, IL 61021

December 9, 2006 12:22 PM

Representative Dennis Hastert
U.S. House of Representatives
235 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hastert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rebecca s
1116 Walnut Ave
Dixon, IL 61021

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rebecca s
1116 Walnut Ave , Dixon, IL 61021

December 9, 2006 12:22 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rebecca s
1116 Walnut Ave
Dixon, IL 61021

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N , Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

Regarding the AT&T - Bellsouth merger: Ethics rules are in place because of known abuses. Ignoring those rules is a prescription for abuse and making decisions that are not in the interest of the public and our nation. You must abide by the ethics rules and apply them in the most broad, not most narrow interpretation.
To uphold you position, you must not allow this decision to stand. Access to the Internet must be unhindered in every way. Companies cannot be allowed to pick and choose. The future of America's free society must not be eroded.

Sincerely,
Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N
Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merle Felder
4230 Northbrook Court , Peoria, IL 61614

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merle Felder
4230 Northbrook Court
Peoria, IL 61614

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merle Felder
4230 Northbrook Court , Peoria, IL 61614

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merle Felder
4230 Northbrook Court
Peoria, IL 61614

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N , Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

Regarding the AT&T - Bellsouth merger: Ethics rules are in place because of known abuses. Ignoring those rules is a prescription for abuse and making decisions that are not in the interest of the public and our nation. You must abide by the ethics rules and apply them in the most broad, not most narrow interpretation.
To uphold you position, you must not allow this decision to stand. Access to the Internet must be unhindered in every way. Companies cannot be allowed to pick and choose. The future of America's free society must not be eroded.

Sincerely,
Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N
Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merle Felder
4230 Northbrook Court , Peoria, IL 61614

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Representative Ray LaHood
U.S. House of Representatives
1424 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative LaHood,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merle Felder
4230 Northbrook Court
Peoria, IL 61614

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N , Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Representative Jim Ramstad
U.S. House of Representatives
103 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ramstad,

Regarding the AT&T - Bellsouth merger: Ethics rules are in place because of known abuses. Ignoring those rules is a prescription for abuse and making decisions that are not in the interest of the public and our nation. You must abide by the ethics rules and apply them in the most broad, not most narrow interpretation.
To uphold you position, you must not allow this decision to stand. Access to the Internet must be unhindered in every way. Companies cannot be allowed to pick and choose. The future of America's free society must not be eroded.

Sincerely,
Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N
Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Merle Felder
4230 Northbrook Court , Peoria, IL 61614

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Representative Ray LaHood
U.S. House of Representatives
1424 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative LaHood,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Merle Felder
4230 Northbrook Court
Peoria, IL 61614

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N , Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

Regarding the AT&T - Bellsouth merger: Ethics rules are in place because of known abuses. Ignoring those rules is a prescription for abuse and making decisions that are not in the interest of the public and our nation. You must abide by the ethics rules and apply them in the most broad, not most narrow interpretation.
To uphold you position, you must not allow this decision to stand. Access to the Internet must be unhindered in every way. Companies cannot be allowed to pick and choose. The future of America's free society must not be eroded.

Sincerely,
Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N
Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N , Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

December 9, 2006 12:21 PM

Representative Jim Ramstad
U.S. House of Representatives
103 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ramstad,

Regarding the AT&T - Bellsouth merger: Ethics rules are in place because of known abuses. Ignoring those rules is a prescription for abuse and making decisions that are not in the interest of the public and our nation. You must abide by the ethics rules and apply them in the most broad, not most narrow interpretation.
To uphold you position, you must not allow this decision to stand. Access to the Internet must be unhindered in every way. Companies cannot be allowed to pick and choose. The future of America's free society must not be eroded.

Sincerely,
Gregg Bendtsen
6011 92nd Trl N
Brooklyn Park, MN 55443-1621

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive , Erie, PA 16505

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive
Erie, PA 16505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive , Erie, PA 16505

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive
Erie, PA 16505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive , Erie, PA 16505

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Phil English
U.S. House of Representatives
1410 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative English,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive
Erie, PA 16505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive , Erie, PA 16505

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive
Erie, PA 16505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive , Erie, PA 16505

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Phil English
U.S. House of Representatives
1410 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative English,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pat LUPO, OSB
301 Peninsula Drive
Erie, PA 16505

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

The government has already shown through regulation of telephones that communication needs to be free, that private companies do not have the right to regulate how communications devises are used. Please encourage the FCC to do its job correctly, in order to insure the continued freedom of the internet.

Sincerely,
John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

The government has already shown through regulation of telephones that communication needs to be free, that private companies do not have the right to regulate how communications devises are used. Please encourage the FCC to do its job correctly, in order to insure the continued freedom of the internet.

Sincerely,
John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Jim Cooper
U.S. House of Representatives
1536 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cooper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr , richmond, KY 40475 3609

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Jim Bunning
U.S. Senate
316 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr
richmond, KY 40475 3609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr , richmond, KY 40475 3609

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Ben Chandler
U.S. House of Representatives
1504 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr
richmond, KY 40475 3609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

The government has already shown through regulation of telephones that communication needs to be free, that private companies do not have the right to regulate how communications devises are used. Please encourage the FCC to do its job correctly, in order to insure the continued freedom of the internet.

Sincerely,
John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Joel Hefley
U.S. House of Representatives
2372 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hefley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

The government has already shown through regulation of telephones that communication needs to be free, that private companies do not have the right to regulate how communications devises are used. Please encourage the FCC to do its job correctly, in order to insure the continued freedom of the internet.

Sincerely,
John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd , Colorado Springs, CO 80904

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

The government has already shown through regulation of telephones that communication needs to be free, that private companies do not have the right to regulate how communications devises are used. Please encourage the FCC to do its job correctly, in order to insure the continued freedom of the internet.

Sincerely,
John Brewington
2 Ridge Rd
Colorado Springs, CO 80904

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd , Huntington, VT 05462

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd
Huntington, VT 05462

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd , Huntington, VT 05462

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd
Huntington, VT 05462

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd , Huntington, VT 05462

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd
Huntington, VT 05462

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr , richmond, KY 40475 3609

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr
richmond, KY 40475 3609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr , richmond, KY 40475 3609

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Ben Chandler
U.S. House of Representatives
1504 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Chandler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr
richmond, KY 40475 3609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Jim Cooper
U.S. House of Representatives
1536 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cooper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
scott ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd , Huntington, VT 05462

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd
Huntington, VT 05462

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd , Huntington, VT 05462

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd
Huntington, VT 05462

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd , Huntington, VT 05462

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tom Northrop
1110 moody rd
Huntington, VT 05462

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Henry Brown
U.S. House of Representatives
1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Jim Demint
U. S. Senate
340 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Demint,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr , richmond, KY 40475 3609

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
violet godwin
1020 Idylwild dr
richmond, KY 40475 3609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Representative Henry Brown
U.S. House of Representatives
1124 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road , Goose Creek, SC 29445

December 9, 2006 12:20 PM

Senator Lindsey Graham
U.S. Senate
290 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Graham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Keith Boast
54 Princeton Road
Goose Creek, SC 29445

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329 , ridgewood, NY 11385

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329
ridgewood, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Representative Jim Cooper
U.S. House of Representatives
1536 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cooper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deena Rubinson
133 E. Ave. 42 , Los Angeles, CA 90031

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deena Rubinson
133 E. Ave. 42
Los Angeles, CA 90031

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deena Rubinson
133 E. Ave. 42 , Los Angeles, CA 90031

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deena Rubinson
133 E. Ave. 42
Los Angeles, CA 90031

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deena Rubinson
133 E. Ave. 42 , Los Angeles, CA 90031

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Representative Xavier Becerra
U.S. House of Representatives
1119 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Becerra,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deena Rubinson
133 E. Ave. 42
Los Angeles, CA 90031

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329 , ridgewood, NY 11385

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Representative Nydia Velazquez
U.S. House of Representatives
2241 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Velazquez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329
ridgewood, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329 , ridgewood, NY 11385

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329
ridgewood, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329 , ridgewood, NY 11385

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329
ridgewood, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329 , ridgewood, NY 11385

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Representative Nydia Velazquez
U.S. House of Representatives
2241 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Velazquez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
cyrus amundson
1717 troutman st #329
ridgewood, NY 11385

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Lamar Alexander
U.S. Senate
302 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Alexander,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Bill Frist
U.S. Senate
509 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Frist,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8 , Nashville, TN 37212

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Representative Jim Cooper
U.S. House of Representatives
1536 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Cooper,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
suzanne ashley
2121 fairfax ave. #8
Nashville, TN 37212

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deena Rubinson
133 E. Ave. 42 , Los Angeles, CA 90031

December 9, 2006 12:19 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deena Rubinson
133 E. Ave. 42
Los Angeles, CA 90031

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert B Owens
12611 Cove View , Stuart, FL 34994

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert B Owens
12611 Cove View
Stuart, FL 34994

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502 16 Settlers Lane, East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502
16 Settlers Lane
East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502 16 Settlers Lane, East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Representative Bill Delahunt
U.S. House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Delahunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502
16 Settlers Lane
East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert B Owens
12611 Cove View , Stuart, FL 34994

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert B Owens
12611 Cove View
Stuart, FL 34994

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502 16 Settlers Lane, East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502
16 Settlers Lane
East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert B Owens
12611 Cove View , Stuart, FL 34994

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Representative Vacant Vacant
U.S. House of Representatives
104 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Vacant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert B Owens
12611 Cove View
Stuart, FL 34994

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert B Owens
12611 Cove View , Stuart, FL 34994

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert B Owens
12611 Cove View
Stuart, FL 34994

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502 16 Settlers Lane, East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502
16 Settlers Lane
East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502 16 Settlers Lane, East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

December 9, 2006 12:18 PM

Representative Bill Delahunt
U.S. House of Representatives
2454 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Delahunt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hurley Bogardus
P.O. Box 1502
16 Settlers Lane
East Dennis, MA 02641-1502

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave. , Glendale, AZ 85301

December 9, 2006 12:17 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave.
Glendale, AZ 85301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave. , Glendale, AZ 85301

December 9, 2006 12:17 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave.
Glendale, AZ 85301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave. , Glendale, AZ 85301

December 9, 2006 12:17 PM

Representative Ed Pastor
U.S. House of Representatives
2465 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pastor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave.
Glendale, AZ 85301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave. , Glendale, AZ 85301

December 9, 2006 12:17 PM

Representative Ed Pastor
U.S. House of Representatives
2465 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pastor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave.
Glendale, AZ 85301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave. , Glendale, AZ 85301

December 9, 2006 12:17 PM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave.
Glendale, AZ 85301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave. , Glendale, AZ 85301

December 9, 2006 12:17 PM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Lanter
6023 W. Colter Ave.
Glendale, AZ 85301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Lane
PO Box 126 , Bearsville,, NY 12409

December 9, 2006 12:15 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Lane
PO Box 126
Bearsville,, NY 12409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Lane
PO Box 126 , Bearsville,, NY 12409

December 9, 2006 12:15 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Lane
PO Box 126
Bearsville,, NY 12409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Lane
PO Box 126 , Bearsville,, NY 12409

December 9, 2006 12:15 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Lane
PO Box 126
Bearsville,, NY 12409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Lane
PO Box 126 , Bearsville,, NY 12409

December 9, 2006 12:15 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Lane
PO Box 126
Bearsville,, NY 12409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy Lane
PO Box 126 , Bearsville,, NY 12409

December 9, 2006 12:15 PM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy Lane
PO Box 126
Bearsville,, NY 12409

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Damon Sasi
761 NE 199st apt 204 , Miami, FL 33179

December 9, 2006 12:14 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Damon Sasi
761 NE 199st apt 204
Miami, FL 33179

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Damon Sasi
761 NE 199st apt 204 , Miami, FL 33179

December 9, 2006 12:14 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Damon Sasi
761 NE 199st apt 204
Miami, FL 33179

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Damon Sasi
761 NE 199st apt 204 , Miami, FL 33179

December 9, 2006 12:14 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Damon Sasi
761 NE 199st apt 204
Miami, FL 33179

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John LeFever
85 White Schoolhouse Rd. , Rhinebeck, NY 12572

December 9, 2006 12:13 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John LeFever
85 White Schoolhouse Rd.
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John LeFever
85 White Schoolhouse Rd. , Rhinebeck, NY 12572

December 9, 2006 12:13 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John LeFever
85 White Schoolhouse Rd.
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John LeFever
85 White Schoolhouse Rd. , Rhinebeck, NY 12572

December 9, 2006 12:13 PM

Representative John Sweeney
U.S. House of Representatives
416 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John LeFever
85 White Schoolhouse Rd.
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John LeFever
85 White Schoolhouse Rd. , Rhinebeck, NY 12572

December 9, 2006 12:13 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John LeFever
85 White Schoolhouse Rd.
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sherman Fung
2889 San Pasqual St. , Pasadena, CA 91107

December 9, 2006 12:12 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sherman Fung
2889 San Pasqual St.
Pasadena, CA 91107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sherman Fung
2889 San Pasqual St. , Pasadena, CA 91107

December 9, 2006 12:12 PM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sherman Fung
2889 San Pasqual St.
Pasadena, CA 91107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sherman Fung
2889 San Pasqual St. , Pasadena, CA 91107

December 9, 2006 12:12 PM

Representative Adam Schiff
U.S. House of Representatives
326 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schiff,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sherman Fung
2889 San Pasqual St.
Pasadena, CA 91107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sherman Fung
2889 San Pasqual St. , Pasadena, CA 91107

December 9, 2006 12:12 PM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sherman Fung
2889 San Pasqual St.
Pasadena, CA 91107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

E Susan Matero
749 Skynob Ct , Ann Arbor, MI 48105-2598

December 9, 2006 12:11 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
E Susan Matero
749 Skynob Ct
Ann Arbor, MI 48105-2598

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

E Susan Matero
749 Skynob Ct , Ann Arbor, MI 48105-2598

December 9, 2006 12:11 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
E Susan Matero
749 Skynob Ct
Ann Arbor, MI 48105-2598

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

E Susan Matero
749 Skynob Ct , Ann Arbor, MI 48105-2598

December 9, 2006 12:11 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
E Susan Matero
749 Skynob Ct
Ann Arbor, MI 48105-2598

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Representative Joseph Crowley
U.S. House of Representatives
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Inge Owens
12611 Cove View , Stuart, FL 34994

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Representative Vacant Vacant
U.S. House of Representatives
104 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Vacant,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Inge Owens
12611 Cove View
Stuart, FL 34994

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Inge Owens
12611 Cove View , Stuart, FL 34994

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Inge Owens
12611 Cove View
Stuart, FL 34994

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Inge Owens
12611 Cove View , Stuart, FL 34994

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Inge Owens
12611 Cove View
Stuart, FL 34994

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St , Jackson Heights, NY 11372

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Representative Joseph Crowley
U.S. House of Representatives
312 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Crowley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stuart Nezin
37-52 85 St
Jackson Heights, NY 11372

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road , Guilderland, NY 12084

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road
Guilderland, NY 12084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road , Guilderland, NY 12084

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road
Guilderland, NY 12084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road , Guilderland, NY 12084

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road
Guilderland, NY 12084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Inge Owens
12611 Cove View , Stuart, FL 34994

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Inge Owens
12611 Cove View
Stuart, FL 34994

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road , Guilderland, NY 12084

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road
Guilderland, NY 12084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road , Guilderland, NY 12084

December 9, 2006 12:10 PM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Candace Lider
1501 Siver Road
Guilderland, NY 12084

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Fred Black
PO Box 1044 , Volcano, HI 96785

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Representative Ed Case
U.S. House of Representatives
115 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Case,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Fred Black
PO Box 1044
Volcano, HI 96785

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45 , Northfield, MN 55057

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Representative John Kline
U.S. House of Representatives
1429 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kline,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45
Northfield, MN 55057

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45 , Northfield, MN 55057

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45
Northfield, MN 55057

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Fred Black
PO Box 1044 , Volcano, HI 96785

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Daniel Inouye
U.S. Senate
722 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inouye,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Fred Black
PO Box 1044
Volcano, HI 96785

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Fred Black
PO Box 1044 , Volcano, HI 96785

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Daniel Akaka
U.S. Senate
141 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Akaka,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Fred Black
PO Box 1044
Volcano, HI 96785

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45 , Northfield, MN 55057

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45
Northfield, MN 55057

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Fred Black
PO Box 1044 , Volcano, HI 96785

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Daniel Akaka
U.S. Senate
141 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Akaka,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Fred Black
PO Box 1044
Volcano, HI 96785

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Fred Black
PO Box 1044 , Volcano, HI 96785

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Daniel Inouye
U.S. Senate
722 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inouye,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Fred Black
PO Box 1044
Volcano, HI 96785

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Fred Black
PO Box 1044 , Volcano, HI 96785

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Representative Ed Case
U.S. House of Representatives
115 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Case,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Fred Black
PO Box 1044
Volcano, HI 96785

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45 , Northfield, MN 55057

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45
Northfield, MN 55057

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd , hedgesville, WV 25427

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Representative Shelley Capito
U.S. House of Representatives
1431 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capito,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd
hedgesville, WV 25427

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd , hedgesville, WV 25427

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd
hedgesville, WV 25427

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd , hedgesville, WV 25427

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd
hedgesville, WV 25427

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45 , Northfield, MN 55057

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Representative John Kline
U.S. House of Representatives
1429 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kline,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Lickteig
1920 Roosevelt Dr. #45
Northfield, MN 55057

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd , hedgesville, WV 25427

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator Robert Byrd
U.S. Senate
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Byrd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd
hedgesville, WV 25427

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd , hedgesville, WV 25427

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Senator John Rockefeller
U.S. Senate
531 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Rockefeller,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd
hedgesville, WV 25427

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd , hedgesville, WV 25427

December 9, 2006 12:09 PM

Representative Shelley Capito
U.S. House of Representatives
1431 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capito,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
rodman davis
426 presbyterian church rd
hedgesville, WV 25427

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18 P.O. Box 493, Washburn, WI 54891

December 9, 2006 12:07 PM

Representative Dave Obey
U.S. House of Representatives
2314 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Obey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18
P.O. Box 493
Washburn, WI 54891

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18 P.O. Box 493, Washburn, WI 54891

December 9, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18
P.O. Box 493
Washburn, WI 54891

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18 P.O. Box 493, Washburn, WI 54891

December 9, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18
P.O. Box 493
Washburn, WI 54891

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18 P.O. Box 493, Washburn, WI 54891

December 9, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18
P.O. Box 493
Washburn, WI 54891

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18 P.O. Box 493, Washburn, WI 54891

December 9, 2006 12:07 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Terri Ainsworth
12 E. 3rd St. apt#18
P.O. Box 493
Washburn, WI 54891

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane , White River Junction, VT 05001

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane
White River Junction, VT 05001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane , White River Junction, VT 05001

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane
White River Junction, VT 05001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane , White River Junction, VT 05001

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane
White River Junction, VT 05001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474 , Decatur, GA 30033

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Representative Cynthia McKinney
U.S. House of Representatives
320 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKinney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474
Decatur, GA 30033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474 , Decatur, GA 30033

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474
Decatur, GA 30033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474 , Decatur, GA 30033

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474
Decatur, GA 30033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474 , Decatur, GA 30033

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474
Decatur, GA 30033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474 , Decatur, GA 30033

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Representative Cynthia McKinney
U.S. House of Representatives
320 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKinney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Katie Armistead
2107 North Decatur Road #474
Decatur, GA 30033

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane , White River Junction, VT 05001

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane
White River Junction, VT 05001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane , White River Junction, VT 05001

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane
White River Junction, VT 05001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane , White River Junction, VT 05001

December 9, 2006 12:03 PM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauran Emerson
157 Latham Works Lane
White River Junction, VT 05001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive , Greenville, WI 54942

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Representative Mark Green
U.S. House of Representatives
1314 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Green,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive
Greenville, WI 54942

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street 3 Adams Street, Worcester, MA 01604

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street
3 Adams Street
Worcester, MA 01604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street 3 Adams Street, Worcester, MA 01604

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Representative James McGovern
U.S. House of Representatives
430 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McGovern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street
3 Adams Street
Worcester, MA 01604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive , Greenville, WI 54942

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive
Greenville, WI 54942

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive , Greenville, WI 54942

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive
Greenville, WI 54942

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street 3 Adams Street, Worcester, MA 01604

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street
3 Adams Street
Worcester, MA 01604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street 3 Adams Street, Worcester, MA 01604

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Representative James McGovern
U.S. House of Representatives
430 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McGovern,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street
3 Adams Street
Worcester, MA 01604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive , Greenville, WI 54942

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive
Greenville, WI 54942

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive , Greenville, WI 54942

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive
Greenville, WI 54942

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive , Greenville, WI 54942

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Representative Mark Green
U.S. House of Representatives
1314 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Green,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dubear Kroening
N1200 Bobwhite Drive
Greenville, WI 54942

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street 3 Adams Street, Worcester, MA 01604

December 9, 2006 12:02 PM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Spingler
3 Adams Street
3 Adams Street
Worcester, MA 01604

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Strempke
4141 Nez Perce St. Apt. 324 , Boise, ID 83705

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Larry Craig
U.S. Senate
520 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Craig,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Strempke
4141 Nez Perce St. Apt. 324
Boise, ID 83705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave , Cranford, NJ 07016

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Representative Mike Ferguson
U.S. House of Representatives
214 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ferguson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave
Cranford, NJ 07016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave , Cranford, NJ 07016

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave
Cranford, NJ 07016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr. , Charlotte, MI 48813

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr.
Charlotte, MI 48813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr. , Charlotte, MI 48813

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Representative Joe Schwarz
U. S. House of Representatives
128 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwarz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr.
Charlotte, MI 48813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Strempke
4141 Nez Perce St. Apt. 324 , Boise, ID 83705

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Representative Mike Simpson
U.S. House of Representatives
1339 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simpson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Strempke
4141 Nez Perce St. Apt. 324
Boise, ID 83705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Strempke
4141 Nez Perce St. Apt. 324 , Boise, ID 83705

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Mike Crapo
U.S. Senate
239 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Crapo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Strempke
4141 Nez Perce St. Apt. 324
Boise, ID 83705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave , Cranford, NJ 07016

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave
Cranford, NJ 07016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr. , Charlotte, MI 48813

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr.
Charlotte, MI 48813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ryan Strempke
4141 Nez Perce St. Apt. 324 , Boise, ID 83705

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Mike Crapo
U.S. Senate
239 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Crapo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ryan Strempke
4141 Nez Perce St. Apt. 324
Boise, ID 83705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr. , Charlotte, MI 48813

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr.
Charlotte, MI 48813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr. , Charlotte, MI 48813

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Representative Joe Schwarz
U. S. House of Representatives
128 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwarz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Ebbinghaus
226 Bonnie Brook Dr.
Charlotte, MI 48813

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave , Cranford, NJ 07016

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave
Cranford, NJ 07016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave , Cranford, NJ 07016

December 9, 2006 12:01 PM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Doris Mc Grath
225 Retford Ave
Cranford, NJ 07016

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6 , Lincoln, NE 68508

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Representative Jeff Fortenberry
U. S. House of Representatives
1517 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fortenberry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6
Lincoln, NE 68508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6 , Lincoln, NE 68508

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6
Lincoln, NE 68508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6 , Lincoln, NE 68508

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Ben Nelson
U.S. Senate
720 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6
Lincoln, NE 68508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6 , Lincoln, NE 68508

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Chuck Hagel
U.S. Senate
248 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hagel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6
Lincoln, NE 68508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6 , Lincoln, NE 68508

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Representative Jeff Fortenberry
U. S. House of Representatives
1517 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Fortenberry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Richard Shubert
327 S. 11th St. # 6
Lincoln, NE 68508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeannine Hill
508 2nd Street , Petaluma, CA 94952

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeannine Hill
508 2nd Street
Petaluma, CA 94952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeannine Hill
508 2nd Street , Petaluma, CA 94952

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Representative Lynn Woolsey
U.S. House of Representatives
2263 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Woolsey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeannine Hill
508 2nd Street
Petaluma, CA 94952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeannine Hill
508 2nd Street , Petaluma, CA 94952

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeannine Hill
508 2nd Street
Petaluma, CA 94952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeannine Hill
508 2nd Street , Petaluma, CA 94952

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeannine Hill
508 2nd Street
Petaluma, CA 94952

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave , Spokane, WA 99206

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave
Spokane, WA 99206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave , Spokane, WA 99206

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave
Spokane, WA 99206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave , Spokane, WA 99206

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Representative Cathy McMorris
U.S. House of Representatives
1708 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McMorris,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave
Spokane, WA 99206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave , Spokane, WA 99206

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave
Spokane, WA 99206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave , Spokane, WA 99206

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave
Spokane, WA 99206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave , Spokane, WA 99206

December 9, 2006 11:58 AM

Representative Cathy McMorris
U.S. House of Representatives
1708 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McMorris,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mark Quick
12213 E. Buckeye Ave
Spokane, WA 99206

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street , andover, MA 01810

December 9, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street
andover, MA 01810

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street , andover, MA 01810

December 9, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street
andover, MA 01810

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street , andover, MA 01810

December 9, 2006 11:57 AM

Representative Marty Meehan
U.S. House of Representatives
2229 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street
andover, MA 01810

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street , andover, MA 01810

December 9, 2006 11:57 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street
andover, MA 01810

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street , andover, MA 01810

December 9, 2006 11:57 AM

Representative Marty Meehan
U.S. House of Representatives
2229 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lou Bernieri
16 abbot street
andover, MA 01810

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Osgood
460 Whiski Road , Priest River, ID 83856

December 9, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator Mike Crapo
U.S. Senate
239 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Crapo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Osgood
460 Whiski Road
Priest River, ID 83856

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Osgood
460 Whiski Road , Priest River, ID 83856

December 9, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator Larry Craig
U.S. Senate
520 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Craig,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Osgood
460 Whiski Road
Priest River, ID 83856

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Osgood
460 Whiski Road , Priest River, ID 83856

December 9, 2006 11:56 AM

Senator Mike Crapo
U.S. Senate
239 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Crapo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Osgood
460 Whiski Road
Priest River, ID 83856

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave , Edmond, OK 73034

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator Tom Coburn
U.S. Senate
172 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coburn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave
Edmond, OK 73034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave , Edmond, OK 73034

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Representative Ernest Istook
U.S. House of Representatives
2404 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Istook,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave
Edmond, OK 73034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin David
10 Quincy Road , Putnam Valley, NY 10579

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin David
10 Quincy Road
Putnam Valley, NY 10579

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave , Edmond, OK 73034

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave
Edmond, OK 73034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin David
10 Quincy Road , Putnam Valley, NY 10579

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Representative Sue Kelly
U.S. House of Representatives
2182 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kelly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin David
10 Quincy Road
Putnam Valley, NY 10579

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Stine
15869 Griffon Path , Apple Valley, MN 55124-6662

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Stine
15869 Griffon Path
Apple Valley, MN 55124-6662

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Stine
15869 Griffon Path , Apple Valley, MN 55124-6662

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Stine
15869 Griffon Path
Apple Valley, MN 55124-6662

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin David
10 Quincy Road , Putnam Valley, NY 10579

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin David
10 Quincy Road
Putnam Valley, NY 10579

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Stine
15869 Griffon Path , Apple Valley, MN 55124-6662

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Stine
15869 Griffon Path
Apple Valley, MN 55124-6662

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin David
10 Quincy Road , Putnam Valley, NY 10579

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin David
10 Quincy Road
Putnam Valley, NY 10579

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Collin David
10 Quincy Road , Putnam Valley, NY 10579

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Representative Sue Kelly
U.S. House of Representatives
2182 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kelly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Collin David
10 Quincy Road
Putnam Valley, NY 10579

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave , Edmond, OK 73034

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Senator James Inhofe
U.S. Senate
453 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Inhofe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave
Edmond, OK 73034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave , Edmond, OK 73034

December 9, 2006 11:53 AM

Representative Ernest Istook
U.S. House of Representatives
2404 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Istook,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Bell
924 N. Blackwelder Ave
Edmond, OK 73034

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Underwood
1070 Magazine Road , Green Lane, PA 18054-2322

December 9, 2006 11:52 AM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Underwood
1070 Magazine Road
Green Lane, PA 18054-2322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Underwood
1070 Magazine Road , Green Lane, PA 18054-2322

December 9, 2006 11:52 AM

Representative Charles Dent
U.S. House of Repesentatives
502 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Underwood
1070 Magazine Road
Green Lane, PA 18054-2322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Underwood
1070 Magazine Road , Green Lane, PA 18054-2322

December 9, 2006 11:52 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Underwood
1070 Magazine Road
Green Lane, PA 18054-2322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Underwood
1070 Magazine Road , Green Lane, PA 18054-2322

December 9, 2006 11:52 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Underwood
1070 Magazine Road
Green Lane, PA 18054-2322

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Della Coburn
P.O. Box 2 , Kasaan, AK 99950-0340

December 9, 2006 11:51 AM

Senator Lisa Murkowski
U.S. Senate
709 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprece-dented affront to the pub-lic's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively over-ride previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Repre-sentatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." In-coming Senate Commerce Com-mittee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's par-ticipation and return to se-rious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner Mc-Dowell has respected. As mem-bers of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Della Coburn
P.O. Box 2
Kasaan, AK 99950-0340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Della Coburn
P.O. Box 2 , Kasaan, AK 99950-0340

December 9, 2006 11:51 AM

Senator Ted Stevens
U.S. Senate
522 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stevens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprece-dented affront to the pub-lic's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively over-ride previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Repre-sentatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." In-coming Senate Commerce Com-mittee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's par-ticipation and return to se-rious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner Mc-Dowell has respected. As mem-bers of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Della Coburn
P.O. Box 2
Kasaan, AK 99950-0340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Della Coburn
P.O. Box 2 , Kasaan, AK 99950-0340

December 9, 2006 11:51 AM

Representative Don Young
U.S. House of Representatives
2111 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Young,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprece-dented affront to the pub-lic's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively over-ride previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Repre-sentatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." In-coming Senate Commerce Com-mittee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's par-ticipation and return to se-rious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner Mc-Dowell has respected. As mem-bers of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Della Coburn
P.O. Box 2
Kasaan, AK 99950-0340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Della Coburn
P.O. Box 2 , Kasaan, AK 99950-0340

December 9, 2006 11:51 AM

Senator Lisa Murkowski
U.S. Senate
709 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murkowski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprece-dented affront to the pub-lic's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively over-ride previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Repre-sentatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." In-coming Senate Commerce Com-mittee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's par-ticipation and return to se-rious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner Mc-Dowell has respected. As mem-bers of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Della Coburn
P.O. Box 2
Kasaan, AK 99950-0340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave , sacramento, CA 95820

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Representative Doris Matsui
U.S. House of Representatives
2310 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matsui,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave
sacramento, CA 95820

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob Lamb
3217 14th Avenue South , Minneapolis, MN 55407-1737

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob Lamb
3217 14th Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55407-1737

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob Lamb
3217 14th Avenue South , Minneapolis, MN 55407-1737

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Representative Martin Sabo
U.S. House of Representatives
2336 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sabo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob Lamb
3217 14th Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55407-1737

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave , sacramento, CA 95820

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave
sacramento, CA 95820

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave , sacramento, CA 95820

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave
sacramento, CA 95820

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob Lamb
3217 14th Avenue South , Minneapolis, MN 55407-1737

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob Lamb
3217 14th Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55407-1737

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Bob Lamb
3217 14th Avenue South , Minneapolis, MN 55407-1737

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Bob Lamb
3217 14th Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55407-1737

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave , sacramento, CA 95820

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave
sacramento, CA 95820

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave , sacramento, CA 95820

December 9, 2006 11:49 AM

Representative Doris Matsui
U.S. House of Representatives
2310 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Matsui,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Goldsmith
4621 parker ave
sacramento, CA 95820

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL. , Seattle, WA 98108

December 9, 2006 11:47 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL.
Seattle, WA 98108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL. , Seattle, WA 98108

December 9, 2006 11:47 AM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL.
Seattle, WA 98108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL. , Seattle, WA 98108

December 9, 2006 11:47 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL.
Seattle, WA 98108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL. , Seattle, WA 98108

December 9, 2006 11:47 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL.
Seattle, WA 98108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL. , Seattle, WA 98108

December 9, 2006 11:47 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL.
Seattle, WA 98108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL. , Seattle, WA 98108

December 9, 2006 11:47 AM

Representative Jim McDermott
U.S. House of Representatives
1035 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McDermott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Emmett Esmond
2616 S. AVON cREST pL.
Seattle, WA 98108

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shanna G
001 Nowhere St. , Boomston, IL 61709

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Representative Timothy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1229 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shanna G
001 Nowhere St.
Boomston, IL 61709

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shanna G
001 Nowhere St. , Boomston, IL 61709

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shanna G
001 Nowhere St.
Boomston, IL 61709

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shanna G
001 Nowhere St. , Boomston, IL 61709

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shanna G
001 Nowhere St.
Boomston, IL 61709

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street , Tampa, FL 33609

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street
Tampa, FL 33609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street , Tampa, FL 33609

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street
Tampa, FL 33609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street , Tampa, FL 33609

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Representative Jim Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
409 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street
Tampa, FL 33609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street , Tampa, FL 33609

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street
Tampa, FL 33609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street , Tampa, FL 33609

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Representative Jim Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
409 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gloria King
4303 W. North A Street
Tampa, FL 33609

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Shanna G
001 Nowhere St. , Boomston, IL 61709

December 9, 2006 11:45 AM

Representative Timothy Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1229 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Shanna G
001 Nowhere St.
Boomston, IL 61709

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct , Rochester Hills, MI 48307

December 9, 2006 11:44 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct
Rochester Hills, MI 48307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct , Rochester Hills, MI 48307

December 9, 2006 11:44 AM

Representative Joe Knollenberg
U.S. House of Representatives
2349 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Knollenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct
Rochester Hills, MI 48307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct , Rochester Hills, MI 48307

December 9, 2006 11:44 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct
Rochester Hills, MI 48307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct , Rochester Hills, MI 48307

December 9, 2006 11:44 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct
Rochester Hills, MI 48307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct , Rochester Hills, MI 48307

December 9, 2006 11:44 AM

Representative Joe Knollenberg
U.S. House of Representatives
2349 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Knollenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephen Zatezalo
1145 Ironwood Ct
Rochester Hills, MI 48307

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rita Webber
457 Acacia , Bakersfield, CA 93305

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rita Webber
457 Acacia
Bakersfield, CA 93305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rita Webber
457 Acacia , Bakersfield, CA 93305

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Representative Bill Thomas
U.S. House of Representatives
2208 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thomas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rita Webber
457 Acacia
Bakersfield, CA 93305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rita Webber
457 Acacia , Bakersfield, CA 93305

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Representative Bill Thomas
U.S. House of Representatives
2208 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Thomas,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rita Webber
457 Acacia
Bakersfield, CA 93305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive , New York, NY 10025-

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive
New York, NY 10025-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive , New York, NY 10025-

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive
New York, NY 10025-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rita Webber
457 Acacia , Bakersfield, CA 93305

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rita Webber
457 Acacia
Bakersfield, CA 93305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Rita Webber
457 Acacia , Bakersfield, CA 93305

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Rita Webber
457 Acacia
Bakersfield, CA 93305

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive , New York, NY 10025-

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive
New York, NY 10025-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive , New York, NY 10025-

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive
New York, NY 10025-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive , New York, NY 10025-

December 9, 2006 11:43 AM

Representative Charles Rangel
U.S. House of Representatives
2354 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rangel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Matthew Greenbaum
276 Riverside Drive
New York, NY 10025-

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane , Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Max Baucus
U.S. Senate
511 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Baucus,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane
Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane , Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane
Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane , Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Representative Denny Rehberg
U.S. House of Representatives
516 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane
Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heath Hyperion
1727 Kennedy Dr. , Milpitas, CA 95035

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heath Hyperion
1727 Kennedy Dr.
Milpitas, CA 95035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heath Hyperion
1727 Kennedy Dr. , Milpitas, CA 95035

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Representative Mike Honda
U.S. House of Representatives
1713 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Honda,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heath Hyperion
1727 Kennedy Dr.
Milpitas, CA 95035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heath Hyperion
1727 Kennedy Dr. , Milpitas, CA 95035

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heath Hyperion
1727 Kennedy Dr.
Milpitas, CA 95035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Heath Hyperion
1727 Kennedy Dr. , Milpitas, CA 95035

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Heath Hyperion
1727 Kennedy Dr.
Milpitas, CA 95035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane , Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Conrad Burns
U.S. Senate
187 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane
Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane , Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Representative Denny Rehberg
U.S. House of Representatives
516 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rehberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Patricia Herrick
188 Herrick Lane
Gold Creek, MT 59733-9740

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Theresa Gail Helinger
729 Heywood Avenue , Louisville, KY 40208-1221

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Jim Bunning
U.S. Senate
316 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bunning,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Theresa Gail Helinger
729 Heywood Avenue
Louisville, KY 40208-1221

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Theresa Gail Helinger
729 Heywood Avenue , Louisville, KY 40208-1221

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Theresa Gail Helinger
729 Heywood Avenue
Louisville, KY 40208-1221

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Theresa Gail Helinger
729 Heywood Avenue , Louisville, KY 40208-1221

December 9, 2006 11:41 AM

Senator Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
361-A Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McConnell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Theresa Gail Helinger
729 Heywood Avenue
Louisville, KY 40208-1221

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave. , Topeka, KS 66605

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave.
Topeka, KS 66605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave. , Topeka, KS 66605

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Representative Jim Ryun
U.S. House of Representatives
1110 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryun,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave.
Topeka, KS 66605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

evan parker
9520 accord dr , potomac, MD 20854

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Evan Parker Potomac, MD

Sincerely,
evan parker
9520 accord dr
potomac, MD 20854

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

evan parker
9520 accord dr , potomac, MD 20854

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Evan Parker Potomac, MD

Sincerely,
evan parker
9520 accord dr
potomac, MD 20854

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

evan parker
9520 accord dr , potomac, MD 20854

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Representative Chris Van Hollen
U.S. House of Representatives
1419 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Van Hollen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Evan Parker Potomac, MD

Sincerely,
evan parker
9520 accord dr
potomac, MD 20854

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave. , Topeka, KS 66605

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave.
Topeka, KS 66605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave. , Topeka, KS 66605

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave.
Topeka, KS 66605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave. , Topeka, KS 66605

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Representative Jim Ryun
U.S. House of Representatives
1110 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ryun,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave.
Topeka, KS 66605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

evan parker
9520 accord dr , potomac, MD 20854

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Evan Parker Potomac, MD

Sincerely,
evan parker
9520 accord dr
potomac, MD 20854

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

evan parker
9520 accord dr , potomac, MD 20854

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Evan Parker Potomac, MD

Sincerely,
evan parker
9520 accord dr
potomac, MD 20854

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

evan parker
9520 accord dr , potomac, MD 20854

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Representative Chris Van Hollen
U.S. House of Representatives
1419 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Van Hollen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Evan Parker Potomac, MD

Sincerely,
evan parker
9520 accord dr
potomac, MD 20854

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave. , Topeka, KS 66605

December 9, 2006 11:40 AM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kael Moffat
2603 SE Ohio Ave.
Topeka, KS 66605

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Griffin
8 Osceola Tr , Old Lyme, CT 06371

December 9, 2006 11:39 AM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Griffin
8 Osceola Tr
Old Lyme, CT 06371

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Griffin
8 Osceola Tr , Old Lyme, CT 06371

December 9, 2006 11:39 AM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Griffin
8 Osceola Tr
Old Lyme, CT 06371

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Griffin
8 Osceola Tr , Old Lyme, CT 06371

December 9, 2006 11:39 AM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Griffin
8 Osceola Tr
Old Lyme, CT 06371

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Griffin
8 Osceola Tr , Old Lyme, CT 06371

December 9, 2006 11:39 AM

Representative Rob Simmons
U.S. House of Representatives
215 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Simmons,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Griffin
8 Osceola Tr
Old Lyme, CT 06371

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Olivia Valdez
25519 Wildwood Ln. , Huffman, TX 77336

December 9, 2006 11:37 AM

Representative Ted Poe
U.S. House of Representatives
1605 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Poe,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Olivia Valdez
25519 Wildwood Ln.
Huffman, TX 77336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Olivia Valdez
25519 Wildwood Ln. , Huffman, TX 77336

December 9, 2006 11:37 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Olivia Valdez
25519 Wildwood Ln.
Huffman, TX 77336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Olivia Valdez
25519 Wildwood Ln. , Huffman, TX 77336

December 9, 2006 11:37 AM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Olivia Valdez
25519 Wildwood Ln.
Huffman, TX 77336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Olivia Valdez
25519 Wildwood Ln. , Huffman, TX 77336

December 9, 2006 11:37 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Olivia Valdez
25519 Wildwood Ln.
Huffman, TX 77336

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd , Towson, MD 21286

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW , Albuquerque, NM 87107

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW
Albuquerque, NM 87107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW , Albuquerque, NM 87107

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW
Albuquerque, NM 87107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd , Towson, MD 21286

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Representative Dutch Ruppersberger
U.S. House of Representatives
1630 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ruppersberger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd , Towson, MD 21286

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW , Albuquerque, NM 87107

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW
Albuquerque, NM 87107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW , Albuquerque, NM 87107

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW
Albuquerque, NM 87107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW , Albuquerque, NM 87107

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW
Albuquerque, NM 87107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW , Albuquerque, NM 87107

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Beth Redstone
1304 Camino Amparo NW
Albuquerque, NM 87107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222 , la pointe, WI 54850

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Representative Dave Obey
U.S. House of Representatives
2314 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Obey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222
la pointe, WI 54850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222 , la pointe, WI 54850

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222
la pointe, WI 54850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222 , la pointe, WI 54850

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222
la pointe, WI 54850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd , Towson, MD 21286

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd , Towson, MD 21286

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd , Towson, MD 21286

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Representative Dutch Ruppersberger
U.S. House of Representatives
1630 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ruppersberger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Andersen
8346 Loch Raven Blvd
Towson, MD 21286

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222 , la pointe, WI 54850

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222
la pointe, WI 54850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222 , la pointe, WI 54850

December 9, 2006 11:34 AM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gregory M. Thury
p.o.box 222
la pointe, WI 54850

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nikolaus Lindberg
1148 Park Willow CT , Milpitas, CA 95035

December 9, 2006 11:32 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nikolaus Lindberg
1148 Park Willow CT
Milpitas, CA 95035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nikolaus Lindberg
1148 Park Willow CT , Milpitas, CA 95035

December 9, 2006 11:32 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nikolaus Lindberg
1148 Park Willow CT
Milpitas, CA 95035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nikolaus Lindberg
1148 Park Willow CT , Milpitas, CA 95035

December 9, 2006 11:32 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nikolaus Lindberg
1148 Park Willow CT
Milpitas, CA 95035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nikolaus Lindberg
1148 Park Willow CT , Milpitas, CA 95035

December 9, 2006 11:32 AM

Representative Mike Honda
U.S. House of Representatives
1713 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Honda,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nikolaus Lindberg
1148 Park Willow CT
Milpitas, CA 95035

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive , Indianapolis, IN 46234

December 9, 2006 11:30 AM

Representative Steve Buyer
U.S. House of Representatives
2230 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Buyer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46234

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive , Indianapolis, IN 46234

December 9, 2006 11:30 AM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46234

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive , Indianapolis, IN 46234

December 9, 2006 11:30 AM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46234

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive , Indianapolis, IN 46234

December 9, 2006 11:30 AM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46234

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive , Indianapolis, IN 46234

December 9, 2006 11:30 AM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46234

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive , Indianapolis, IN 46234

December 9, 2006 11:30 AM

Representative Steve Buyer
U.S. House of Representatives
2230 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Buyer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Meador
8816 Mariposa Drive
Indianapolis, IN 46234

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way , Sea Bright, NJ 07760

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Representative Frank Pallone
U.S. House of Representatives
420 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pallone,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way
Sea Bright, NJ 07760

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james slyder
429 chestnut st , Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Representative Todd Platts
U.S. House of Representatives
1032 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Platts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james slyder
429 chestnut st
Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james slyder
429 chestnut st , Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james slyder
429 chestnut st
Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way , Sea Bright, NJ 07760

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way
Sea Bright, NJ 07760

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way , Sea Bright, NJ 07760

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way
Sea Bright, NJ 07760

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way , Sea Bright, NJ 07760

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way
Sea Bright, NJ 07760

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way , Sea Bright, NJ 07760

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way
Sea Bright, NJ 07760

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way , Sea Bright, NJ 07760

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Representative Frank Pallone
U.S. House of Representatives
420 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pallone,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tony Caldarella
11 Garden Way
Sea Bright, NJ 07760

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street , Littleton, CO 80120

December 9, 2006 11:28 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street
Littleton, CO 80120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street , Littleton, CO 80120

December 9, 2006 11:28 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street
Littleton, CO 80120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street , Littleton, CO 80120

December 9, 2006 11:28 AM

Representative Thomas Tancredo
U.S. House of Representatives
1130 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tancredo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street
Littleton, CO 80120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street , Littleton, CO 80120

December 9, 2006 11:28 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street
Littleton, CO 80120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james slyder
429 chestnut st , Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

December 9, 2006 11:29 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james slyder
429 chestnut st
Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street , Littleton, CO 80120

December 9, 2006 11:28 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street
Littleton, CO 80120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street , Littleton, CO 80120

December 9, 2006 11:28 AM

Representative Thomas Tancredo
U.S. House of Representatives
1130 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tancredo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Stambaugh
6417 South Gallup Street
Littleton, CO 80120

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james slyder
429 chestnut st , Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

December 9, 2006 11:28 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james slyder
429 chestnut st
Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

james slyder
429 chestnut st , Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

December 9, 2006 11:28 AM

Representative Todd Platts
U.S. House of Representatives
1032 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Platts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
james slyder
429 chestnut st
Mt Holly Springs, PA 17065

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street , Astoria, NY 11105

December 9, 2006 11:27 AM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street
Astoria, NY 11105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street , Astoria, NY 11105

December 9, 2006 11:27 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street
Astoria, NY 11105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street , Astoria, NY 11105

December 9, 2006 11:27 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street
Astoria, NY 11105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street , Astoria, NY 11105

December 9, 2006 11:27 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street
Astoria, NY 11105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street , Astoria, NY 11105

December 9, 2006 11:27 AM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sheila Gray
21-45 23 Street
Astoria, NY 11105

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place , Aurora, CO 80015

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place
Aurora, CO 80015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place , Aurora, CO 80015

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Representative Thomas Tancredo
U.S. House of Representatives
1130 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tancredo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place
Aurora, CO 80015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place , Aurora, CO 80015

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place
Aurora, CO 80015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place , Aurora, CO 80015

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place
Aurora, CO 80015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monica King
301 S. Washington St. , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monica King
301 S. Washington St.
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monica King
301 S. Washington St. , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monica King
301 S. Washington St.
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place , Aurora, CO 80015

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Representative Thomas Tancredo
U.S. House of Representatives
1130 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tancredo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place
Aurora, CO 80015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place , Aurora, CO 80015

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Pete Himelstieb
15092 E. Tufts Place
Aurora, CO 80015

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monica King
301 S. Washington St. , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monica King
301 S. Washington St.
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monica King
301 S. Washington St. , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monica King
301 S. Washington St.
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Monica King
301 S. Washington St. , Ypsilanti, MI 48197

December 9, 2006 11:26 AM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Monica King
301 S. Washington St.
Ypsilanti, MI 48197

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Walsmith
4906 Canto DR. , San Jose, CA 95124

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Walsmith
4906 Canto DR.
San Jose, CA 95124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir. , Aurora, CO 80012

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir.
Aurora, CO 80012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir. , Aurora, CO 80012

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Representative Bob Beauprez
U.S. House of Representatives
504 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Beauprez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir.
Aurora, CO 80012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir. , Aurora, CO 80012

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir.
Aurora, CO 80012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Walsmith
4906 Canto DR. , San Jose, CA 95124

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Walsmith
4906 Canto DR.
San Jose, CA 95124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir. , Aurora, CO 80012

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Representative Bob Beauprez
U.S. House of Representatives
504 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Beauprez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir.
Aurora, CO 80012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir. , Aurora, CO 80012

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir.
Aurora, CO 80012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Walsmith
4906 Canto DR. , San Jose, CA 95124

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Representative Mike Honda
U.S. House of Representatives
1713 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Honda,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Walsmith
4906 Canto DR.
San Jose, CA 95124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

George Walsmith
4906 Canto DR. , San Jose, CA 95124

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
George Walsmith
4906 Canto DR.
San Jose, CA 95124

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir. , Aurora, CO 80012

December 9, 2006 11:25 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Springer
1515 S. Vaughn Cir.
Aurora, CO 80012

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carman North
2525 Ashby #4 , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carman North
2525 Ashby #4
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carman North
2525 Ashby #4 , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carman North
2525 Ashby #4
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carman North
2525 Ashby #4 , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carman North
2525 Ashby #4
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jonas Norman
23 Yu Shan Lu , Fuzhou, CA 350001 China

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

I'm from California, but I am currently living overseas in China. I stay in touch with all my friends, family, and US business associates through the internet, not to mention staying in touch with news, sports and a myriad of other things through the net. It is something that touches on almost every part of my life. Legislation affecting it affects my life drastically. Remember that.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jonas Norman
23 Yu Shan Lu
Fuzhou, CA 350001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carman North
2525 Ashby #4 , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carman North
2525 Ashby #4
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carman North
2525 Ashby #4 , Berkeley, CA 94705

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carman North
2525 Ashby #4
Berkeley, CA 94705

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jonas Norman
23 Yu Shan Lu , Fuzhou, CA 350001 China

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

I'm from California, but I am currently living overseas in China. I stay in touch with all my friends, family, and US business associates through the internet, not to mention staying in touch with news, sports and a myriad of other things through the net. It is something that touches on almost every part of my life. Legislation affecting it affects my life drastically. Remember that.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jonas Norman
23 Yu Shan Lu
Fuzhou, CA 350001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jonas Norman
23 Yu Shan Lu , Fuzhou, CA 350001 China

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

I'm from California, but I am currently living overseas in China. I stay in touch with all my friends, family, and US business associates through the internet, not to mention staying in touch with news, sports and a myriad of other things through the net. It is something that touches on almost every part of my life. Legislation affecting it affects my life drastically. Remember that.

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jonas Norman
23 Yu Shan Lu
Fuzhou, CA 350001

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue , St. Louis, MO 63119

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Christopher Bond
U.S. Senate
274 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bond,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63119

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue , St. Louis, MO 63119

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63119

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue , St. Louis, MO 63119

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Representative Russ Carnahan
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63119

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue , St. Louis, MO 63119

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Senator Jim Talent
U.S. Senate
493 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Talent,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63119

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue , St. Louis, MO 63119

December 9, 2006 11:24 AM

Representative Russ Carnahan
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Carnahan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Flynn Ford
341 Spring Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63119

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Parker
10700 Chalkley Road , Richmond, VA 23237

December 9, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Parker
10700 Chalkley Road
Richmond, VA 23237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Parker
10700 Chalkley Road , Richmond, VA 23237

December 9, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator John Warner
U.S. Senate
225 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Warner,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Parker
10700 Chalkley Road
Richmond, VA 23237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Parker
10700 Chalkley Road , Richmond, VA 23237

December 9, 2006 11:23 AM

Representative Randy Forbes
U.S. House of Representatives
307 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Forbes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Parker
10700 Chalkley Road
Richmond, VA 23237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Diana Parker
10700 Chalkley Road , Richmond, VA 23237

December 9, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator George Allen
U.S. Senate
204 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allen,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Diana Parker
10700 Chalkley Road
Richmond, VA 23237

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln , Newport, OR 97365

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln
Newport, OR 97365

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE , Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE , Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Representative Dave Reichert
U.S. House of Representatives
1223 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reichert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln , Newport, OR 97365

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln
Newport, OR 97365

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln , Newport, OR 97365

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a

letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln
Newport, OR 97365

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln , Newport, OR 97365

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln
Newport, OR 97365

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln , Newport, OR 97365

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln
Newport, OR 97365

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln , Newport, OR 97365

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Clyde Roark
6235 NE Evergreen Ln
Newport, OR 97365

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE , Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE , Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE , Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

December 9, 2006 11:20 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Love
1422 - 127th Avenue SE
Bellevue, WA 98005-3923

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane , Woodstock, NY 12498

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane
Woodstock, NY 12498

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane , Woodstock, NY 12498

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane
Woodstock, NY 12498

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

casey wells
5001 magnolia st , moss point, MS 39563

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Trent Lott
U.S. Senate
487 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
casey wells
5001 magnolia st
moss point, MS 39563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane , Woodstock, NY 12498

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane
Woodstock, NY 12498

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

casey wells
5001 magnolia st , moss point, MS 39563

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Thad Cochran
U.S. Senate
113 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cochran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
casey wells
5001 magnolia st
moss point, MS 39563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

casey wells
5001 magnolia st , moss point, MS 39563

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Representative Gene Taylor
U.S. House of Representatives
2311 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Taylor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
casey wells
5001 magnolia st
moss point, MS 39563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

casey wells
5001 magnolia st , moss point, MS 39563

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Trent Lott
U.S. Senate
487 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lott,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
casey wells
5001 magnolia st
moss point, MS 39563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

casey wells
5001 magnolia st , moss point, MS 39563

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Representative Gene Taylor
U.S. House of Representatives
2311 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Taylor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
casey wells
5001 magnolia st
moss point, MS 39563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane , Woodstock, NY 12498

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane
Woodstock, NY 12498

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane , Woodstock, NY 12498

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Philip Hardwick
5 Viking Lane
Woodstock, NY 12498

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr , Lawrence, MA 01843

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr
Lawrence, MA 01843

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr , Lawrence, MA 01843

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr
Lawrence, MA 01843

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr , Lawrence, MA 01843

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Representative Marty Meehan
U.S. House of Representatives
2229 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr
Lawrence, MA 01843

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr , Lawrence, MA 01843

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr
Lawrence, MA 01843

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr , Lawrence, MA 01843

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Representative Marty Meehan
U.S. House of Representatives
2229 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Andrew Morin
22 Winston dr
Lawrence, MA 01843

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

casey wells
5001 magnolia st , moss point, MS 39563

December 9, 2006 11:18 AM

Senator Thad Cochran
U.S. Senate
113 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cochran,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
casey wells
5001 magnolia st
moss point, MS 39563

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessea Greenman
62nd St. , oakland, CA 94609-1245

December 9, 2006 11:17 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessea Greenman
62nd St.
oakland, CA 94609-1245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessea Greenman
62nd St. , oakland, CA 94609-1245

December 9, 2006 11:17 AM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessea Greenman
62nd St.
oakland, CA 94609-1245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessea Greenman
62nd St. , oakland, CA 94609-1245

December 9, 2006 11:17 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessea Greenman
62nd St.
oakland, CA 94609-1245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessea Greenman
62nd St. , oakland, CA 94609-1245

December 9, 2006 11:17 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessea Greenman
62nd St.
oakland, CA 94609-1245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessea Greenman
62nd St. , oakland, CA 94609-1245

December 9, 2006 11:17 AM

Representative Barbara Lee
U.S. House of Representatives
1724 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessea Greenman
62nd St.
oakland, CA 94609-1245

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place , Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

December 9, 2006 11:16 AM

Representative Jay Inslee
U.S. House of Representatives
403 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inslee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place
Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place , Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

December 9, 2006 11:16 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place
Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place , Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

December 9, 2006 11:16 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place
Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place , Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

December 9, 2006 11:16 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place
Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place , Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

December 9, 2006 11:16 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place
Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place , Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

December 9, 2006 11:16 AM

Representative Jay Inslee
U.S. House of Representatives
403 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Inslee,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Phillip Joyner
8233 NE 163rd Place
Kenmore, WA 98028-1601

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Ashby
10028 Norwalk St. , Ventura, CA 93004

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Ashby
10028 Norwalk St.
Ventura, CA 93004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln , Clermont, FL 34711

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln
Clermont, FL 34711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way , Arden, NC 28704

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Representative Charles Taylor
U.S. House of Representatives
339 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Taylor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way
Arden, NC 28704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way , Arden, NC 28704

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way
Arden, NC 28704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Ashby
10028 Norwalk St. , Ventura, CA 93004

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Ashby
10028 Norwalk St.
Ventura, CA 93004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln , Clermont, FL 34711

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln
Clermont, FL 34711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way , Arden, NC 28704

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way
Arden, NC 28704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln , Clermont, FL 34711

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Representative Ginny Brown-Waite
U.S. House of Representatives
414 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown-Waite,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln
Clermont, FL 34711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Ashby
10028 Norwalk St. , Ventura, CA 93004

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Ashby
10028 Norwalk St.
Ventura, CA 93004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Ashby
10028 Norwalk St. , Ventura, CA 93004

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Representative Elton Gallegly
U.S. House of Representatives
2427 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gallegly,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Ashby
10028 Norwalk St.
Ventura, CA 93004

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln , Clermont, FL 34711

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln
Clermont, FL 34711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln , Clermont, FL 34711

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Representative Ginny Brown-Waite
U.S. House of Representatives
414 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Brown-Waite,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dustin Christian
742 Pine Ln
Clermont, FL 34711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way , Arden, NC 28704

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way
Arden, NC 28704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way , Arden, NC 28704

December 9, 2006 11:15 AM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Lichtenfels
40 Olde Covington Way
Arden, NC 28704

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Taliesin
434 Parkside Drive , Baltimore, MD 21206-6602

December 9, 2006 11:13 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Taliesin
434 Parkside Drive
Baltimore, MD 21206-6602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Taliesin
434 Parkside Drive , Baltimore, MD 21206-6602

December 9, 2006 11:13 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Taliesin
434 Parkside Drive
Baltimore, MD 21206-6602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Taliesin
434 Parkside Drive , Baltimore, MD 21206-6602

December 9, 2006 11:13 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Taliesin
434 Parkside Drive
Baltimore, MD 21206-6602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Louise Taliesin
434 Parkside Drive , Baltimore, MD 21206-6602

December 9, 2006 11:13 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Louise Taliesin
434 Parkside Drive
Baltimore, MD 21206-6602

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave. , san diego, CA 92107

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave.
san diego, CA 92107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave. , san diego, CA 92107

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave.
san diego, CA 92107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave. , san diego, CA 92107

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Representative Susan Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1224 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave.
san diego, CA 92107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW , Stewartville, MN 55976

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW
Stewartville, MN 55976

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW , Stewartville, MN 55976

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Representative Gil Gutknecht
U.S. House of Representatives
425 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutknecht,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW
Stewartville, MN 55976

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave. , san diego, CA 92107

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave.
san diego, CA 92107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave. , san diego, CA 92107

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
erik rusch
4948 santa cruz ave.
san diego, CA 92107

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW , Stewartville, MN 55976

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW
Stewartville, MN 55976

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW , Stewartville, MN 55976

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW
Stewartville, MN 55976

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW , Stewartville, MN 55976

December 9, 2006 11:12 AM

Representative Gil Gutknecht
U.S. House of Representatives
425 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutknecht,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jon Hayenga
421 2nd St NW
Stewartville, MN 55976

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ida Weibel
205 S. Rose Hill Drive , Long Grove, IA 52756

December 9, 2006 11:10 AM

Representative Jim Nussle
U.S. House of Representatives
303 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Nussle,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ida Weibel
205 S. Rose Hill Drive
Long Grove, IA 52756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ida Weibel
205 S. Rose Hill Drive , Long Grove, IA 52756

December 9, 2006 11:10 AM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ida Weibel
205 S. Rose Hill Drive
Long Grove, IA 52756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ida Weibel
205 S. Rose Hill Drive , Long Grove, IA 52756

December 9, 2006 11:10 AM

Senator Tom Harkin
U.S. Senate
731 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ida Weibel
205 S. Rose Hill Drive
Long Grove, IA 52756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ida Weibel
205 S. Rose Hill Drive , Long Grove, IA 52756

December 9, 2006 11:10 AM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ida Weibel
205 S. Rose Hill Drive
Long Grove, IA 52756

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road , Accord, NY 12404

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road
Accord, NY 12404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road , Accord, NY 12404

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road
Accord, NY 12404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road , Delmar, NY 12054

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road
Delmar, NY 12054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road , Delmar, NY 12054

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road
Delmar, NY 12054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road , Accord, NY 12404

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road
Accord, NY 12404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road , Delmar, NY 12054

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road
Delmar, NY 12054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road , Accord, NY 12404

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road
Accord, NY 12404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road , Delmar, NY 12054

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road
Delmar, NY 12054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road , Delmar, NY 12054

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jose Alarcon
100 Sa;lisbury Road
Delmar, NY 12054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road , Accord, NY 12404

December 9, 2006 11:09 AM

Representative Maurice Hinchey
U.S. House of Representatives
2431 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hinchey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David Belden
191 Cherry Hill Road
Accord, NY 12404

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave , Vandergrift, PA 15690

December 9, 2006 11:08 AM

Representative John Murtha
U.S. House of Representatives
2423 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Murtha,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave
Vandergrift, PA 15690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave , Vandergrift, PA 15690

December 9, 2006 11:08 AM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave
Vandergrift, PA 15690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave , Vandergrift, PA 15690

December 9, 2006 11:08 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave
Vandergrift, PA 15690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave , Vandergrift, PA 15690

December 9, 2006 11:08 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave
Vandergrift, PA 15690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave , Vandergrift, PA 15690

December 9, 2006 11:08 AM

Representative John Murtha
U.S. House of Representatives
2423 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Murtha,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mary Halwa
183 Grant Ave
Vandergrift, PA 15690

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Lynch
34583 Yale Dr. , Yucaipa, CA 92399

December 9, 2006 11:07 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Lynch
34583 Yale Dr.
Yucaipa, CA 92399

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Lynch
34583 Yale Dr. , Yucaipa, CA 92399

December 9, 2006 11:07 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Lynch
34583 Yale Dr.
Yucaipa, CA 92399

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Lynch
34583 Yale Dr. , Yucaipa, CA 92399

December 9, 2006 11:07 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Lynch
34583 Yale Dr.
Yucaipa, CA 92399

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Virginia Lynch
34583 Yale Dr. , Yucaipa, CA 92399

December 9, 2006 11:07 AM

Representative Jerry Lewis
U.S. House of Representatives
2112 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Virginia Lynch
34583 Yale Dr.
Yucaipa, CA 92399

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ira White
125 E. Dana St. , Nipomo, CA 93444

December 9, 2006 11:06 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ira White
125 E. Dana St.
Nipomo, CA 93444

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ira White
125 E. Dana St. , Nipomo, CA 93444

December 9, 2006 11:06 AM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ira White
125 E. Dana St.
Nipomo, CA 93444

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ira White
125 E. Dana St. , Nipomo, CA 93444

December 9, 2006 11:06 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ira White
125 E. Dana St.
Nipomo, CA 93444

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ira White
125 E. Dana St. , Nipomo, CA 93444

December 9, 2006 11:06 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ira White
125 E. Dana St.
Nipomo, CA 93444

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ira White
125 E. Dana St. , Nipomo, CA 93444

December 9, 2006 11:06 AM

Representative Lois Capps
U.S. House of Representatives
1707 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Capps,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ira White
125 E. Dana St.
Nipomo, CA 93444

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roselle Friedman
1150 Fairfax Lane , WESTON, FL 33326

December 9, 2006 11:03 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roselle Friedman
1150 Fairfax Lane
WESTON, FL 33326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roselle Friedman
1150 Fairfax Lane , WESTON, FL 33326

December 9, 2006 11:03 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roselle Friedman
1150 Fairfax Lane
WESTON, FL 33326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Flanagan
29 Corson Rd , Conshohocken, PA 19428

December 9, 2006 11:03 AM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Stop the FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Please honor Net Neutrality which is strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Flanagan
29 Corson Rd
Conshohocken, PA 19428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Flanagan
29 Corson Rd , Conshohocken, PA 19428

December 9, 2006 11:03 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Stop the FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Please honor Net Neutrality which is strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Flanagan
29 Corson Rd
Conshohocken, PA 19428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roselle Friedman
1150 Fairfax Lane , WESTON, FL 33326

December 9, 2006 11:03 AM

Representative Debbie Wasserman Schultz
U.S. House of Representatives
118 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wasserman Schultz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roselle Friedman
1150 Fairfax Lane
WESTON, FL 33326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Flanagan
29 Corson Rd , Conshohocken, PA 19428

December 9, 2006 11:03 AM

Representative Jim Gerlach
U.S. House of Representatives
308 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Stop the FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gerlach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Please honor Net Neutrality which is strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Flanagan
29 Corson Rd
Conshohocken, PA 19428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Roselle Friedman
1150 Fairfax Lane , WESTON, FL 33326

December 9, 2006 11:03 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Roselle Friedman
1150 Fairfax Lane
WESTON, FL 33326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

James Flanagan
29 Corson Rd , Conshohocken, PA 19428

December 9, 2006 11:03 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Stop the FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers. Please honor Net Neutrality which is strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
James Flanagan
29 Corson Rd
Conshohocken, PA 19428

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi way , Lake worth, FL 33467

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi way
Lake worth, FL 33467

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St. , Eugene, OR 97403

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Representative Peter DeFazio
U.S. House of Representatives
2134 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeFazio,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St.
Eugene, OR 97403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St. , Eugene, OR 97403

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St.
Eugene, OR 97403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi way , Lake worth, FL 33467

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Representative Robert Wexler
U.S. House of Representatives
213 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wexler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi way
Lake worth, FL 33467

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St. , Eugene, OR 97403

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St.
Eugene, OR 97403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi way , Lake worth, FL 33467

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi way
Lake worth, FL 33467

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi way , Lake worth, FL 33467

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harvey Witlin
6652 Peruzzi way
Lake worth, FL 33467

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St. , Eugene, OR 97403

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St.
Eugene, OR 97403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St. , Eugene, OR 97403

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Representative Peter DeFazio
U.S. House of Representatives
2134 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative DeFazio,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St.
Eugene, OR 97403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St. , Eugene, OR 97403

December 9, 2006 11:02 AM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joyce Winslow
1984 Riverview St.
Eugene, OR 97403

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW , Rochester, MN 55901

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW
Rochester, MN 55901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW , Rochester, MN 55901

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW
Rochester, MN 55901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW , Rochester, MN 55901

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Representative Gil Gutknecht
U.S. House of Representatives
425 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutknecht,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW
Rochester, MN 55901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Temming
5406 Dickens Dr. , Cincinnati, OH 45241-1796

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Temming
5406 Dickens Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45241-1796

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Temming
5406 Dickens Dr. , Cincinnati, OH 45241-1796

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Representative Jean Schmidt
U. S. House of Representatives
238 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schmidt,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Temming
5406 Dickens Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45241-1796

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Temming
5406 Dickens Dr. , Cincinnati, OH 45241-1796

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Temming
5406 Dickens Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45241-1796

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Gilroy
1460 N. Sandburg #508, Chicago, IL 60610

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Gilroy
1460 N. Sandburg
#508
Chicago, IL 60610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Gilroy
1460 N. Sandburg #508, Chicago, IL 60610

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Representative Danny Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
1526 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Gilroy
1460 N. Sandburg
#508
Chicago, IL 60610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Gilroy
1460 N. Sandburg #508, Chicago, IL 60610

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Gilroy
1460 N. Sandburg
#508
Chicago, IL 60610

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW , Rochester, MN 55901

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW
Rochester, MN 55901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW , Rochester, MN 55901

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Representative Gil Gutknecht
U.S. House of Representatives
425 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutknecht,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Marshall
618 15th Street NW
Rochester, MN 55901

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elaine Temming
5406 Dickens Dr. , Cincinnati, OH 45241-1796

December 9, 2006 11:01 AM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elaine Temming
5406 Dickens Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45241-1796

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates , East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates
East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates , East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates
East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates , East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Representative Paul Kanjorski
U.S. House of Representatives
2188 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kanjorski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates
East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road , Maynard, MA 01754

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road
Maynard, MA 01754

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road , Maynard, MA 01754

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road
Maynard, MA 01754

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road , Maynard, MA 01754

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Representative Marty Meehan
U.S. House of Representatives
2229 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road
Maynard, MA 01754

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road , Maynard, MA 01754

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road
Maynard, MA 01754

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road , Maynard, MA 01754

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Representative Marty Meehan
U.S. House of Representatives
2229 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Meehan,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Herb Mallinson
31 Great Road
Maynard, MA 01754

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates , East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Representative Paul Kanjorski
U.S. House of Representatives
2188 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kanjorski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates
East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Sarinelli
2020 Flushing Court , Charlotte, NC 28215-4269

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Sarinelli
2020 Flushing Court
Charlotte, NC 28215-4269

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Sarinelli
2020 Flushing Court , Charlotte, NC 28215-4269

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Sarinelli
2020 Flushing Court
Charlotte, NC 28215-4269

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Kekic
191 Eldred Avenue , Bedford, OH 44146

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Kekic
191 Eldred Avenue
Bedford, OH 44146

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Kekic
191 Eldred Avenue , Bedford, OH 44146

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Kekic
191 Eldred Avenue
Bedford, OH 44146

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Kekic
191 Eldred Avenue , Bedford, OH 44146

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Representative Stephanie Jones
U.S. House of Representatives
1009 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Jones,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Kekic
191 Eldred Avenue
Bedford, OH 44146

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Sarinelli
2020 Flushing Court , Charlotte, NC 28215-4269

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Richard Burr
U.S. Senate
217 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Burr,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Sarinelli
2020 Flushing Court
Charlotte, NC 28215-4269

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa Sarinelli
2020 Flushing Court , Charlotte, NC 28215-4269

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Elizabeth Dole
U.S. Senate
555 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dole,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa Sarinelli
2020 Flushing Court
Charlotte, NC 28215-4269

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dan Kekic
191 Eldred Avenue , Bedford, OH 44146

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dan Kekic
191 Eldred Avenue
Bedford, OH 44146

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates , East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

December 9, 2006 11:00 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jordan Goss
480 Penn Estates
East Stroudsburg, PA 18301

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vaughn potter
3176 e township st , fayetteville, AR 72701

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Blanche Lincoln
U.S. Senate
355 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lincoln,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vaughn potter
3176 e township st
fayetteville, AR 72701

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vaughn potter
3176 e township st , fayetteville, AR 72701

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Mark Pryor
U.S. Senate
257 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Pryor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vaughn potter
3176 e township st
fayetteville, AR 72701

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vaughn potter
3176 e township st , fayetteville, AR 72701

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Representative John Boozman
U.S. House of Representatives
1519 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boozman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vaughn potter
3176 e township st
fayetteville, AR 72701

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318 , Bloomington, IN 47401

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318
Bloomington, IN 47401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vaughn potter
3176 e township st , fayetteville, AR 72701

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Blanche Lincoln
U.S. Senate
355 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lincoln,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vaughn potter
3176 e township st
fayetteville, AR 72701

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vaughn potter
3176 e township st , fayetteville, AR 72701

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Representative John Boozman
U.S. House of Representatives
1519 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Boozman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vaughn potter
3176 e township st
fayetteville, AR 72701

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

vaughn potter
3176 e township st , fayetteville, AR 72701

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Mark Pryor
U.S. Senate
257 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Pryor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
vaughn potter
3176 e township st
fayetteville, AR 72701

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318 , Bloomington, IN 47401

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318
Bloomington, IN 47401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318 , Bloomington, IN 47401

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Representative Mike Sodrel
U.S. House of Representatives
1508 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sodrel,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318
Bloomington, IN 47401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave , Ames, IA 50010

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave
Ames, IA 50010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave , Ames, IA 50010

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Tom Harkin
U.S. Senate
731 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave
Ames, IA 50010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave , Ames, IA 50010

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Representative Tom Latham
U.S. House of Representatives
2447 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Latham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave
Ames, IA 50010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave , Ames, IA 50010

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave
Ames, IA 50010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave , Ames, IA 50010

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Representative Tom Latham
U.S. House of Representatives
2447 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Latham,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Harold Schiel
2111 Burnett Ave
Ames, IA 50010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318 , Bloomington, IN 47401

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Evan Bayh
U.S. Senate
463 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bayh,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318
Bloomington, IN 47401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318 , Bloomington, IN 47401

December 9, 2006 10:59 AM

Senator Richard Lugar
U.S. Senate
306 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lugar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Eisenberg
1603 E. 3rd St. Apt.318
Bloomington, IN 47401

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court , San Diego, CA 92129

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Representative Brian Bilbray
U.S. House of Representatives
2350 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilbray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court
San Diego, CA 92129

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David & Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace , Farmington, NM 87401-9114

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David %pa_first_name% Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace
Farmington, NM 87401-9114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane , Madison, WI 53711

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane
Madison, WI 53711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David & Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace , Farmington, NM 87401-9114

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David %pa_first_name% Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace
Farmington, NM 87401-9114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David & Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace , Farmington, NM 87401-9114

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Representative Tom Udall
U.S. House of Representatives
1414 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David %pa_first_name% Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace
Farmington, NM 87401-9114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane , Madison, WI 53711

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane
Madison, WI 53711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane , Madison, WI 53711

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Representative Tammy Baldwin
U.S. House of Representatives
1022 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Baldwin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane
Madison, WI 53711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David & Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace , Farmington, NM 87401-9114

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David %pa_first_name% Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace
Farmington, NM 87401-9114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David & Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace , Farmington, NM 87401-9114

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David %pa_first_name% Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace
Farmington, NM 87401-9114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

David & Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace , Farmington, NM 87401-9114

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Representative Tom Udall
U.S. House of Representatives
1414 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
David %pa_first_name% Kandy LeMoine
1105 Delhi Terrace
Farmington, NM 87401-9114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane , Madison, WI 53711

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane
Madison, WI 53711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane , Madison, WI 53711

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Linda Kmiotek
572 Park Lane
Madison, WI 53711

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court , San Diego, CA 92129

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court
San Diego, CA 92129

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court , San Diego, CA 92129

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court
San Diego, CA 92129

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court , San Diego, CA 92129

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Representative Brian Bilbray
U.S. House of Representatives
2350 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Bilbray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court
San Diego, CA 92129

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court , San Diego, CA 92129

December 9, 2006 10:56 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Gail Daigh
14755 Penasquitos Court
San Diego, CA 92129

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Geyda Hasson
11168 Dandelion Lane , Apple Valley, CA 92308

December 9, 2006 10:54 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Geyda Hasson
11168 Dandelion Lane
Apple Valley, CA 92308

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Geyda Hasson
11168 Dandelion Lane , Apple Valley, CA 92308

December 9, 2006 10:54 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Geyda Hasson
11168 Dandelion Lane
Apple Valley, CA 92308

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Geyda Hasson
11168 Dandelion Lane , Apple Valley, CA 92308

December 9, 2006 10:54 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Geyda Hasson
11168 Dandelion Lane
Apple Valley, CA 92308

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauren Singer
6201 SW 57th Place , Davie, FL 33314

December 9, 2006 10:54 AM

Representative Clay Shaw
U.S. House of Representatives
1236 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Shaw,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauren Singer
6201 SW 57th Place
Davie, FL 33314

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauren Singer
6201 SW 57th Place , Davie, FL 33314

December 9, 2006 10:54 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauren Singer
6201 SW 57th Place
Davie, FL 33314

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauren Singer
6201 SW 57th Place , Davie, FL 33314

December 9, 2006 10:54 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauren Singer
6201 SW 57th Place
Davie, FL 33314

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lauren Singer
6201 SW 57th Place , Davie, FL 33314

December 9, 2006 10:54 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lauren Singer
6201 SW 57th Place
Davie, FL 33314

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Meyers
1201 Foulkeways , Gwynedd, PA 19436

December 9, 2006 10:50 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Meyers
1201 Foulkeways
Gwynedd, PA 19436

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Koch
1309 Virginia Dr. , Westmon, IL 60559

December 9, 2006 10:50 AM

Representative Judy Biggert
U.S. House of Representatives
1317 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Biggert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Koch
1309 Virginia Dr.
Westmon, IL 60559

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Meyers
1201 Foulkeways , Gwynedd, PA 19436

December 9, 2006 10:50 AM

Senator Arlen Specter
U.S. Senate
711 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Specter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Meyers
1201 Foulkeways
Gwynedd, PA 19436

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Meyers
1201 Foulkeways , Gwynedd, PA 19436

December 9, 2006 10:50 AM

Representative Allyson Schwartz
U.S. House of Representatives
423 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Schwartz,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Meyers
1201 Foulkeways
Gwynedd, PA 19436

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Donald Meyers
1201 Foulkeways , Gwynedd, PA 19436

December 9, 2006 10:50 AM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Donald Meyers
1201 Foulkeways
Gwynedd, PA 19436

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Koch
1309 Virginia Dr. , Westmon, IL 60559

December 9, 2006 10:50 AM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Koch
1309 Virginia Dr.
Westmon, IL 60559

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Anthony Koch
1309 Virginia Dr. , Westmon, IL 60559

December 9, 2006 10:50 AM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Anthony Koch
1309 Virginia Dr.
Westmon, IL 60559

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive , Statesboro, GA 30458

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive
Statesboro, GA 30458

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive , Statesboro, GA 30458

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive
Statesboro, GA 30458

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive , Statesboro, GA 30458

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Representative John Barrow
U.S. House of Representatives
226 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Barrow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive
Statesboro, GA 30458

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive , Statesboro, GA 30458

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive
Statesboro, GA 30458

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive , Statesboro, GA 30458

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Representative John Barrow
U.S. House of Representatives
226 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Barrow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Bryan
105 Holly Drive
Statesboro, GA 30458

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue , Tucson, AZ 85745

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue , Tucson, AZ 85745

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue , Tucson, AZ 85745

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Representative Raul Grijalva
U.S. House of Representatives
1440 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Grijalva,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl , Santa Fe, NM 87508

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl
Santa Fe, NM 87508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Garry Helms
328 Park Trace Blvd , Osprey, FL 34229

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Representative Katherine Harris
U.S. House of Representatives
116 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Harris,

HELP!!!

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Garry Helms
328 Park Trace Blvd
Osprey, FL 34229

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Garry Helms
328 Park Trace Blvd , Osprey, FL 34229

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

HELP!!!

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Garry Helms
328 Park Trace Blvd
Osprey, FL 34229

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue , Tucson, AZ 85745

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator John McCain
U.S. Senate
241 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator McCain,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl , Santa Fe, NM 87508

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Representative Tom Udall
U.S. House of Representatives
1414 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl
Santa Fe, NM 87508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Garry Helms
328 Park Trace Blvd , Osprey, FL 34229

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

HELP!!!

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Garry Helms
328 Park Trace Blvd
Osprey, FL 34229

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl , Santa Fe, NM 87508

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl
Santa Fe, NM 87508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue , Tucson, AZ 85745

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Representative Raul Grijalva
U.S. House of Representatives
1440 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Grijalva,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue , Tucson, AZ 85745

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Jon Kyl
U.S. Senate
730 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kyl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
K Harter
130 South Palomas Avenue
Tucson, AZ 85745

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl , Santa Fe, NM 87508

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl
Santa Fe, NM 87508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl , Santa Fe, NM 87508

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl
Santa Fe, NM 87508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl , Santa Fe, NM 87508

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Representative Tom Udall
U.S. House of Representatives
1414 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jessica Gilmore
7 Enebro Pl
Santa Fe, NM 87508

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Garry Helms
328 Park Trace Blvd , Osprey, FL 34229

December 9, 2006 10:49 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

HELP!!!

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved."

Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Garry Helms
328 Park Trace Blvd
Osprey, FL 34229

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen , Portage,, MI 49024

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen
Portage,, MI 49024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Gerber
4888 Pinemore Lane , Lake Worth, FL 33463

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Gerber
4888 Pinemore Lane
Lake Worth, FL 33463

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Gerber
4888 Pinemore Lane , Lake Worth, FL 33463

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Gerber
4888 Pinemore Lane
Lake Worth, FL 33463

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Peterson
210 Elm Streer , New Lenox, IL 60451

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Peterson
210 Elm Streer
New Lenox, IL 60451

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Daniel Peterson
210 Elm Streer , New Lenox, IL 60451

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Daniel Peterson
210 Elm Streer
New Lenox, IL 60451

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen , Portage,, MI 49024

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen
Portage,, MI 49024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen , Portage,, MI 49024

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Representative Fred Upton
U.S. House of Representatives
2183 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Upton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen
Portage,, MI 49024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Gerber
4888 Pinemore Lane , Lake Worth, FL 33463

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Representative Robert Wexler
U.S. House of Representatives
213 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wexler,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Gerber
4888 Pinemore Lane
Lake Worth, FL 33463

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michele morgan
3706 Western Av , Alton, IL 62002

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Representative Jerry Costello
U.S. House of Representatives
2269 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Costello,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michele morgan
3706 Western Av
Alton, IL 62002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michele morgan
3706 Western Av , Alton, IL 62002

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michele morgan
3706 Western Av
Alton, IL 62002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michele morgan
3706 Western Av , Alton, IL 62002

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michele morgan
3706 Western Av
Alton, IL 62002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Larry Gerber
4888 Pinemore Lane , Lake Worth, FL 33463

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Larry Gerber
4888 Pinemore Lane
Lake Worth, FL 33463

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

michele morgan
3706 Western Av , Alton, IL 62002

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Representative Jerry Costello
U.S. House of Representatives
2269 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Costello,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
michele morgan
3706 Western Av
Alton, IL 62002

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen , Portage,, MI 49024

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen
Portage,, MI 49024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen , Portage,, MI 49024

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Representative Fred Upton
U.S. House of Representatives
2183 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Upton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
jeff and carrol Erickson
6430 evergreen
Portage,, MI 49024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373 , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373 , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373 , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373 , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Representative Heather Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
318 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Wilson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373 , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373 , Albuquerque, NM 87111

December 9, 2006 10:48 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sara Mathews
10501 Lagrima de Oro NE. #373
Albuquerque, NM 87111

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd. , Lockport, NY 14094-9340

December 9, 2006 10:47 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd.
Lockport, NY 14094-9340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd. , Lockport, NY 14094-9340

December 9, 2006 10:47 AM

Representative Thomas Reynolds
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reynolds,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd.
Lockport, NY 14094-9340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd. , Lockport, NY 14094-9340

December 9, 2006 10:47 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd.
Lockport, NY 14094-9340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd. , Lockport, NY 14094-9340

December 9, 2006 10:47 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd.
Lockport, NY 14094-9340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd. , Lockport, NY 14094-9340

December 9, 2006 10:47 AM

Representative Thomas Reynolds
U.S. House of Representatives
332 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reynolds,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Martha F. Krupa
8731 Akron Rd.
Lockport, NY 14094-9340

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W , Treasure Island, FL 33706

December 9, 2006 10:44 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W
Treasure Island, FL 33706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W , Treasure Island, FL 33706

December 9, 2006 10:44 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W
Treasure Island, FL 33706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W , Treasure Island, FL 33706

December 9, 2006 10:44 AM

Representative Bill Young
U.S. House of Representatives
2407 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Young,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W
Treasure Island, FL 33706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W , Treasure Island, FL 33706

December 9, 2006 10:44 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W
Treasure Island, FL 33706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W , Treasure Island, FL 33706

December 9, 2006 10:44 AM

Representative Bill Young
U.S. House of Representatives
2407 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Young,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Leonard Carrier
1 Key Capri, 112W
Treasure Island, FL 33706

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace , Perry Hall, MD 21128

December 9, 2006 10:41 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace
Perry Hall, MD 21128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace , Perry Hall, MD 21128

December 9, 2006 10:41 AM

Representative Dutch Ruppersberger
U.S. House of Representatives
1630 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ruppersberger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace
Perry Hall, MD 21128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace , Perry Hall, MD 21128

December 9, 2006 10:41 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace
Perry Hall, MD 21128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace , Perry Hall, MD 21128

December 9, 2006 10:41 AM

Senator Barbara Mikulski
U.S. Senate
503 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Mikulski,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace
Perry Hall, MD 21128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace , Perry Hall, MD 21128

December 9, 2006 10:41 AM

Senator Paul Sarbanes
U.S. Senate
309 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Sarbanes,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace
Perry Hall, MD 21128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace , Perry Hall, MD 21128

December 9, 2006 10:41 AM

Representative Dutch Ruppersberger
U.S. House of Representatives
1630 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ruppersberger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Prathibha Prabakaran
5075 Cameo Terrace
Perry Hall, MD 21128

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eric a acosta
174 high st apt 213, holyoke, MA 01040

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Representative John Olver
U.S. House of Representatives
1111 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eric a acosta
174 high st
apt 213
holyoke, MA 01040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr , Brick, NJ 08723

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr
Brick, NJ 08723

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr , Brick, NJ 08723

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr
Brick, NJ 08723

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eric a acosta
174 high st apt 213, holyoke, MA 01040

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eric a acosta
174 high st
apt 213
holyoke, MA 01040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eric a acosta
174 high st apt 213, holyoke, MA 01040

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eric a acosta
174 high st
apt 213
holyoke, MA 01040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eric a acosta
174 high st apt 213, holyoke, MA 01040

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eric a acosta
174 high st
apt 213
holyoke, MA 01040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

eric a acosta
174 high st apt 213, holyoke, MA 01040

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Representative John Olver
U.S. House of Representatives
1111 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Olver,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
eric a acosta
174 high st
apt 213
holyoke, MA 01040

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr , Brick, NJ 08723

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Representative Chris Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
2373 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr
Brick, NJ 08723

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr , Brick, NJ 08723

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr
Brick, NJ 08723

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr , Brick, NJ 08723

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr
Brick, NJ 08723

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr , Brick, NJ 08723

December 9, 2006 10:40 AM

Representative Chris Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
2373 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jason Goldston
34 Pilot Dr
Brick, NJ 08723

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace , Hillsdale, NJ 07642

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace
Hillsdale, NJ 07642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace , Hillsdale, NJ 07642

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace
Hillsdale, NJ 07642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace , Hillsdale, NJ 07642

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Representative Scott Garrett
U.S. House of Representatives
1318 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Garrett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace
Hillsdale, NJ 07642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace , Hillsdale, NJ 07642

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace
Hillsdale, NJ 07642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace , Hillsdale, NJ 07642

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Representative Scott Garrett
U.S. House of Representatives
1318 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Garrett,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace
Hillsdale, NJ 07642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Honig
26 Bollenbecker Road , Rhinebeck, NY 12572

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Honig
26 Bollenbecker Road
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Honig
26 Bollenbecker Road , Rhinebeck, NY 12572

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Honig
26 Bollenbecker Road
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Honig
26 Bollenbecker Road , Rhinebeck, NY 12572

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Representative John Sweeney
U.S. House of Representatives
416 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Honig
26 Bollenbecker Road
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace , Hillsdale, NJ 07642

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ken Montagno
72 Royal Park Terrace
Hillsdale, NJ 07642

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Ellen Honig
26 Bollenbecker Road , Rhinebeck, NY 12572

December 9, 2006 10:38 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Ellen Honig
26 Bollenbecker Road
Rhinebeck, NY 12572

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd , Canby, OR 97013-9550

December 9, 2006 10:36 AM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd
Canby, OR 97013-9550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd , Canby, OR 97013-9550

December 9, 2006 10:36 AM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd
Canby, OR 97013-9550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd , Canby, OR 97013-9550

December 9, 2006 10:36 AM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd
Canby, OR 97013-9550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd , Canby, OR 97013-9550

December 9, 2006 10:36 AM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd
Canby, OR 97013-9550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd , Canby, OR 97013-9550

December 9, 2006 10:36 AM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd
Canby, OR 97013-9550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd , Canby, OR 97013-9550

December 9, 2006 10:36 AM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Deborah La Place
7711 S Barnards Rd
Canby, OR 97013-9550

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd PO Box 374, Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

December 9, 2006 10:33 AM

Representative Butch Otter
U.S. House of Representatives
1711 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Otter,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd
PO Box 374
Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd PO Box 374, Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

December 9, 2006 10:33 AM

Senator Larry Craig
U.S. Senate
520 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Craig,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd
PO Box 374
Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd PO Box 374, Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

December 9, 2006 10:33 AM

Senator Mike Crapo
U.S. Senate
239 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Crapo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd
PO Box 374
Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd PO Box 374, Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

December 9, 2006 10:33 AM

Senator Mike Crapo
U.S. Senate
239 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Crapo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barbara McClain
12 Grump Blvd
PO Box 374
Idaho City, ID 83631-0374

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Calhoun
331 San Fernando Way , San Francisco, CA 94127-1913

December 9, 2006 10:32 AM

Representative Tom Lantos
U.S. House of Representatives
2413 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lantos,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Calhoun
331 San Fernando Way
San Francisco, CA 94127-1913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Calhoun
331 San Fernando Way , San Francisco, CA 94127-1913

December 9, 2006 10:32 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Calhoun
331 San Fernando Way
San Francisco, CA 94127-1913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Calhoun
331 San Fernando Way , San Francisco, CA 94127-1913

December 9, 2006 10:32 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Calhoun
331 San Fernando Way
San Francisco, CA 94127-1913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charles Calhoun
331 San Fernando Way , San Francisco, CA 94127-1913

December 9, 2006 10:32 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charles Calhoun
331 San Fernando Way
San Francisco, CA 94127-1913

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wade Austin
1335 east Rock Spring Rd NE , Atlanta, GA 30306

December 9, 2006 10:29 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wade Austin
1335 east Rock Spring Rd NE
Atlanta, GA 30306

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wade Austin
1335 east Rock Spring Rd NE , Atlanta, GA 30306

December 9, 2006 10:29 AM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wade Austin
1335 east Rock Spring Rd NE
Atlanta, GA 30306

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Wade Austin
1335 east Rock Spring Rd NE , Atlanta, GA 30306

December 9, 2006 10:29 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Wade Austin
1335 east Rock Spring Rd NE
Atlanta, GA 30306

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Juozunas
4416 S. Artesian Ave , Chicago, IL 60632

December 9, 2006 10:28 AM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Juozunas
4416 S. Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60632

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Juozunas
4416 S. Artesian Ave , Chicago, IL 60632

December 9, 2006 10:28 AM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Juozunas
4416 S. Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60632

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Juozunas
4416 S. Artesian Ave , Chicago, IL 60632

December 9, 2006 10:28 AM

Representative Luis Gutierrez
U.S. House of Representatives
2367 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutierrez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Juozunas
4416 S. Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60632

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Laura Juozunas
4416 S. Artesian Ave , Chicago, IL 60632

December 9, 2006 10:28 AM

Representative Luis Gutierrez
U.S. House of Representatives
2367 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gutierrez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Laura Juozunas
4416 S. Artesian Ave
Chicago, IL 60632

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue , Astoria, NY 11103

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue
Astoria, NY 11103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue , Astoria, NY 11103

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue
Astoria, NY 11103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue , Astoria, NY 11103

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue
Astoria, NY 11103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd. , Schenectady, NY 12303

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd.
Schenectady, NY 12303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue , Astoria, NY 11103

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue
Astoria, NY 11103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue , Astoria, NY 11103

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Representative Carolyn Maloney
U.S. House of Representatives
2331 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Maloney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lance Smith
4611 30th Avenue
Astoria, NY 11103

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd. , Schenectady, NY 12303

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd.
Schenectady, NY 12303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd. , Schenectady, NY 12303

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Representative Michael McNulty
U.S. House of Representatives
2210 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McNulty,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd.
Schenectady, NY 12303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd. , Schenectady, NY 12303

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd.
Schenectady, NY 12303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd. , Schenectady, NY 12303

December 9, 2006 10:26 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Joanne Mann
1005 Day Rd.
Schenectady, NY 12303

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Allen
PO Box 151 , Randolph Center, VT 05061

December 9, 2006 10:25 AM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Allen
PO Box 151
Randolph Center, VT 05061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Allen
PO Box 151 , Randolph Center, VT 05061

December 9, 2006 10:25 AM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Allen
PO Box 151
Randolph Center, VT 05061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Allen
PO Box 151 , Randolph Center, VT 05061

December 9, 2006 10:25 AM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Allen
PO Box 151
Randolph Center, VT 05061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Allen
PO Box 151 , Randolph Center, VT 05061

December 9, 2006 10:25 AM

Representative Bernie Sanders
U.S. House of Representatives
2233 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sanders,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Allen
PO Box 151
Randolph Center, VT 05061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Allen
PO Box 151 , Randolph Center, VT 05061

December 9, 2006 10:25 AM

Senator Jim Jeffords
U.S. Senate
413 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Jeffords,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Allen
PO Box 151
Randolph Center, VT 05061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Robert Allen
PO Box 151 , Randolph Center, VT 05061

December 9, 2006 10:25 AM

Senator Patrick Leahy
U.S. Senate
433 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Leahy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Robert Allen
PO Box 151
Randolph Center, VT 05061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kenneth bennie
957 maple st. , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kenneth bennie
957 maple st.
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St , Wayzata, MN 55391

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St
Wayzata, MN 55391

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St , Wayzata, MN 55391

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St
Wayzata, MN 55391

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kenneth bennie
957 maple st. , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kenneth bennie
957 maple st.
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St , Wayzata, MN 55391

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Representative Jim Ramstad
U.S. House of Representatives
103 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ramstad,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St
Wayzata, MN 55391

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kenneth bennie
957 maple st. , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Representative Carolyn Kilpatrick
U.S. House of Representatives
1610 Longworth House Offie Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kilpatrick,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kenneth bennie
957 maple st.
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kenneth bennie
957 maple st. , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kenneth bennie
957 maple st.
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kenneth bennie
957 maple st. , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Representative Carolyn Kilpatrick
U.S. House of Representatives
1610 Longworth House Offie Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kilpatrick,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kenneth bennie
957 maple st.
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St , Wayzata, MN 55391

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St
Wayzata, MN 55391

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St , Wayzata, MN 55391

December 9, 2006 10:24 AM

Representative Jim Ramstad
U.S. House of Representatives
103 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Ramstad,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Zoe Vaughter
1212 Arbor St
Wayzata, MN 55391

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn , southgate, MI 48195

December 9, 2006 10:23 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn
southgate, MI 48195

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn , southgate, MI 48195

December 9, 2006 10:23 AM

Representative John Conyers
U.S. House of Representatives
2426 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Conyers,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn
southgate, MI 48195

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn , southgate, MI 48195

December 9, 2006 10:23 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn
southgate, MI 48195

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn , southgate, MI 48195

December 9, 2006 10:23 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn
southgate, MI 48195

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn , southgate, MI 48195

December 9, 2006 10:23 AM

Representative John Conyers
U.S. House of Representatives
2426 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Conyers,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Irene Gutierrez
15779 Selwyn
southgate, MI 48195

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Murphy
957 Maple , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:22 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Murphy
957 Maple
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Murphy
957 Maple , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:22 AM

Representative Carolyn Kilpatrick
U.S. House of Representatives
1610 Longworth House Offie Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kilpatrick,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Murphy
957 Maple
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Murphy
957 Maple , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:22 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Murphy
957 Maple
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Murphy
957 Maple , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:22 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Murphy
957 Maple
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Catherine Murphy
957 Maple , Wyandotte, MI 48192

December 9, 2006 10:22 AM

Representative Carolyn Kilpatrick
U.S. House of Representatives
1610 Longworth House Offie Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Kilpatrick,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Catherine Murphy
957 Maple
Wyandotte, MI 48192

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gary lunde
303 east preston , stanwood, IA 52337

December 9, 2006 10:19 AM

Representative Jim Leach
U.S. House of Representatives
2186 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gary lunde
303 east preston
stanwood, IA 52337

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gary lunde
303 east preston , stanwood, IA 52337

December 9, 2006 10:19 AM

Senator Tom Harkin
U.S. Senate
731 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Harkin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gary lunde
303 east preston
stanwood, IA 52337

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gary lunde
303 east preston , stanwood, IA 52337

December 9, 2006 10:19 AM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gary lunde
303 east preston
stanwood, IA 52337

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gary lunde
303 east preston , stanwood, IA 52337

December 9, 2006 10:19 AM

Representative Jim Leach
U.S. House of Representatives
2186 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Leach,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gary lunde
303 east preston
stanwood, IA 52337

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

gary lunde
303 east preston , stanwood, IA 52337

December 9, 2006 10:19 AM

Senator Chuck Grassley
U.S. Senate
135 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Grassley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
gary lunde
303 east preston
stanwood, IA 52337

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave , Canyon Country, CA 91387

December 9, 2006 10:18 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave
Canyon Country, CA 91387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave , Canyon Country, CA 91387

December 9, 2006 10:18 AM

Representative Buck McKeon
U.S. House of Representatives
2351 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKeon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave
Canyon Country, CA 91387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave , Canyon Country, CA 91387

December 9, 2006 10:18 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave
Canyon Country, CA 91387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave , Canyon Country, CA 91387

December 9, 2006 10:18 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave
Canyon Country, CA 91387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave , Canyon Country, CA 91387

December 9, 2006 10:18 AM

Representative Buck McKeon
U.S. House of Representatives
2351 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McKeon,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dave Rickmers
14913 Daffodil Ave
Canyon Country, CA 91387

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE , Medina, WA 98039

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Representative Dave Reichert
U.S. House of Representatives
1223 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Reichert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE
Medina, WA 98039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE , Medina, WA 98039

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE
Medina, WA 98039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE , Medina, WA 98039

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE
Medina, WA 98039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE , Medina, WA 98039

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Senator Maria Cantwell
U.S. Senate
717 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cantwell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE
Medina, WA 98039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE , Medina, WA 98039

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Senator Patty Murray
U.S. Senate
173 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Murray,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
kathryn koelemay
851 80th Ave NE
Medina, WA 98039

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

peggy kreager
box24 , lake, OH 44887

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.... The 109th will forever be known as the worst congress in history along with the worst president in history..Bankrupt our country, destroy our bill of rights, constitution and torture and invade innocents. There is a special place in hell for the 109th and our president.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
peggy kreager
box24
lake, OH 44887

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

peggy kreager
box24 , lake, OH 44887

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Senator George Voinovich
U.S. Senate
524 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Voinovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.... The 109th will forever be known as the worst congress in history along with the worst president in history..Bankrupt our country, destroy our bill of rights, constitution and torture and invade innocents. There is a special place in hell for the 109th and our president.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
peggy kreager
box24
lake, OH 44887

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

peggy kreager
box24 , lake, OH 44887

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Representative Paul Gillmor
U.S. House of Representatives
1203 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Gillmor,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.... The 109th will forever be known as the worst congress in history along with the worst president in history..Bankrupt our country, destroy our bill of rights, constitution and torture and invade innocents. There is a special place in hell for the 109th and our president.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
peggy kreager
box24
lake, OH 44887

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

peggy kreager
box24 , lake, OH 44887

December 9, 2006 10:16 AM

Senator Mike DeWine
U.S. Senate
140 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator DeWine,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.... The 109th will forever be known as the worst congress in history along with the worst president in history..Bankrupt our country, destroy our bill of rights, constitution and torture and invade innocents. There is a special place in hell for the 109th and our president.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the

ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
peggy kreager
box24
lake, OH 44887

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barry Kushner
343 Penn Street , Burlington, NJ 08016-1710

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barry Kushner
343 Penn Street
Burlington, NJ 08016-1710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road , roscommon, MI 48653

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road
roscommon, MI 48653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road , roscommon, MI 48653

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Representative Bart Stupak
U.S. House of Representatives
2352 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stupak,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road
roscommon, MI 48653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road , roscommon, MI 48653

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road
roscommon, MI 48653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barry Kushner
343 Penn Street , Burlington, NJ 08016-1710

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barry Kushner
343 Penn Street
Burlington, NJ 08016-1710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road , roscommon, MI 48653

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road
roscommon, MI 48653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barry Kushner
343 Penn Street , Burlington, NJ 08016-1710

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barry Kushner
343 Penn Street
Burlington, NJ 08016-1710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Barry Kushner
343 Penn Street , Burlington, NJ 08016-1710

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Barry Kushner
343 Penn Street
Burlington, NJ 08016-1710

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burton Rounds
17 Ebert Drive , Sand Lake, NY 12153

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burton Rounds
17 Ebert Drive
Sand Lake, NY 12153

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burton Rounds
17 Ebert Drive , Sand Lake, NY 12153

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Representative John Sweeney
U.S. House of Representatives
416 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Sweeney,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burton Rounds
17 Ebert Drive
Sand Lake, NY 12153

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burton Rounds
17 Ebert Drive , Sand Lake, NY 12153

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burton Rounds
17 Ebert Drive
Sand Lake, NY 12153

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burton Rounds
17 Ebert Drive , Sand Lake, NY 12153

December 9, 2006 10:15 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burton Rounds
17 Ebert Drive
Sand Lake, NY 12153

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephanie Astalos-Jones
65 Lexington Rd. , Carlton, GA 30627

December 9, 2006 10:13 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Astalos-Jones
65 Lexington Rd.
Carlton, GA 30627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephanie Astalos-Jones
65 Lexington Rd. , Carlton, GA 30627

December 9, 2006 10:13 AM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Astalos-Jones
65 Lexington Rd.
Carlton, GA 30627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephanie Astalos-Jones
65 Lexington Rd. , Carlton, GA 30627

December 9, 2006 10:13 AM

Representative Charlie Norwood
U.S. House of Representatives
2452 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Norwood,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Astalos-Jones
65 Lexington Rd.
Carlton, GA 30627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Stephanie Astalos-Jones
65 Lexington Rd. , Carlton, GA 30627

December 9, 2006 10:13 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Astalos-Jones
65 Lexington Rd.
Carlton, GA 30627

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave , Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

December 9, 2006 10:12 AM

Representative Steve Pearce
U.S. House of Representatives
1607 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pearce,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave
Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave , Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

December 9, 2006 10:12 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave
Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave , Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

December 9, 2006 10:12 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave
Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave , Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

December 9, 2006 10:12 AM

Senator Jeff Bingaman
U.S. Senate
703 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Bingaman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave
Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave , Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

December 9, 2006 10:12 AM

Senator Pete Domenici
U.S. Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Domenici,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael Gregory
1130 Gardner Ave
Las Cruces, NM 88001-5440

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street , San Francisco, CA 94114

December 9, 2006 10:11 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street , San Francisco, CA 94114

December 9, 2006 10:11 AM

Representative Nancy Pelosi
U.S. House of Representatives
2371 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street , San Francisco, CA 94114

December 9, 2006 10:11 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street , San Francisco, CA 94114

December 9, 2006 10:11 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street , San Francisco, CA 94114

December 9, 2006 10:11 AM

Representative Nancy Pelosi
U.S. House of Representatives
2371 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pelosi,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Hedi Saraf
3765 21st Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julie McQuain
Rider Hollow Rd , Arkville, NY 12406

December 9, 2006 10:10 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julie McQuain
Rider Hollow Rd
Arkville, NY 12406

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julie McQuain
Rider Hollow Rd , Arkville, NY 12406

December 9, 2006 10:10 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julie McQuain
Rider Hollow Rd
Arkville, NY 12406

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Julie McQuain
Rider Hollow Rd , Arkville, NY 12406

December 9, 2006 10:10 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Julie McQuain
Rider Hollow Rd
Arkville, NY 12406

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Dingmann
1344 Saint Paul Ave Apartment 12, Saint Paul, MN 55116

December 9, 2006 10:03 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Dingmann
1344 Saint Paul Ave
Apartment 12
Saint Paul, MN 55116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Dingmann
1344 Saint Paul Ave Apartment 12, Saint Paul, MN 55116

December 9, 2006 10:03 AM

Representative Betty McCollum
U.S. House of Representatives
1029 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McCollum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Dingmann
1344 Saint Paul Ave
Apartment 12
Saint Paul, MN 55116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Dingmann
1344 Saint Paul Ave Apartment 12, Saint Paul, MN 55116

December 9, 2006 10:03 AM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Dingmann
1344 Saint Paul Ave
Apartment 12
Saint Paul, MN 55116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Elizabeth Dingmann
1344 Saint Paul Ave Apartment 12, Saint Paul, MN 55116

December 9, 2006 10:03 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Dingmann
1344 Saint Paul Ave
Apartment 12
Saint Paul, MN 55116

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C , Waltham, MA 02451

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Representative Ed Markey
U.S. House of Representatives
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Frances Wilgren 16 Winter St. - 48C Waltham, MA 02451

Sincerely,
Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C
Waltham, MA 02451

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C , Waltham, MA 02451

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Senator John Kerry
U.S. Senate
304 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kerry,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Frances Wilgren 16 Winter St. - 48C Waltham, MA 02451

Sincerely,
Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C
Waltham, MA 02451

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C , Waltham, MA 02451

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Frances Wilgren 16 Winter St. - 48C Waltham, MA 02451

Sincerely,
Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C
Waltham, MA 02451

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Webber
102 cEDAR sPRINGS , Wimberley, TX 78676

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Webber
102 cEDAR sPRINGS
Wimberley, TX 78676

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Webber
102 cEDAR sPRINGS , Wimberley, TX 78676

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Representative Lamar Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
2184 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Webber
102 cEDAR sPRINGS
Wimberley, TX 78676

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Webber
102 cEDAR sPRINGS , Wimberley, TX 78676

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Webber
102 cEDAR sPRINGS
Wimberley, TX 78676

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C , Waltham, MA 02451

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Senator Edward Kennedy
U.S. Senate
315 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kennedy,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Frances Wilgren 16 Winter St. - 48C Waltham, MA 02451

Sincerely,
Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C
Waltham, MA 02451

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C , Waltham, MA 02451

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Representative Ed Markey
U.S. House of Representatives
2108 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Markey,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,

Frances Wilgren 16 Winter St. - 48C Waltham, MA 02451

Sincerely,
Frances Wilgren
16 Winter St. - 48C
Waltham, MA 02451

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Mindy Webber
102 cEDAR sPRINGS , Wimberley, TX 78676

December 9, 2006 10:02 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Mindy Webber
102 cEDAR sPRINGS
Wimberley, TX 78676

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road , roscommon, MI 48653

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road
roscommon, MI 48653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road , roscommon, MI 48653

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Representative Bart Stupak
U.S. House of Representatives
2352 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stupak,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road
roscommon, MI 48653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road , roscommon, MI 48653

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road
roscommon, MI 48653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road , roscommon, MI 48653

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chris tubbs
11740 chase bridge road
roscommon, MI 48653

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9 , Boulder, CO 80302

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9
Boulder, CO 80302

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9 , Boulder, CO 80302

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9
Boulder, CO 80302

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9 , Boulder, CO 80302

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9
Boulder, CO 80302

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9 , Boulder, CO 80302

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Representative Mark Udall
U.S. House of Representatives
240 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Udall,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9
Boulder, CO 80302

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9 , Boulder, CO 80302

December 9, 2006 10:01 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
William Baumgartner
2244 Canyon Blvd. #9
Boulder, CO 80302

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd. , Portland, CT 06480

December 9, 2006 10:00 AM

Representative John Larson
U.S. House of Representatives
1005 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd.
Portland, CT 06480

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd. , Portland, CT 06480

December 9, 2006 10:00 AM

Representative John Larson
U.S. House of Representatives
1005 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Larson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd.
Portland, CT 06480

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd. , Portland, CT 06480

December 9, 2006 10:00 AM

Senator Chris Dodd
U.S. Senate
448 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dodd,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd.
Portland, CT 06480

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd. , Portland, CT 06480

December 9, 2006 10:00 AM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd.
Portland, CT 06480

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd. , Portland, CT 06480

December 9, 2006 10:00 AM

Senator Joe Lieberman
U.S. Senate
706 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lieberman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lisa James
13 Rogers Rd.
Portland, CT 06480

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Ruskowitz
6538 Mossom Lane , Anderson, CA 96007

December 9, 2006 9:57 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Ruskowitz
6538 Mossom Lane
Anderson, CA 96007

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Ruskowitz
6538 Mossom Lane , Anderson, CA 96007

December 9, 2006 9:57 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Ruskowitz
6538 Mossom Lane
Anderson, CA 96007

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Ruskowitz
6538 Mossom Lane , Anderson, CA 96007

December 9, 2006 9:57 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Ruskowitz
6538 Mossom Lane
Anderson, CA 96007

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Charlotte Ruskowitz
6538 Mossom Lane , Anderson, CA 96007

December 9, 2006 9:57 AM

Representative Wally Herger
U.S. House of Representatives
2268 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Herger,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Ruskowitz
6538 Mossom Lane
Anderson, CA 96007

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct , Dublin, CA 94568

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct
Dublin, CA 94568

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct , Dublin, CA 94568

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative Richard Pombo
U.S. House of Representatives
2411 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pombo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct
Dublin, CA 94568

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205 , Atlanta, GA 30324

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205
Atlanta, GA 30324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct , Dublin, CA 94568

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct
Dublin, CA 94568

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct , Dublin, CA 94568

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct
Dublin, CA 94568

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct , Dublin, CA 94568

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative Richard Pombo
U.S. House of Representatives
2411 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Pombo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Eric Gonczeruk
4333 Belcarra Ct
Dublin, CA 94568

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205 , Atlanta, GA 30324

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Saxby Chambliss
U.S. Senate
416 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Chambliss,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205
Atlanta, GA 30324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614 , Denair, CA 95326

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614
Denair, CA 95326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614 , Denair, CA 95326

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614
Denair, CA 95326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lori Kalfayan
25685 Firwood Avenue , Warren, MI 48089-4187

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lori Kalfayan
25685 Firwood Avenue
Warren, MI 48089-4187

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dianne Yonan
2464 Plywood Rd. , Gaylord, MI 49735

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative Bart Stupak
U.S. House of Representatives
2352 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Stupak,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dianne Yonan
2464 Plywood Rd.
Gaylord, MI 49735

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dianne Yonan
2464 Plywood Rd. , Gaylord, MI 49735

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dianne Yonan
2464 Plywood Rd.
Gaylord, MI 49735

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd , Tampa, FL 33617

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative Jim Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
409 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd
Tampa, FL 33617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd , Tampa, FL 33617

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Bill Nelson
U.S. Senate
716 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Nelson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd
Tampa, FL 33617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd , Tampa, FL 33617

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd
Tampa, FL 33617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dianne Yonan
2464 Plywood Rd. , Gaylord, MI 49735

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dianne Yonan
2464 Plywood Rd.
Gaylord, MI 49735

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lori Kalfayan
25685 Firwood Avenue , Warren, MI 48089-4187

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lori Kalfayan
25685 Firwood Avenue
Warren, MI 48089-4187

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614 , Denair, CA 95326

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative George Radanovich
U.S. House of Representatives
438 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Radanovich,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614
Denair, CA 95326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614 , Denair, CA 95326

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614
Denair, CA 95326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614 , Denair, CA 95326

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Obers
P.O.B. 614
Denair, CA 95326

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205 , Atlanta, GA 30324

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative John Lewis
U.S. House of Representatives
343 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205
Atlanta, GA 30324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd , Tampa, FL 33617

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Mel Martinez
United States Senate
317 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Martinez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd
Tampa, FL 33617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd , Tampa, FL 33617

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative Jim Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
409 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Davis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Sue McDermott
4801 E. Busch Blvd
Tampa, FL 33617

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205 , Atlanta, GA 30324

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Johnny Isakson
U.S. Senate
120 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Isakson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205
Atlanta, GA 30324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205 , Atlanta, GA 30324

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Representative John Lewis
U.S. House of Representatives
343 Cannon House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Lewis,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Amanda Nance
600 Garson Dr NE #3205
Atlanta, GA 30324

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Lori Kalfayan
25685 Firwood Avenue , Warren, MI 48089-4187

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Lori Kalfayan
25685 Firwood Avenue
Warren, MI 48089-4187

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Dianne Yonan
2464 Plywood Rd. , Gaylord, MI 49735

December 9, 2006 9:56 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Dianne Yonan
2464 Plywood Rd.
Gaylord, MI 49735

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive , Olathe, KS 66061

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Representative Dennis Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive
Olathe, KS 66061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marilyn Herrmann
1045 Amber ridge Dr SW , Byron Center, MI 49315-9000

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Herrmann
1045 Amber ridge Dr SW
Byron Center, MI 49315-9000

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive , Olathe, KS 66061

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive
Olathe, KS 66061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive , Olathe, KS 66061

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive
Olathe, KS 66061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marilyn Herrmann
1045 Amber ridge Dr SW , Byron Center, MI 49315-9000

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Herrmann
1045 Amber ridge Dr SW
Byron Center, MI 49315-9000

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive , Olathe, KS 66061

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Senator Sam Brownback
U.S. Senate
303 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Brownback,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive
Olathe, KS 66061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive , Olathe, KS 66061

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Senator Pat Roberts
U.S. Senate
109 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Roberts,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive
Olathe, KS 66061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive , Olathe, KS 66061

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Representative Dennis Moore
U.S. House of Representatives
1727 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Moore,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Michael McCord
1209 N. Leeview Drive
Olathe, KS 66061

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marilyn Herrmann
1045 Amber ridge Dr SW , Byron Center, MI 49315-9000

December 9, 2006 9:50 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marilyn Herrmann
1045 Amber ridge Dr SW
Byron Center, MI 49315-9000

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H , Brooklyn, NY 11238

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H
Brooklyn, NY 11238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H , Brooklyn, NY 11238

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H
Brooklyn, NY 11238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H , Brooklyn, NY 11238

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H
Brooklyn, NY 11238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chas zahnd
5356 County Trunk KP , Black Earth, WI 53515

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chas zahnd
5356 County Trunk KP
Black Earth, WI 53515

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chas zahnd
5356 County Trunk KP , Black Earth, WI 53515

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chas zahnd
5356 County Trunk KP
Black Earth, WI 53515

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H , Brooklyn, NY 11238

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H
Brooklyn, NY 11238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H , Brooklyn, NY 11238

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Representative Major Owens
U.S. House of Representatives
2309 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Owens,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Theo Stewart-Stand
230 Park Place Apt 3H
Brooklyn, NY 11238

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St. , South Beach, OR 97366

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St.
South Beach, OR 97366

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chas zahnd
5356 County Trunk KP , Black Earth, WI 53515

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Russ Feingold
U.S. Senate
506 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feingold,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chas zahnd
5356 County Trunk KP
Black Earth, WI 53515

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

chas zahnd
5356 County Trunk KP , Black Earth, WI 53515

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Herb Kohl
U.S. Senate
330 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Kohl,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
chas zahnd
5356 County Trunk KP
Black Earth, WI 53515

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St. , South Beach, OR 97366

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St.
South Beach, OR 97366

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St. , South Beach, OR 97366

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Representative Darlene Hooley
U.S. House of Representatives
2430 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hooley,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St.
South Beach, OR 97366

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St. , South Beach, OR 97366

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St.
South Beach, OR 97366

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St. , South Beach, OR 97366

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Gordon Smith
U.S. Senate
404 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Smith,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St.
South Beach, OR 97366

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St. , South Beach, OR 97366

December 9, 2006 9:49 AM

Senator Ron Wyden
U.S. Senate
230 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Wyden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Burt Alber
316 SE 118th St.
South Beach, OR 97366

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court , Plano, TX 75075

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Senator John Cornyn
U.S. Senate
517 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Cornyn,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court
Plano, TX 75075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave , Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Representative Steve Rothman
U.S. House of Representatives
2303 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rothman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave
Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave , Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave
Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court , Plano, TX 75075

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court
Plano, TX 75075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court , Plano, TX 75075

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Representative Sam Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1211 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court
Plano, TX 75075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court , Plano, TX 75075

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison
U.S. Senate
284 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Hutchison,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court
Plano, TX 75075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court , Plano, TX 75075

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Representative Sam Johnson
U.S. House of Representatives
1211 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Johnson,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Debbie Magness
2521 Ellis Court
Plano, TX 75075

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave , Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave
Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave , Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave
Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave , Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave
Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave , Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

December 9, 2006 9:46 AM

Representative Steve Rothman
U.S. House of Representatives
2303 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rothman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Nancy DeVita
523 Dewey Ave
Cliffside Park, NJ 07010

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr , Centennial, CO 80121

December 9, 2006 9:45 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr
Centennial, CO 80121

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr , Centennial, CO 80121

December 9, 2006 9:45 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr
Centennial, CO 80121

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr , Centennial, CO 80121

December 9, 2006 9:45 AM

Representative Thomas Tancredo
U.S. House of Representatives
1130 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tancredo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr
Centennial, CO 80121

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr , Centennial, CO 80121

December 9, 2006 9:45 AM

Senator Ken Salazar
U.S. Senate
702 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Salazar,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr
Centennial, CO 80121

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr , Centennial, CO 80121

December 9, 2006 9:45 AM

Representative Thomas Tancredo
U.S. House of Representatives
1130 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Tancredo,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr
Centennial, CO 80121

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr , Centennial, CO 80121

December 9, 2006 9:45 AM

Senator Wayne Allard
U.S. Senate
521 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Allard,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marion Sikes
3483 E Lake Dr
Centennial, CO 80121

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court , Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court
Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court , Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court
Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Parker
306 Toronto St , Saint Paul, MN 55102

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Senator Mark Dayton
U.S. Senate
123 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Dayton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Parker
306 Toronto St
Saint Paul, MN 55102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Parker
306 Toronto St , Saint Paul, MN 55102

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Parker
306 Toronto St
Saint Paul, MN 55102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Parker
306 Toronto St , Saint Paul, MN 55102

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Representative Betty McCollum
U.S. House of Representatives
1029 Longworth House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative McCollum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Parker
306 Toronto St
Saint Paul, MN 55102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court , Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court
Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court , Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Representative Jim Saxton
U.S. House of Representatives
2217 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Saxton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court
Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Parker
306 Toronto St , Saint Paul, MN 55102

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Senator Norm Coleman
U.S. Senate
320 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Coleman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Parker
306 Toronto St
Saint Paul, MN 55102

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court , Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court
Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court , Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

December 9, 2006 9:43 AM

Representative Jim Saxton
U.S. House of Representatives
2217 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Saxton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Karen Siugzda
2808 Heatherstone court
Mount Laurel, NJ 08054

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct. , Fountain Valley, CA 92708

December 9, 2006 9:42 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct.
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct. , Fountain Valley, CA 92708

December 9, 2006 9:42 AM

Representative Dana Rohrabacher
U.S. House of Representatives
2338 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rohrabacher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct.
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct. , Fountain Valley, CA 92708

December 9, 2006 9:42 AM

Senator Barbara Boxer
U.S. Senate
112 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Boxer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct.
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct. , Fountain Valley, CA 92708

December 9, 2006 9:42 AM

Senator Dianne Feinstein
U.S. Senate
331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Feinstein,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct.
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct. , Fountain Valley, CA 92708

December 9, 2006 9:42 AM

Representative Dana Rohrabacher
U.S. House of Representatives
2338 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rohrabacher,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
NhaVinh Tran
9899 Osborne Ct.
Fountain Valley, CA 92708

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tim McKeever
209 E. Center St. , Yorkville, IL 60560-1251

December 9, 2006 9:41 AM

Senator Barack Obama
U.S. Senate
713 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Obama,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tim McKeever
209 E. Center St.
Yorkville, IL 60560-1251

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tim McKeever
209 E. Center St. , Yorkville, IL 60560-1251

December 9, 2006 9:41 AM

Representative Dennis Hastert
U.S. House of Representatives
235 Cannon House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Hastert,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tim McKeever
209 E. Center St.
Yorkville, IL 60560-1251

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Tim McKeever
209 E. Center St. , Yorkville, IL 60560-1251

December 9, 2006 9:41 AM

Senator Dick Durbin
U.S. Senate
332 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Durbin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Tim McKeever
209 E. Center St.
Yorkville, IL 60560-1251

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515 , Ypsilanti, MI 48198

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515
Ypsilanti, MI 48198

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I , Brooklyn, NY 11210

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Representative Edolphus Towns
U.S. House of Representatives
2232 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Towns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I
Brooklyn, NY 11210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I , Brooklyn, NY 11210

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Senator Hillary Clinton
U.S. Senate
476 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Clinton,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I
Brooklyn, NY 11210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515 , Ypsilanti, MI 48198

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Senator Carl Levin
U.S. Senate
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Levin,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515
Ypsilanti, MI 48198

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I , Brooklyn, NY 11210

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I
Brooklyn, NY 11210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515 , Ypsilanti, MI 48198

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515
Ypsilanti, MI 48198

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515 , Ypsilanti, MI 48198

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Senator Debbie Stabenow
U.S. Senate
133 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Stabenow,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515
Ypsilanti, MI 48198

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515 , Ypsilanti, MI 48198

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Representative John Dingell
U.S. House of Representatives
2328 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Dingell,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Kathy Rogers
2500 Holmes # 515
Ypsilanti, MI 48198

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I , Brooklyn, NY 11210

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Representative Edolphus Towns
U.S. House of Representatives
2232 Rayburn House Office Bldg.
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Towns,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I
Brooklyn, NY 11210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I , Brooklyn, NY 11210

December 9, 2006 9:40 AM

Senator Charles Schumer
U.S. Senate
313 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Schumer,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Judy Kretmar
3211 Avenue I
Brooklyn, NY 11210

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marita Doyle
1614 Valley St. , Fort Lee, NJ 07024

December 9, 2006 9:39 AM

Senator Frank Lautenberg
U.S. Senate
324 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Lautenberg,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marita Doyle
1614 Valley St.
Fort Lee, NJ 07024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marita Doyle
1614 Valley St. , Fort Lee, NJ 07024

December 9, 2006 9:39 AM

Representative Steve Rothman
U.S. House of Representatives
2303 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Rothman,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marita Doyle
1614 Valley St.
Fort Lee, NJ 07024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

Marita Doyle
1614 Valley St. , Fort Lee, NJ 07024

December 9, 2006 9:39 AM

Senator Robert Menendez
U.S. Senate
502 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Menendez,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
Marita Doyle
1614 Valley St.
Fort Lee, NJ 07024

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Troup
173 Hill View Rd. , Bernville, PA 19506

December 9, 2006 9:39 AM

Representative Tim Holden
U.S. House of Representatives
2417 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Representative Holden,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Troup
173 Hill View Rd.
Bernville, PA 19506

cc:
Federal Communications Commission

413-585-8904

John Troup
173 Hill View Rd. , Bernville, PA 19506

December 9, 2006 9:39 AM

Senator Rick Santorum
U.S. Senate
511 Dirksen Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Blow the Whistle on FCC Ethics Scandal

Dear Senator Santorum,

The FCC is currently reviewing a proposed merger between AT&T and BellSouth, a multibillion-dollar deal that would constitute the largest merger in the history of U.S. telecommunications. The FCC's responsibility to impose conditions on a merger of this size is critically important to protect consumers.

Good-faith negotiations on the conditions of this merger have been ongoing. A central issue has been whether AT&T will honor Net Neutrality -- a principle strongly supported by the public that prevents Internet service providers from discriminating between Web sites or impairing their customers' access to content produced by competitors. This is a reasonable condition that puts a clear check on the market power of this network giant.

Commissioner Robert McDowell, a recent arrival at the FCC, has properly recused himself from the proceeding, citing conflicts of interest because his former employer has a major stake in its outcome. We applaud his ethical stance, which demonstrates a commitment to forthright public service.

With the four remaining FCC Commissioners evenly split on the merger conditions, rather than engage in a good-faith compromise, AT&T has pushed FCC Chairman Kevin Martin to take an extraordinary and troubling step -- "un-recuse" Commissioner McDowell and force him to vote on the merger. Forcing Commissioner McDowell to cast the deciding vote would be an unprecedented affront to the public's demand for ethical and impartial policymaking. With the future of the Internet and other communications at stake, the public interest cannot be served by agencies that seek to actively override previously-admitted conflicts of interest.

In a recent letter to FCC Chairman Martin, Representatives John Dingell (D-Mich.) and Edward Markey (D-Mass.) have asked that the merger be handled "without compromising the ethical standards of the independent agency or the individual Commissioners involved." Incoming Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Daniel Inouye has echoed the sentiment in a letter of his own: "I hope you will reconsider your decision to waive the ethical rules presently

precluding Commissioner McDowell's participation and return to serious negotiations with your colleagues at the Commission. These rules and the rules of professional responsibility in general exist for a reason and should not be tossed away lightly."

Big issues like the future of the Internet are at stake, and there are clear ethical lines that Commissioner McDowell has respected. As members of the public, we ask that Congress hold Chairman Martin to the same standard.

Sincerely,
John Troup
173 Hill View Rd.
Bernville, PA 19506

cc:
Federal Communications Commission